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## Experimental Part

## Peptide synthesis

In general, the peptides used were synthesized on Rink amide resin by automated solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) on a multiple Syro II peptide synthesizer (MultiSynTech, Witten, Germany) following Fmoc/tBu-strategy utilizing a double-coupling procedure and in situ activation with Oxyma/DIC.

Synthesis of CAP18(106-117) (linear) and the linear precursors [Pra ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 6}}, \mathrm{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)^{109}$ ]-CAP18(106-117) (cyc1a), $\quad\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathbf{N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) (cyc2a) and $\left[\right.$ Pra $\left.^{106}, \mathrm{Lys}\left(\mathbf{N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) (cyc3a)
For linear precursor peptides, N-terminal glycine and lysine (in position 109, 113 and 117 respectively) have been replaced by the clickable unnatural amino acids Fmoc-Pra-OH and Fmoc-Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ in a manual coupling step using a 2 -fold excess of amino acid, OxymaPure and DIC shaken at RT overnight. Having assembled the linear peptides, the Nterminal Fmoc protecting group was removed with piperidine in DMF ( $20 \%$, $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The resin was washed with DMF, DCM, MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuum. For peptide cleavage from the resin and complete side-chain deprotection, a cleavage mixture consisting of TFA/TIPS/water (95:2.5:2.5 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to the dried resin. The mixture was shaken at RT for 3 h . After filtration from the resin, the crude peptide was precipitated in 10 ml cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and centrifuged at $5,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 4 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitate was washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$ and finally dried in vacuum. The crude peptide was lyophilized and purified by reversed-phase HPLC. linear: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1570.97; found $786.18[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 524.68[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. Cyc1a: ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01; found $818.16[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 546.00[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. Cyc2a: ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01; found 818.26 $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$, $546.00[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. Cyc3a: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01 ; found $818.15[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 545.98[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$.

## Synthesis of CF-labeled linear peptide $\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \mathrm{Lys}\left(\mathbf{N}_{3}\right)^{109}, \mathrm{Lys}{ }^{117}(\mathrm{CF})\right]-\mathrm{CAP18}(106-117)$ (CF-cyc1a)

Having assembled the linear peptide sequence, the N -terminal Fmoc protecting group was removed with 20 \% piperidine in DMF (v/v). The resin was washed with DMF and DCM followed by a Boc-protection of the free N -terminus using di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate ( $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 10 equiv) and DIPEA (1 equiv) in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DCM left shaking for 2 h at RT. The Dde protecting group at Lys117 side-chain was then removed by successive treatment of the resin with 1 ml
of $3 \%$ hydrazine monohydrate in DMF (v/v) ( $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The peptide was labeled with CF at Lys117 side-chain using 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein (3 equiv), HATU (3 equiv) and DIPEA (3 equiv) in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DMF shaken for 3 h at RT. CF polymers were cleaved by treatment of the resin with $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 45 min at RT and shaking. Finally, the resin was thoroughly washed with DMF, DCM, MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuum. CF-cyc1a: ESI$\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : calcd, 1993.33 ; found $997.34[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 665.38[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$.

## Synthesis of CF-labeled linear peptides [CF-Pra $\left.{ }^{106}, \mathrm{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) (CFcyc2a) and [CF-Pra $\left.{ }^{\mathbf{1 0 6}}, \mathrm{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) (CF-cyc3a)

Having assembled the linear peptide sequence, the N-terminal Fmoc protecting group was removed with piperidine in DMF ( $20 \%, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The peptide was N-terminally labeled with CF using 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein (3 equiv), HATU (3 equiv) and DIPEA (3 equiv) in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DMF shaken for 3 h at RT. CF polymers were cleaved by treatment of the resin with 20 \% piperidine in DMF ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 45 min at RT and shaking. The resin was thoroughly washed with DMF, DCM, MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuum. CF-linear: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : calcd, 1929.28; found $965.32[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$, $644.18[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. CF-cyc2a: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : calcd, 1993.33; found $997.28[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 665.39[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. CF-cyc3a: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : calcd, 1993.33; found $997.27[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 665.36$ $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$.

## Synthesis of cyclic peptides

A fresh $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ solution was prepared from mixing equal volumes of precooled solutions of $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1 M sodium ascorbate. 3 equivalents of this in situ generated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ solution was immediately added to an 1 mM solution of linear peptide in 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate. The reaction vessel was covered with argon and sealed with parafilm. The cyclization reaction was carried out for 30 min at RT and constant shaking. The reaction was quenched by the addition of TFA to adjust the pH level to approximately 2 . The peptide was mostly separated from Cu -species and ascorbate by means of solid-phase extraction with an equilibrated Chromafix C18ec (M) SPE cartridge (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). The collected peptide eluate was evaporated to reduce the volume, lyophilized and purified by reversed-phase HPLC. Cyc1: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01; found 818.26 [ M $+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 546.03[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. Cyc2: ESI-MS (m/z) $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01; found $818.30[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 546.04[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. Cyc3: ESI-MS (m/z) C ${ }_{72} \mathrm{H}_{127} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : calcd, 1635.01; found $818.23[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$, $546.01[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. CF-cyc1: ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ : calcd, 1993.33; found $997.37[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$, $665.42[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+}$. CF-cyc2: ESI-MS (m/z)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19} \text { : calcd, } 1993.33 \text {; found } 997.30[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 665.48[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} . \text { CF-cyc3: ESI- } \\
& \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{19} \text { : calcd, 1993.33; found } 997.30[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 665.41[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analytical methods

Peptides were analyzed by LC-MS using an agilent instrument with parallel detection at 220 nm UV-absorption and electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). The reversed-phase analytical HPLC (RP-HPLC) was performed at $1.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate on a 4.6 x 100 mm Kinetex 2.6u C18 100A column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany). Gradients of $10-45 \%$ or $10-60 \%$ acetonitrile in water over 15 min (with constant $0.1 \%$ TFA) were used.

## Purification of peptides

Peptides were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) using a Hitachi Elite LaChrom instrument (VWR, Darmstadt, Germany) at $6 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate and 220 nm detection. The chromatography was performed on a $15 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ Jupiter 4 u Proteo 90A column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) and acetonitrile/water with 0.1 \% TFA gradients as needed. The collected fractions were evaporated to reduced volume, analyzed with LC-MS and lyophilized to obtain the purified peptides.

## Infrared spectroscopy

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded from solid linear and cyclic peptides without any pretreatment on an IRAffinity-1 FTIR Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany) by means of attenuated total reflection (ATR). Fmoc-Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ served as a reference substance for characteristic bands of the asymmetric valence vibration of azide.

## Peptide fragmentation with MALDI-MS/MS

Peptide fragmentation was performed on an ultrafleXtreme matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometer (MALDI-MS) instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) in TOF/TOF (time-of-flight) mode. Linear or cyclic peptide (approx. $10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was spotted together with $\alpha$-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, with $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) in equal volumes on the MALDI plate. Isolated parent ions $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$were fragmented by collision with inert gas which was kept at constant kinetic energy for all measurements. The resulting fragments were detected after resolution in the second TOF and analyzed and assigned with BioTools Software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

## Circular dichroism spectroscopy

Circular dichroism spectra were recorded from 180 nm to 270 nm in 0.2 nm intervals at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter in an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The CD spectra were measured using a 1 mm quartz cuvette and the instrument parameters were set as follows: sensitivity, 100 mdeg; scan mode, continuous; scan speed, $50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$; response time, 2 sec and bandwidth, 1.0 nm . Peptide solutions were dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0 ) containing either 0 or $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ trifluorethanol to a final peptide concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

In the presence of the plasmid DNA, measurements were performed at a peptide plasmid charge ratio of $17: 1$. In the presence of LUVs, the peptide to lipid molar ratio in the cuvette was $1 / 50$. All CD spectra were averaged over three scans, smoothed and for each sample the background was subtracted from the signal. The ellipticity was expressed as molar ellipticity $[\Theta]$ in $\mathrm{deg}^{*} \mathrm{~cm}^{2 *} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1}$.

## NMR spectroscopy

NMR samples. Samples of peptides 1-4 for NMR experiments were dissolved in PBS buffer ( pH 6.9 ) to make a final peptide concentration of ca. 1 mM . The concentrations for perdeuterated SDS ( $\mathrm{d}_{25}-$ SDS $)$ samples resulted in a peptide:SDS molecule ratio of 1:30.

For Mn2+ experiments, a stock solution of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared and was added stepwise to the peptide/micelle sample until $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ concentration was $190 \mu \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{d}_{25}$-SDS was purchased from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories.

NMR experiments. Spectra were recorded at on a Bruker Avance II 600 spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ frequency of 600 MHz ) using a 5 mm triple-resonance Z gradient probe and processed using Topspin software (Bruker). The transmitter frequency was set on the $\mathrm{HDO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, and the TSP resonance was used as chemical shift reference ( $\delta$ TSP $=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).
One and two-dimensional spectra were acquired by standard pulse sequences using WATERGATE-based solvent suppression sequences. Two-dimensional homonuclear experiments (i.e. TOCSY and NOESY) spectra of peptides were recorded using mixing times of 80 ms and 150 ms , respectively.

The diffusion measurements were performed with a stimulated echo sequence incorporating bipolar gradients ${ }^{[1]}$ with WATERGATE solvent suppression. ${ }^{[2]}$ The duration of the magnetic field pulse gradients (d) and the diffusion times (D) were optimized for each sample so as to obtain a $95 \%$ dephasing of the signals with the maximum gradient strength. Gradient strengths of $\mathrm{xx}-\mathrm{xx} \mathrm{ms}$ duration were increased in 32 steps from 2 to $95 \%$ of the maximum
gradient strength in a linear ramp. 128 scans were recorded for each selected gradient strength, and 32 K complex data points were acquired. The diffusion coefficients were calculated from the Stokes-Einstein Equation. The gradient strength was calibrated by using back calculation of the coil constant from a H 2 O diffusion experiment with $\mathrm{D}=2.310^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at 298 K .

Structure calculation. The processed data were then analyzed by using CARA software. ${ }^{[3]}$ According to the general procedure described by Wüthrich, ${ }^{[4]}$ the 1 H resonances of the peptides were assigned by spin system identification (TOCSY) and sequential assignment (NOESY).Peak lists for the TOCSY and NOESY spectra were generated by interactive peak picking. NOESY cross-peak volumes were determined by the automated peak integration routine implemented in CARA.

Three-dimensional structures were determined by the standard protocol of the CYANA program (version 2.1), ${ }^{[5]}$ using seven cycles of combined automated NOESY assignment and structure calculations followed by a final structure calculation. Since the cyclic peptides contain non-standard residues, the corresponding libraries for CYANA were built using the MOLMOL program. ${ }^{[6]}$ MOLMOL was also used to visualize the three-dimensional structures. For each CYANA cycle, 1000 randomized conformers and the standard simulated annealing schedule were used. The 20 conformers with the lowest final score were retained for analysis and passed on to the next cycle. Weak restraints on $\phi / \psi$ torsion-angle pairs and on side-chain torsion angles between tetrahedral carbon atoms were applied temporarily during the hightemperature and cooling phases of the simulated annealing schedule in order to favor the permitted regions of the Ramachandran plot and staggered rotamer positions, respectively. The list of upper- distance bonds for the final structural calculation consists of unambiguously assigned upper-distance bonds and does not require the possible swapping of diastereotopic pairs.

Root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) values were calculated using CYANA for superpositions of the backbone $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C} \alpha$, and CO atoms; the heavy atoms over the whole peptide or the cyclic fragment. To obtain the rmsd of a structure represented by a bundle of conformers, all conformers were superimposed upon the first one and the average of the rmsd values between the individual conformers and their average coordinates was calculated. The statistics regarding the quality and precision of the 20 energy minimized conformers that represent the solution structure of peptides are summarized in Supporting Information Table S8.

## Stability studies

For a stability assay in human blood serum (taken from a healthy volunteer), 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-labeled peptides were dissolved to $132 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in blood plasma and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking. At the indicated time points, $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots were withdrawn and mixed with $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a solvent containing water, acetonitrile, ethanol and trifluoroacetic acid (7:1:1:1, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . Samples were centrifuged at $15,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 15 min and the supernatants were analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC equipped with a C18 column. In the presence of trypsin, the proteolytic stability of the peptides was determined incubating prewarmed $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide in 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate together with $8.4 \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{ml}$ prewarmed trypsin (peptide/trypsin 500:1 (w/w)) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1200 rpm constant shaking. An aliquot of $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the mixture was withdrawn at different time intervals ( 2 min to 75 h ) and $20 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \%$ TFA was added immediately to inactivate the enzyme. The mixture was analysed by analytical RP-HPLC and at 220 nm detection.

The amount of remaining peptide (\%) was determined by integrating the area underneath the peptide peak and compared with that of the control reaction (no serum/trypsin).

## Cell culture

The following cell lines were used: HEK-293 (human embryonic kidney cells), HeLa (human cervix carcinoma), HCT-15 (human colorectal adenocarcinoma) and MCF-7 (human breast adenocarcinoma). Cells were cultured in 10 cm petri dishes at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified atmosphere. For HEK-293 cells, complete Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with $15 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) was used. HeLa, HCT-15 and MCF-7 cells were grown in complete RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10 \% FBS.

## Cell viability assay

For a resazurin-based cell viability assay, cells were seeded in a 96 -well plate, grown to $60-$ 70 \% confluency and incubated with various concentrations of peptides in appropriate growth medium for 24 h under standard growth conditions. For the positive control, cells were treated with $70 \%$ ethanol for 10 min . After washing with serum-free medium, resazurin stock solution ( $5 \%$ resazurin in PBS) was diluted with appropriate serum-free medium ( $1: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this solution was incubated with the cells for 1 h . Subsequently, the cell viability was determined relative to untreated cells by measurement of the resorufin product at $595 \mathrm{~nm}(\lambda \mathrm{ex}=550 \mathrm{~nm})$ on a Tecan infinite M200 plate reader. The experiments were done in triplicate.

## Peptide internalization studies

For peptide-uptake studies by flow cytometry, cells were seeded in a 24 -well plate and grown to $60-70 \%$ confluency. After incubation at either $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min with 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-labeled peptides in serum-free medium, the cells were treated with $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ trypan blue for 10 sec to quench extracellular fluorescence and washed twice with indicator-free medium, trypsinized and resuspended in appropriate medium. Analyses were performed on a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Heidelberg, Germany). Cellular autofluorescence was subtracted. The experiments were performed in duplicate.

For confocal microscopical uptake studies, cells were seeded in a $\mu$-slide 8 -well (Ibidi) plate and grown to $60-70 \%$ confluency. The cells were then incubated with CF-labeled peptides in serum-free medium for 30 min at either $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The nuclei were stained for 10 min with Hoechst33342 nuclear dye prior to the end of peptide incubation. Finally, the solution was removed and the cells were treated with a $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ trypan blue solution for 10 sec . After washing twice with indicator-free medium, images were taken by using a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a 60 x oil-immersion objective. Images were recorded with Nikon EZ-C1 software and adjusted equally with ImageJ software.

## Vesicle preparation

GUVs. 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[phospho-rac-(1-glycerol)] (DOPG) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, USA) and Atto550 labeled DOPE was from Atto Tec (Siegen, Germany). GUVs were prepared as described previously ${ }^{[7]}$. Briefly, super low melting agarose ( $1 \%, \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was coated on a clean glass slide and dried on a hot plate $\left(\sim 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 30 min . Afterwards, two droplets of the respective lipid solutions ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ each) were spread on the agarose film and dried in vacuo for at least 1 h to remove residual chloroform. To visualize the membranes, the lipid solution was prior doped with 0.2 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ Atto550-DOPE. Then, a seal ring was placed onto the lipid coated areas on the slide to obtain two sealed chambers. For the preparation of GUVs encapsulating Oyster 405 (Luminaris GmbH, Münster, Germany), a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES; pH 7.4, 50 mM $\mathrm{KCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ dextran (from Leuconostoc spp., 6 kDa ) and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Oyster 405 ( $300 \mu \mathrm{leach}$ ) was added to the hybrid film. The glass slide was then left in the dark for 2 h to allow hydration and swelling of the lipids. To harvest the GUV suspension, the glass slide was gently tilted in all directions to detach the liposomes from the surface. The giant
liposomes were then stored in LoBind tubes ( 1.5 ml , Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at RT and used within three days.

LUVs. All lipids were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, USA). Depending on the desired LUV composition, the chloroform-dissolved lipid mixture was placed in a roundbottomed flask under vacuum at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 1 h to remove residual solvent. The dried lipid film was hydrated with a buffer ( $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, pH 7.4 ) containing $10 \%$ $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form liposomes with a final concentration of 4 mM (flow cytometry studies) or 8 mM (CF release experiments). To form LUVs, the suspension was subsequently run through 10 freeze/thawing cycles and passed 21 times through a mini-extruder equipped with a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ polycarbonate track-etch membrane (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, USA). Liposome preparations were analyzed by dynamic light scattering indicating a range of 250 400 nm in diameter.

GPMVs. Either $6 \times 10^{5}$ HEK-293 cells or $5 \times 10^{5}$ MCF-7 were seeded per well in a 6 -well culture plate (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) and were grown to $70-80 \%$ confluency in the appropriate medium supplemented with FBS. GMPVs were prepared as described earlier by R. E. Scott, 1976 with minor modifications. ${ }^{[8]}$ The cells were washed twice with buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2$, pH 7.4 (GPMV buffer). To induce the formation of cell-free vesicles, 1 ml of freshly prepared GPMV buffer supplemented with paraformaldehyde (PFA) and dithiothreitol (DTT) at final concentrations of 25 mM and 2 mM respectively was added and the cells were incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while slowly shaken (Certomat H/SII, Braun Biotech, Göttingen, Germany). After incubation, the GPMV-rich cellular supernatant was transferred into a 15 ml conical tube (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany), where the GPMVs were allowed to settle on ice for 30 min . Afterwards, $20-50 \%$ of the total volume was transferred from the bottom of the conical tube into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. The amount of vesicles was counted using a Neubauer cell counting chamber, and in 1 ml app. 850.000 vesicles were present. For microscopical studies, GPMV membranes were stained with DiI (Invitrogen), a fluorescent dye, at a final concentration of 5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

## Analysis of peptide/lipid interactions

Peptide-induced 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein leakage. CF-containing LUVs were prepared by hydrating a dried lipid film of desired compositions with a buffer containing 100 mMCF . The fluorescence intensity in the presence of 100 mM CF is low due to self-quenching but
increases upon dilution. Free CF outside the LUVs was separated by size exclusion chromatography using a PD10 column (GE Healthcare). Then, peptides were added to LUVs and the release of CF from vesicles was monitored by an increase in the fluorescence intensity using a fluorescence Tecan infinite M200 plate reader. At the end of each experiment, Triton X-100 ( $0.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ final concentration) was applied to measure the maximum of dequenching that will be used to normalize data. The percentage of CF release was determined by
$\%$ CF release $=\frac{F_{(t)}-F_{0}}{F_{f}-F_{0}} * 100$
where $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{t})}$ is the fluorescence intensity at time t , $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ is the fluorescence intensity before peptide addition and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the fluorescence intensity after the final addition of Triton X-100. Each experiment was carried out with $\mathrm{n}=3$ in duplicate.

Flow cytometry analysis. Various compositions of LUVs were applied to flow cytometry analysis as recently described by the Nickel group. ${ }^{[9]}$ CF-labeled peptides were added to $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solutions of LUVs and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h while mild shaking ( 450 rpm , Thermomixer compact, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). After incubation, the peptide/liposome solutions were washed with 1 ml with buffer ( $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, pH 7.4 ) and centrifuged at $15,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatants were carefully removed and the pellets resuspended in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer solution. Afterwards, the peptide/lipid interactions were analyzed with a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) instrument (BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer, Heidelberg, Germany).

Confocal laser scanning microscopy. Microscopical studies with GUVs were performed as recently described by us. ${ }^{[7 b]}$ Briefly, GUVs loaded with the membrane-impermeant fluorophore Oyster 405 were prepared as described in section 7.1. To remove untrapped Oyster 405, liposomes were centrifuged two times at $14,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min at RT. A $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of the GUV solution was diluted in $50 \mu 1$ of the respective buffer without Oyster 405 and was then transferred into a tissue culture vessel (FlexiPERM slide, 8 wells, Sarstedt, Germany). CF-labeled peptides diluted in buffer containing 10 mM HEPES; $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ dextran (from Leuconostoc spp., 6 kDa ) were added to the outer solution of GUVs at a final concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The GUV-peptide interaction was analyzed using a confocal laser scanning system (Nikon D-Eclipse C1) consisting of an inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti) equipped with a $20 \times$ objective (NA 0.45 , Plan Fluor;

Nikon). Microscope pictures were recorded in 16-bit grayscale, pseudocolored in red (channel 1), green (channel 2), and blue (channel 3) followed by processing with ImageJ.

GPMVs were analyzed by CLSM as described by the Pooga group with minor modifications. ${ }^{[10]}$ Concisely, after labeling the vesicles with the fluorescence stain DiI, the CF-labeled peptides at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations were immediately added to GPMV suspension and incubated at RT for 1 h . Then, $20 \mu 1$ of the peptide/membrane solution was deposited onto a $\mu$-slide 8 -well (Ibidi) plate and covered with glass cover slips ( $\varnothing 9 \mathrm{~mm}$, round, No 1 ). The GPMVs were investigated by using a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a $60 \times$ oil-immersion objective. Images were recorded with Nikon EZ-C1 software and adjusted equally with ImageJ software.

## Electromobility shift assay

For the formation of plasmid/CPP complexes, the peptides were incubated with a peptide to plasmid charge ratio of $13: 1$ in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warm nuclease-free water for 30 min at RT. Charge ratio of $13: 1$ corresponds to peptide concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Immediately after complexation, $5 \mu 1$ of $50 \%$ glycerin in water was added to each of the samples which were then electrophoresed for 30 min at 100 V on a $1 \%$ agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide in Tris-acetat-EDTA (TAE) buffer. Pure plasmid was used as control.

## Peptide-mediated cell transfection

MCF-7 cells were seeded in a $\mu$-slide 8 -well (Ibidi) plate ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well) and grown to 60 $-70 \%$ confluency. Formation of the pEGFP-N1 plasmid/CPP complexes in water was achieved as described above. Serum-free medium was added to the sample to give a total volume of $150 \mu$. The cells were incubated with the plasmid/CPP complex for 6 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, or 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for further 5 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. After incubation time, the reaction solutions were supplemented with RPM1 1640 medium containing $10 \%$ FBS. As a positive control, cells were transfected with $1.5 \mu$ Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacture's protocol. As a negative control, cells were incubated with plasmid alone, respectively. The transfection was determined by fluorescence microscopy after 24 h and 48 h incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table S1. Analytical data and half times of the peptide conjugates synthesized. All peptides are amidated at the C-terminus. a indicates precursor peptides.

| Peptide |  | Sequence | Total net charge | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M W}_{\text {calc }} \\ & {[\mathbf{D a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}_{\text {exp }} \\ & {[\mathbf{D a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purity } \\ & {[\%]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tau_{1 / 2} \\ & \text { in serum } \\ & {[\mathrm{h}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| linear | CAP18(106-117) | GLRKRLRKFRNK | +8 | 1570.97 | 1570.7 | $\geq 99$ | 4 |
| cyc1a | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{109}\right]-} \\ & \text { CAP18(106-117) } \end{aligned}$ | BLRXRLRKFRNK | +7 | 1635.01 | 1634.7 | $\geq 99$ | - |
| cyc1 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cyc}\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{109}\right]- \\ & \operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117) \end{aligned}$ | BLRXRLRKFRNK | +7 | 1635.01 | 1634.78 | $\geq 99$ | 18 |
| cyc2a | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right]-} \\ & \operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117) \end{aligned}$ | BLRKRLRXFRNK | +7 | 1635.01 | 1634.77 | > 98 | - |
| cyc2 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cyc}\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right]- \\ & \operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117) \end{aligned}$ | BLRKRLRXFRNK | +7 | 1635.01 | 1634.89 | $\geq 99$ | 12 |
| cyc3a | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right]-} \\ & \operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117) \end{aligned}$ | BLRKRLRKFRNX | +7 | 1635.01 | 1633.27 | > 95 | - |
| cyc3 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cyc}\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right]- \\ & \operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117) \end{aligned}$ | BLRKRLRKFRNX | +7 | 1635.01 | 1634.66 | $\geq 99$ | 18 |

B: L-propargylglycine, X: L-(E-azido)-lysine; $\qquad$ : amino acids involved in cyclization

Table S2. Analytical data of the 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein labeled peptide conjugates synthesized. All peptides are amidated at the C-terminus. a indicates precursor peptides.

| Peptide |  | Sequence | Total net charge | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M W}_{\text {calc }} \\ & {[\mathrm{Da}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M W}_{\text {exp }} \\ & {[\mathrm{Da}]} \end{aligned}$ | Purity [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF- <br> linear | CF-CAP18(106-117) | CF-GLRKRLRKFRNK | +7 | 1929.28 | 1929.09 | > 96 |
| CF- <br> cyc1a | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{109}, \operatorname{Lys}^{117}(\mathrm{CF})\right]-} \\ & \text { CAP18(106-117) } \end{aligned}$ | BLRXRLRKFRNK(CF) | +6 | 1993.33 | 1992.93 | $>95$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CF- } \\ & \text { cyc1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cyc}\left[\operatorname{Pra}^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{109}, \mathrm{Lys}^{117}(\mathrm{CF})\right]- \\ & \text { CAP18(106-117) } \end{aligned}$ | BLRXRLRKFRNK(CF) | +6 | 1993.33 | 1992.74 | $\geq 99$ |
| CF- <br> cyc2a | $\left[\right.$ CF-Pra $\left.{ }^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) | CF-BLRKRLRXFRNK | +6 | 1993.33 | 1992.96 | $>98$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CF- } \\ & \text { cyc2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{cyc}\left[\text { CF-Pra }{ }^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{113}\right] \text {-CAP18(106- } \\ & 117) \end{aligned}$ | CF-BLRKRLRXFRNK | +6 | 1993.33 | 1993.78 | $\geq 99$ |
| CFcyc3a | $\left[\operatorname{Pra}{ }^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right] \text {-CAP18(106-117) }$ | CF-BLRKRLRKFRNX | +6 | 1993.33 | 1992.94 | $\geq 99$ |
| CFcyc3 | $\operatorname{cyc}\left[\operatorname{Pra}{ }^{106}, \operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{117}\right]$-CAP18(106-117) | CF-BLRKRLRKFRNX | +6 | 1993.33 | 1993.05 | $\geq 99$ |

B: L-propargylglycine, X: L-(E-azido)-lysine; $\qquad$ : amino acids involved in cyclization

Table S3. Fragment masses of linear and cyclic peptides. Shown are experimental found masses of b - and y-ions by MALDI-MS/MS. Highlighted in grey: cyclic part of the peptide. All masses listed correspond to $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$molecular ions.

| cyc1a | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{2} \\ 209,09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{3} \\ 365,18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{4} \\ 519,22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{5} \\ 675, \underline{3} 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{6} \\ 788,43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{7} \\ 944,52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{8} \\ 1072,63 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{9} \\ 1219,74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{10} \\ 1375,80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{11} \\ 1490,23 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{12} \\ 1635,06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{11} \\ 1539,98 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{10} \\ 1426,99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{9} \\ 1270,84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{8} \\ 1116,69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} y_{7} \\ 960,60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{6} \\ 847,51 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{5} \\ 691,42 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{4} \\ 563,35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{3} \\ 416,25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{2} \\ 260,11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{1} \\ 146,09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| cyc1 | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{4} \\ 519,29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{5} \\ 675,33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{6} \\ 788,42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{7} \\ 944,49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{8} \\ 1072,58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{9} \\ 1219,6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{10} \\ 1375,72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{11} \\ 1489,7 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{12} \\ 1635,01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | $y_{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{8} \\ 1116,59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{7} \\ 960,50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{6} \\ 847,44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{5} \\ 691,38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{4} \\ 563,32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{3} \\ 416,20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{2} \\ 260,07 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{1} \\ 146,07 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| cyc2a | $\begin{gathered} b_{1} \\ -96,11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{2} \\ 209,05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{3} \\ 365,09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{4} \\ 493,18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{5} \\ 649,25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{6} \\ 762,32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{7} \\ 918,37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{8} \\ 1072,43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{9} \\ 1219,45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{10} \\ 1375,66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{11} \\ \underline{1490}, \underline{00} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b_{12} \\ 1617,4 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 165,17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{11} \\ 1539,85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{10} \\ 1426,55 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{9} \\ 1270,65 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{8} \\ 1142,47 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{7} \\ 986,44 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{6} \\ 873,36 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{5} \\ 717,25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{4} \\ 563,18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{3} \\ 416,12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{2} \\ 260,06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{1} \\ 146,06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| cyc2 | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{12} \\ 1634,99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | $y_{9}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ -- | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ -- | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ -- | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ -- | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}_{3} \\ 416,20 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |
| cyc3a | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{y}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |
|  | 1635,29 | -- | 1426,89 | 1270,63 | 1142,64 | 986,78 | 873,45 | 717,70 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| cyc3 | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{y}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |
|  | 1635,20 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Table S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the linear peptide in PBS buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $9: 1$ ) at 278 K .

| Residue | Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HN | $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ | Others |
| G1 |  | 3.836 |  |  |
| L2 | 8.732 | 4.314 | 1.597 | $\delta 0.890$ |
| R3 | 8.649 | 4.291 | 1.643, 1.804 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.603, \delta 3.191, \varepsilon 7.446$ |
| K4 | 8.583 | 4.240 | 1.769 | $\gamma 1.459, \delta 1.671, \varepsilon 2.974$ |
| R5 | 8.583 | 4.298 | 1.788 | $\gamma 1.601, \delta 3.174, \varepsilon 7.455$ |
| L6 | 8.518 | 4.335 | 1.647, 1.564 | $\boldsymbol{\delta} 0.939,0.877$ |
| R7 | 8.475 | 4.249 | 1.739, 1.658 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.536, \delta 3.156, \varepsilon 7.436$ |
| K8 | 8.478 | 4.214 | 1.636 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.288, \varepsilon 2.932$ |
| F9 | 8.430 | 4.603 | 3.020, 3.113 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.259 ; \mathbf{3 - 5 H} 7.335 ; \mathbf{4 H} 7.301$ |
| R10 | 8.371 | 4.260 | 1.697, 1.777 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.543, \delta 3.162, \varepsilon 7.421$ |
| N11 | 8.600 | 4.623 | 2.758, 2.832 | ¢ 7.074, 7.804 |
| K12 | 8.517 | 4.234 | 1.861 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.408, \delta 1.667, \varepsilon 2.966$ |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 7.256, 7.731 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chemical shifts are relative to TSP (0 p.p.m.),

Table S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the cyc1 in PBS buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 9:1) at 278K.

| Residue | Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HN | $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ | $H^{\beta}$ | Others |
| Pra | - | 4.216 | 3.300, 3.231 |  |
| L2 | 8.541 | 4.216 | 1.638 | $\delta 0.883,0.921$ |
| R3 | 8.337 | 4.074 | 1.901, 1.675 | $\gamma 1.573, \delta 3.206, \varepsilon 7.463$ |
| Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 4$ | 7.906 | 4.270 | 1.780, 1.934 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.161, \delta 1.344,1.485, \varepsilon 4.422$, triazole 7.917 |
| R5 | 8.331 | 4.254 | 1.768 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.561, \delta 3.169, \varepsilon 7.479$ |
| L6 | 8.443 | 4.313 | 1.644 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.559, \delta 0.872,0.933$ |
| R7 | 8.435 | 4.237 | 1.746 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.567, \delta 3.157, \varepsilon 7.495$ |
| K8 | 8.488 | 4.210 | 1.671 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.269,1.309, \delta 1.633, \varepsilon 2.931$ |
| F9 | 8.443 | 4.591 | 3.026, 3.113 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.257$; 3-5H 7.340; 4H 7.303 |
| R10 | 8.388 | 4.258 | 1.775 | $\gamma 1.557, \delta 3.156, \varepsilon 7.508$ |
| N11 | 8.625 | 4.616 | 2.755, 2.840 | $\delta 7.078,7.827$ |
| K12 | 8.539 | 4.227 | 1.856, 1.761 | $\gamma 1.399,1.462, \delta 1.665, \varepsilon 2.966$ |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 7.267, 7.750 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chemical shifts are relative to TSP (0 p.p.m.),

Table S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the cyc2 in PBS buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) at 278K.

| Residue | Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HN | $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ | $H^{\beta}$ | Others |
| Pra |  | 4.089 | 3.269 |  |
| L2 | 8.700 | 4.372 | 1.572 | $\delta 0.850,0.913$ |
| R3 | 8.766 | 4.371 | 1.644, 1.818 | $\gamma 1.553, \delta 3.165, \varepsilon 7.500$ |
| K4 | 8.599 | 4.209 | 1.764, 1.850 | $\gamma 1.421 ; 1.357, \delta 1.666, \varepsilon 2.929$ |
| R5 | 8.518 | 4.132 | 1.825, 1.869 | $\gamma 1.585, \delta 3.164, \varepsilon 7.550$ |
| L6 | 8.270 | 4.249 | 1.695 | $\delta 0.921,0.859$ |
| R7 | 8.296 | 4.257 | 1.749 | $\gamma 1.503, \delta 3.158, \varepsilon 7.513$ |
| Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 8$ | 8.340 | 4.183 | 1.856 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.181,1.237, \delta 1.639, \varepsilon 4.374$, triazole 7.915 |
| F9 | 8.447 | 4.618 | 2.990, 3.111 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.244$; 3-5H 7.323; 4H 7.299 |
| R10 | 8.439 | 4.270 | 1.705, 1.791 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.560, \delta 3.153, \varepsilon 7.495$ |
| N11 | 8.662 | 4.625 | 2.749, 2.845 | $\delta 7.836,7.077$ |
| K12 | 8.539 | 4.236 | 1.756, 1.859 | $\gamma 1.415,1.456, \delta 1.674, \varepsilon 2.966$ |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 7.759, 7.270 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chemical shifts are relative to TSP (0 p.p.m.),

Table S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the cyc3 in PBS buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) at 278K.

| Residue | Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HN | $\mathbf{H}^{\alpha}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\beta}$ | Others |
| Pra |  | 4.001 | 3.180 |  |
| L2 |  |  | 1.712 | $\delta 0.918,0.852$ |
| R3 | 8.874 | 4.313 | 1.840 | $\gamma 1.639, \delta 3.119, \varepsilon 7.460$ |
| K4 | 8.416 | 4.192 | 1.867, 1.766 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.379, \varepsilon 2.940$ |
| R5 | 8.532 | 4.272 | 1.781, 1.859 | $\gamma 1.593, \delta 3.156, \varepsilon 7.474$ |
| L6 | 8.333 | 4.322 | 1.589 | ס 0.856, 0.912 |
| R7 | 8.346 | 4.169 | 1.800 | $\gamma 1.595, \delta 3.167, \varepsilon 7.498$ |
| K8 | 8.319 | 4.150 | 1.170 | $\gamma 1.584, \varepsilon 2.887$ |
| F9 | 8.235 | 4.615 | 3.029, 3.184 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.233$; 3-5H 7.318; $\mathbf{4 H} 7.274$ |
| R10 | 8.255 | 4.264 | 1.746, 1.811 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.568, \delta 3.159, \varepsilon 7.567$ |
| N11 | 8.577 | 4.656 | 2.762, 2.851 | $\delta 7.060,7.810$ |
| Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$ | 8.408 | 4.200 | 1.897 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.400, \delta 1.753, \varepsilon 4.376$, triazole 7.882 |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 7.258, 7.735 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chemical shifts are relative to TSP (0 p.p.m.),

Table S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the linear peptide in the presence of $\mathrm{d}_{25}-\mathrm{SDS}(\mathrm{pH} 7$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) at 298 K .

## Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Residue | HN | $\mathbf{H}^{\alpha}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\beta}$ | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 |  | 4.110,3.957 |  |  |
| L2 | 7.993 | 4.153 | 1.770 | $\delta 0.952$ |
| R3 | 8.657 | 4.416 | 1.906 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.712, \delta 3.227, \varepsilon 7.232$ |
| K4 | 7.742 | 4.149 | 1.796, 1.653 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.337, \varepsilon 2.964$ |
| R5 | 8.326 | 3.996 | 1.931, 1.758 | $\gamma 1.689, \delta 3.134, \varepsilon 7.298$ |
| L6 | 8.147 | 4.229 | 1.819 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\delta} 0.930$ |
| R7 | 7.935 | 4.425 | 1.900 | $\gamma 1.716, \delta 3.205, \varepsilon 7.139$ |
| K8 | 7.861 | 4.118 | 1.873, 1.675 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.466, \varepsilon 2.994$ |
| F9 | 8.023 | 4.557 | 2.771, 2.667 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.325$ |
| R10 | 7.873 | 4.170 | 1.791, 1.949 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.682, \delta 3.186, \varepsilon 7.167$ |
| N11 | 8.068 | 4.660 | 2.889, 2.766 | ¢ 7.587, 6.874 |
| K12 | 7.937 | 4.203 | 1.822, 1.672 | $\gamma 1.447, \varepsilon 3.000$ |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 7.473, 7.029 |

Table S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts (p.p.m.) of the cyc3 in the presence of $\mathrm{d}_{25}-\mathrm{SDS}\left(\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ $9: 1$ ) at 313 K .

| Residue | Chemical shift (p.p.m.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HN | $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ | $H^{\beta}$ | Others |
| Pra |  | 4.321 | 3.473, 3.414 |  |
| L2 | 7.817 | 4.332 | 1.821 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.688 \boldsymbol{\delta} 0.980,0.936$ |
| R3 | 7.832 | 4.326 | 1.907 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.667, \delta 3.177, \varepsilon 7.093$ |
| K4 | 7.998 | 4.176 | 1.818, 1.645 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.346,1.442, \varepsilon 2.994$ |
| R5 | 7.979 | 4.180 | 1.814 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.636, \delta 3.192, \varepsilon 7.170$ |
| L6 | 7.799 | 4.365 | 1.812 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.696, \delta 0.871$ |
| R7 | 7.963 | 4.166 | 1.803 | $\gamma 1.645, \delta 3.176, \varepsilon 7.180$ |
| K8 | 7.887 | 4.113 | 1.570 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.173, \varepsilon 2.923$ |
| F9 | 7.649 | 4.263 | 3.058, 3.255 | $\mathbf{2 H - 6 H} 7.198$; 3-5H 7.303; 4H 7.281 |
| R10 | 7.691 | 4.252 | 1.773, 1.844 | $\gamma 1.581, \delta 3.191, \varepsilon 7.090$ |
| N11 | 8.262 | 4.683 | 2.874, 2.749 | $\delta$ 7.504, 6.812 |
| Lys $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$ | 7.943 | 4.248 | 1.897 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} 1.416, \varepsilon 4.370$, triazole 7.987 |
| CONH2 |  |  |  | 7.502, 6.938 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chemical shifts are relative to TSP (0 p.p.m.),

Table S10. Diffusion coefficient temperature of linear anc cyc3 peptides in the presence of SDS micelles (600MHz, 298K)

| Peptide | Diffusion coefficient $D\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s} \times 10^{-11}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linear | Water | SDS |
| Cyc3 | 6.1 | 2.14 |
|  | 3.97 | 2.32 |

Table S11. Statistics for the NMR solution structure of linear and cyc1-3 peptides. Average values over the 20 energy-minimized CYANA conformers.

| Parameter | Value |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Linear | Cyc1 | Cyc2 | Cyc3 | Linear | Cyc3 |
|  | /water | /water | /water | /water | /SDS | ISDS |
| NOE cross-peaks | 366 | 423 | 290 | 268 | 387 | 250 |
| Assigned (\%) | 96.45 | 99.5 | 97.6 | 86.7 | 95.3 | 85.2 |
| NOE upper distance limits | 102 | 86 | 130 | 123 | 113 | 109 |
| Short-range, \|i-j| | 92 | 78 | 103 | 85 | 89 | 91 |
| Medium-range, $1<\|\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{j}\|<5$ | 10 | 8 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 16 |
| Long-range, $\|i-j\| \geq 5$ | 0 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 2 |
| Violations > $0.2 \AA$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CYANA target function ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.03 |
| Rmsd to mean co-ordinates ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backbone N, C ${ }_{\square}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 2.27 | 2.19 (0.5) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.97(0.81) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.66 | 1.48 | 1.05 |
| All heavy atoms of residues | 3.61 | 4.09 | 2.05 | 1.52 | 2.66 | 1.73 |
| Ramachandran plot statistics (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residues in the most favoured | 66.5 | 46.7 | 47.5 | 70 | 57.5 | 48.8 |
| Residues in the additionally | 33.5 | 53.3 | 52.5 | 30 | 41 | 50.0 |
| Residues in the generously | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Residues in the disallowed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]



Scheme S1. The synthesis of the cyclic peptide variants; (i) Solid phase peptide synthesis using $\mathrm{Fmoc} / t \mathrm{Bu}$ strategy, (ii) Cleavage of the peptide and deprotection, (iii) $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ mediated Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (B: L-propargylglycine, X: L-azidolysine)


Figure S1. HPLC chromatographs of linear and cyclic peptides. Different retention times of the linear and cyclic peptides allow a differentiation and an exact determination. Black: linear precursor, red: cyclic peptide, green: coelution of both variants.


Figure S2. IR spectra of linear (grey), cyclic peptides (black) and additionally of Fmoc$\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$ in the range of the characteristic azide band (dashed)

A


B

|  | R-value $\left(=\Theta_{222 n m} / \Theta_{208 \mathrm{~nm}}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | linear | cyc1 | cyc2 | cyc3 |
| buffer | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.52 |
| buffer + TFE | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 0.65 |
| neutral <br> LUVs | 0.64 | - | - | 0.18 |
| negatively charged LUVs | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.09 | - |

Figure S3. A Circular dichroism spectra of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptides in 10 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0) and in 10 mM phosphate buffer with the addition of $50 \%$ trifluoroethanol (TFE). B Rvalues (R) represent the ratio between the molar ellipticity values at 222 and 208 nm . ${ }^{[11]}$


Figure S4. Overlapping of the 20energy minimized structures of (a) cyc1 (c) cyc2 and (d) cyc3 peptides in water as calculated from NMR data.


Figure S5. Stability of peptides in human serum at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ measured by the percentage of remaining intact peptide. ( $\mathrm{n}=3$, error bars represent standard deviations)


Figure S6. Cytotoxicity of $\operatorname{CAP} 18(106-117)$ conjugates at different concentrations against various cell lines after 24 h incubation. Untreated cells served as negative control and cells treated with $70 \%$ ethanol served as positive control. Experiments were conducted in duplicate with $n=3$. Error bars represent the standard deviation.


Figure S7. Interaction of CF-labeled peptides with neutral (left) and negatively charged (right) giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) loaded with Oyster 405 (blue). GUVs doped with $0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Atto550-labeld DOPE to visualize their membranes (red) were incubated with CFlabeled peptides (green, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 90 min and analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Scale bars, $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S8. Expansions of the $\mathrm{H} \alpha-\mathrm{HN}$ region of the 2 D TOCSY spectra ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 80 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 278 K ) of (a) linear, (b) cyc1, (c) cyc2 and (d) cyc3 peptides in water/PBS buffer ( pH 7 ) K4* stands for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 4, \mathrm{~K} 8^{*}$ stands for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 8$ and K12* for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$.


Figure S9. Expansions of selected regions of the 2D NOESY spectra ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 150 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 278 K ) of (a) linear, (b) cyc1, (c) cyc2 and (d) cyc3 peptides in water/PBS buffer at 278 K. K4* stands for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 4, \mathrm{~K} 8^{*}$ stands for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 8$ and $\mathrm{K} 12 *$ for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 600 MHz ,) of (upper pannel) linear peptide in (a) water ( 278 K ) and (b) SDS micelles (298K) and (lower pannel) cyc3 in (c) water (278K) and (d) SDS micelles ( 313 K ).


Figure S11. Expansions of the H $\alpha-\mathrm{HN}$ region of the 2D TOCSY spectra of (upper pannel) linear peptide in (a) water $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, 80 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 278 K ) and (b) with SDS micelles ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 80 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 298 K ) and (lower pannel) cyc3 in (c) water $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, 80 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 278 K ) and (d) with SDS micelles of ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 80 \mathrm{~ms}$ mixing time, 313 K ). K12* for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$.


Figure S12. Sections of 2D NOESY spectra of (a) linear peptide and (b) cyc3 in the presence of SDS micelles. K12* for $\operatorname{Lys}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right) 12$

(b)


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 600 MHz ,) of cyc3 (a) with SDS micelles and (b) upon addition of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$.


Figure S14. Electromobility shift assay. pEGFP-N1 plasmid was complexed with the peptides cyc1, cyc2, cyc3 and linear at various charge ratios specified on top. Afterwards, the samples were electrophoresed on an $1 \%$ agarose gel. pEGFP-N1 plasmid versus control.


Figure S15. Circular dichroism spectra of CF-labeled peptides in 25 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.4 ) and in the presence of neutral and anionic large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) at a P/L ratio of $1 / 50$. The peptides were dissolved at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in phosphate buffer.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{RMSD}$ value calculated for peptide fragment 1-4. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ RMSD value calculated for peptide fragment 1-8.

