Metal-exchangeable Macrocycles: From *Bis*metallo-Ru₂/Zn Triangle to Ru₂/Fe Triangular Assembly

Die Liu, Xiaoyu Yang, Yiming Li and Pingshan Wang*

Department of Organic and Polymer Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha-410083, Hunan, China.

Materials and Methods:

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ADVANCE 400 or 500 NMR Spectrometer. ¹H NMR chemical shifts were reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) reference using the residual protonated solvent as an internal standard.

Mass spectra were determined on Waters Xevo Q-Tof Mass Spectrometer.

Absorption spectra were measured with Hitachi (model U-3010) UV-Vis spectrophotometer in a 1-cm quartz cell. Emission spectra were measured with Hitachi (F-7000) fluorescence spectrophotometer in a 1-cm quartz cell.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) were performed with a Zahner IM6 electrochemical workstation using glassy carbon discs as the working electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode, Hg/Hg₂Cl₂ electrode as the reference electrode. 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) dissolved in CH₃CN was employed as the supporting electrolyte. The plot includes the signal of the ferrocene as an internal potential marker.

The activation energies (Ea) from P3 to T5 were determined by variable temperature NMR and from T4 to T5 by variable temperature UV spectra measurements according to the Arrhenius equation:

 $Ln(k_2/k_1) = -Ea/R(1/T_2-1/T_1),$

So, Ea= -R Ln(k_2/k_1)/(1/T₂-1/T₁), R=8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹

All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise specified.

Synthesis and characterizations of L1, L2, T4 and T5.



Scheme S1. Synthetic Route of ligand of L1 and complexes of L2, T4 and T5

The compound L1 was synthesized according to literature procedures.^{S1}

L2: L1 (209 mg, 0.27 mmol) and RuCl₃ (31 mg, 0.12 mmol) were added into a 250 mL flask, then 100 mL CH₃OH and 100 mL CHCl₃ were added as solvent. The suspension was stirred at 75 °C for 24 hours. After cooled to ambient temperature, 20 mL CH₃OH was added to the solution, followed by precipitation with NH₄PF₆ to afford as a red solid, which was washed with copious amounts of MeOH to give a mixture containing complex L2, then purified by Al₂O₃ chromatographic column to give L2 as a pale-red solid (97 mg, 35%). M.P. > 200 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, MeOD, ppm) δ = 9.30 (s, 4H), 9.27 (s, 4H), 8.88 (d, 4H), 8.85 (d, 4H), 8.72 (s, 4H), 8.70 (d, 4H), 8.68 (d, 4H), 8.29 (d, 4H), 8.23 (d, 4H), 8.00 (t, 4H), 7.97 (t, 4H), 7.92 (d, 4H), 7.69 (d, 4H), 7.61 (d, 4H), 7.54 (d, 4H), 7.52 (d, 4H), 7.50 (d, 4H), 7.48 (t, 4H), 7.24 (t, 8H), 7.23 (s, 2H), 7.21 (s, 2H), 7.20 (s, 2H); ESI/MS (m/z): 615.1 [L2-4PF₆⁻]⁴⁺ (calculated 615.2), 868.4 [L2-3PF₆⁻]³⁺ (calculated 868.5), 1375.1 [L2-2PF₆⁻]²⁺ (calculated 1375.3).

T4: L2 (7.6 mg, 0.0025 mmol) and FeCl₂ (0.4 mg, 0.0025 mmol) were added to a 50 mL flask with a mixed solvents of CH₃OH and CH₃CN. The suspension was stirred at 85 °C for 10 hours. Followed by counterion exchange with PF₆⁻ and washed with copious amounts of MeOH to give complex **T4** (7.5 mg, 92%) as a dark-red solid. M.P. > 200°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN, ppm) δ = 9.20(s,

4H), 9.03(s, 4H), 9.02(s, 4H), 8.65(m, 8H), 8.62(d, 4H), 8.25(d, 4H), 8.15(m, 8H), 7.84(t, 4H), 7.83(m, 8H), 7.67(d, 4H), 7.63(m, 8H), 7.41(m, 8H), 7.29(s, 2H), 7.28(s, 2H), 7.26(s, 2H), 7.18(d, 4H), 7.12(m, 8H), 7.03(t, 4H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, 298K, CD₃CN, ppm): 160.98, 158.28, 158.12, 155.65, 155.03, 152.68, 149.97, 149.42, 148.62, 144.00, 138.82, 138.33, 136.06, 133.86, 131.68, 131.40, 128.60, 127.52, 127.36, 125.14, 124.01, 122.18, 120.76, 117.32, 114.86, 56.03, 46.34; ESI/MS (m/z): 419.43 [**T4**-6PF₆]⁶⁺ (calculated 419.44), 532.30 [**T4**-5PF₆]⁵⁺ (calculated 532.32), 701.62 [**T4**-4PF₆]⁴⁺ (calculated 701.64), 983.84 [**T4**-3PF₆]³⁺ (calculated 983.84).

T5: L2 (6.3 mg, 0.002 mmol) and Zn(NO₃)₂ (0.6 mg, 0.002 mmol) were used to prepare **T5** according to the similar method above to give **T5** as a red solid (5.8 mg, 91%). M.P. > 200°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN, ppm): δ = 9.04(s, 4H), 9.03(s, 4H), 9.00(s,4H), 8.73(d, 4H), 8.68(d, 4H), 8.66(d, 4H), 8.15(d, 4H), 8.13(m, 8H), 8.07(t, 4H), 7.85(m, 8H), 7.84(d, 4H), 7.61(m, 12H), 7.42(m, 8H), 7.34(t, 4H), 7.26(s, 2H), 7.25(s, 2H), 7.23(s, 2H), 7.12(m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, 298K, CD₃CN, ppm): 158.19, 155.41, 152.37, 149.78, 149.31, 147.96, 147.88, 147.69, 141.18, 137.97, 131.35, 127.74, 127.49, 127.42, 127.37, 124.56, 121.44, 121.35, 117.29, 55.81; ESI/MS (m/z): 534.32 [**T5**-5PF₆⁻]⁵⁺ (calculated 534.31), 704.14 [**T5**-4PF₆⁻]⁴⁺ (calculated 704.14), 987.17 [**T5**-3PF₆⁻]³⁺ (calculated 987.17), 1552.63 [**T5**-2PF₆⁻]²⁺ (calculated 1552.63).

Reference:

S1. A. Schultz, Y. Cao, M. Huang, S. Z. D. Cheng, X. Li, C. N. Moorefield, C. Wesdemiotis and G. R. Newkome, *Dalton Trans.*, **2012**, *41*, 11573.



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of complex L2 in CD₃OD



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of complex T4 in CD₃CN



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of complex T5 in CD₃CN



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of (a) L2, (b) L2 treated with 0.25eq $Zn(NO_3)_2$ (c) L2 treated with 1.0 eq $Zn(NO_3)_2$ in CD₃CN at 298 K.



Figure S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum of complex T4 in CD₃CN



Figure S6. ¹³C NMR spectrum of complex T5 in CD₃CN



Figure S7. Nosey spectrum of complex L2 in CD₃OD



Figure S8. Nosey spectrum of triangle T4 in CD_3CN



Figure S9. Nosey spectrum of triangle T5 in CD₃CN



Figure S10. ESI-MS spectrum of metallo-organic ligand L2.



Figure S11. Measured and calculated isotope patterns for the different charge states (2+ to 6+) observed from T4 (PF₆⁻ as counterion).



Figure S12. Measured and calculated isotope patterns for different charge states (2+ to 5+) observed from T5 (PF_6^- as counterion).



Figure S13. (a) UV-vis absorption/time dependent changes of **T5** in the presence of Fe^{2+} in $V_{1/1}$ CH₃CN and CH₃OH at **311.15 K**. (b) Plot of LnA (A is the absorption intensity at 567 nm) versus time and corresponding fitting line.



Figure S14. Plot of LnA (A is the absorption intensity at 567 nm) versus time and corresponding fitting line and equation (according to UV-vis absorption/time dependent changes of **T5** in the presence of Fe²⁺ in CH₃CN at **298.15 K**).



Figure S15. Plot of LnA (A is the absorption intensity at 567 nm) versus time and corresponding fitting line and equation (according to UV-vis absorption/time dependent changes of T5 in the presence of Fe^{2+} in CH₃CN at **286.15** K).



Figure S16. ¹H NMR spectrum of transformation process from P3 to T5 at different time at 333 K in CD_3CN .

- 10h 7h 3h 1.5h 0.5h 0h 7.9 7.7 7.3 9.3 9.1 8.9 8.7 8.5 8.3 8.1 7.5 7.1 Chemical Shift (ppm)

Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectrum of transformation process from **P3** to **T5** at different time at 353 K in CD₃CN.