An Effective Strategy to Boost the Robustness of Metal-Organic Framework via Introducing Size-Matching Ligand Braces

Xiuli Wang,^{*a,b*§*} Wen-Yang Gao,^{*b, §*} Jian Luan,^{*a*} Lukasz Wojtas^{*b*} and Shengqian Ma^{*b**} ^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, Bohai University, Jinzhou, 121000, P.R. China; ^{*b*} Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Fowler Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA [§] Equal contribution

ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

General methods

Commercially available reagents were purchased as high purity from Fisher Scientific and used without further purification. 9,10-anthracenedicarboxylic acid (H₂adc) was synthesized using the similar procedures as reported.¹ Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed under nitrogen on a TA Instrument Q50 from 25°C to 800 °C at the speed of 10 °C/min. FT-IR data were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum Two instrument in a range from 4000 to 500 cm⁻¹ and a resolution of 4 cm-1. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (CuK α = 1.54178 Å), at 40 kV, 40 mA with a scan speed of 0.5 s/step and a step size of 0.02 in 20 at room temperature. Gas adsorption isotherms were collected using a Micromeritics surface area analyzer ASAP-2020. Before the measurements, the freshly prepared samples of complex **1** and **2** were exchanged with HPLC-grade methanol for 3 days, and then activated with the "degas" port under the vacuum at 30 °C for 3 hours. CO₂ gas adsorption isotherms were collected at 195 K using an acetone-dry ice bath, 273K using a water-ice bath and at 298K with a water bath. The O₂, N₂, and H₂ adsorption isotherms were measured at 77K using a liquid nitrogen bath.

Single-crystal X-ray crystallography.

The single crystal X-ray data for complex 1 and 2 were collected using Bruker-AXS

SMART-APEXII diffractometer (CuK α = 1.54178 Å). Indexing was performed using APEX2 (Difference Vectors method).² Data integration and reduction were performed using SaintPlus 6.01.³ Absorption correction was performed by multi-scan method implemented in SADABS.⁴ Space groups were determined using XPREP implemented in APEX2.² Structures were solved using SHELXS-97 (direct methods) and refined using SHELXL-97 (full-matrix least squares on F²) contained in Win GX^{5,6,7,8} and Olex2 programs.⁹ All non-H atoms were found in the difference Fourier map. The atoms from adc ligands, metal ions, 4,4'-bpy ligands, µ₃-O and oxygen atoms of coordinated solvent molecules were refined anisotropically. Due to the disorder, the assignment of counterions was tentative. It has been assumed the dimethyl ammonium cation is a product of decomposition of DMA molecule and that those two share the position in the crystal structure. The geometry of disordered partially-occupied counter ions and coordinated DMA molecules were refined with restraints/constraints (DFIX, DELU, SIMU, DANG, FLAT, EXYZ, EADP, ISOR), in order to idealize the models of these ions and molecules. For complex 2 the solvent molecules were modeled as O atoms. Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and included in the refinement process using riding model with isotropic thermal parameters: Uiso(H) = 1.2 Ueq(-CH). Crystal data and refinement conditions of complex 1 and 2 are shown in Table S1 and S2, respectively.

Preparation of complexes 1 and 2.

Complex 1: *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA, 0.5 mL) solution containing H₂adc (5 mg, 0.019 mmol) was mixed thoroughly with *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA, 0.25 mL) solution containing $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (11 mg, 0.038 mmol), and then three drops of HBF₄ were added. The mixture was sealed in a Pyrex tube, heated at 120 °C for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature. The orange block crystals were obtained with a yield of 45% based on H₂adc.

Complex 2: A mixture of *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA, 0.5 mL) solution containing H₂adc (5 mg, 0.019 mmol) and DMA (0.25 mL) solution containing 4,4'-bpy (3 mg, 0.019 mmol) was mixed thoroughly with DMA (0.25 mL) solution containing $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (11 mg, 0.038 mmol), and then four drops of HBF₄ were added. The mixture was sealed in a Pyrex tube, heated at 120 °C for 4 days and then cooled to room temperature. The red block crystals were obtained

with a yield of 51% based on H_2adc .



Fig. S1 View of the topological network of complex 1.



Fig. S2 View of 3D architecture with 1D channels by remove the coordinated DMA molecules in

complex 1.



Fig. S3 The 1D nanochannels with terminal DMA removed from tricobalt SBUs in complex 1.



Fig. S4 View of 3D architecture with 1D channels decorated bpy in complex 2.



Fig. S5 View of the topological network of complex 2.



Fig. S6 The PXRD patterns of complexes 1



Fig. S7 The PXRD patterns for complex 2.



Fig. S8 The TGA curves of complexes 1 and 2.







Fig. S10 The plots of virial equation of complex 2.



Fig. S11 Heats of adsorption of CO_2 of complex 2 using the virial method.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 1.	
Identification code	Complex 1
Empirical formula	C ₆₄ H ₂₄ Co ₃ N ₅ O _{18.75}
Formula weight	1339.75
Temperature/K	233.15
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	$P-3_1c$
a/Å	15.3816(3)
b/Å	15.3816(3)
c/Å	16.8215(4)
α/°	90
β/°	90
γ/°	120
Volume/Å ³	3446.66(16)
Z	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.291
μ/mm^{-1}	6.182
F(000)	1348.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.14 imes 0.09 imes 0.08
Radiation	$CuK\alpha (\lambda = 1.54178)$
20 range for data collection/°	6.636 to 133.01
Index ranges	$-17 \le h \le 16, -18 \le k \le 16, -18 \le l \le 16$
Reflections collected	15678
Independent reflections	1982 [$R_{int} = 0.0693$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0411$]
Data/restraints/parameters	1982/80/182
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.033
Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0571, wR_2 = 0.1561$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0704, wR_2 = 0.1671$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.70/-0.36

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 2.	
Identification code	Complex 2
Empirical formula	C69H32C03N5.75O15
Formula weight	1358.29
Temperature/K	233.15
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$
a/Å	15.6069(4)
b/Å	26.4325(8)
c/Å	16.6221(5)
α/°	90
β/°	90.155(2)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å ³	6857.1(3)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.316
μ/mm^{-1}	6.182
F(000)	2749.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.24 imes 0.15 imes 0.13
Radiation	$CuK\alpha \ (\lambda = 1.54178)$
20 range for data collection/°	8.45 to 137.242
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 18, -31 \le k \le 30, -19 \le l \le 19$
Reflections collected	62684
Independent reflections	12196 [$R_{int} = 0.1311$, $R_{sigma} = 0.1057$]
Data/restraints/parameters	12196/149/841
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.020
Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0695, wR_2 = 0.1786$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1215, wR_2 = 0.2069$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.85/-0.49

Reference

- 1. S. Jones, J. C. C. Atherton, M. R. J. Elsegood, W. Clegg, Acta Cryst. 2000, C56, 881-883.
- 2. Bruker (2010). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2009). SAINT. Data Reduction Software. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- 4. G. M. Sheldrick, (2008). SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction.

University of Gottingen, Germany.

- 5. L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst., 1999, 32, 837-838.
- 6. G. M. Sheldrick, (1997) SHELXL-97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal.
- 7. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 1990, A46, 467-473.
- 8. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112-122.
- O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, 42, 339-341.