Supporting Information

# A Fluorescent Surrogate of Thymidine in Duplex DNA

Guillaume Mata, Oliva P. Schmidt and Nathan W. Luedtke\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

# Table of contents:

Synthetic Procedures and Characterizations	<b>S</b> 3
Photophysical Properties of <sup>DMA</sup> T	S7
Oligonucleotide Synthesis and Purification	S9
Oligonucleotide Sequences and Masses	S10
HPLC Analyses of Purified Oligonucleotides	S12
DNA Folding and Buffer Conditions	S13
Circular Dichroism Studies	S13
Thermal Denaturation Studies	S14
Fluorescence Properties in DNA	S15
Metal Binding Studies	S17
Metal Ion Selectivity	S22
References	S22
<sup>1</sup> H, <sup>13</sup> C and <sup>31</sup> P NMR Spectra	S23
HR-MS Spectra	S27

#### **Synthetic Procedures and Characterizations**

Starting materials were obtained in the highest commercial grades and used without further purification. Commercially-available 1,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-ribose was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc, 6:4) prior to use. All reactions sensitive to moisture and/or air were carried out under an atmosphere of argon and in dry solvents using oven-dried glassware. Commercially-available dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purified by a solvent purification system under an atmosphere of argon immediately prior to use. Commercially-available anhydrous dioxane was used directly without further drying. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on pre-coated 250 µm layer thickness silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates. Visualization was performed by ultraviolet light and staining with a 15% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O. Flash column chromatography was performed using 40-63 μm silica gel using compressed air. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on 400 and 500 MHz spectrometers; residual solvent peaks were used as internal standards: DMSO (quint,  $\delta^{H}$  = 2.50 ppm), CHCl<sub>3</sub> (s,  $\delta^{H}$  = 7.26 ppm). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded on 400 and 500 MHz spectrometers;  $\delta$  relative to DMSO ( $\delta$  40.5 ppm) or CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  77.23 ppm). Coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations were used to describe the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quint = quintet, sext = sextet, m = multiplet, dd = doublet, doublet-doublet, ddd = doublet-doublet-doublet, dt = doublet-triplet, dq =doublet-quartet, br = broad. Mass spectra were obtained on a quadrupole ion trap instrument equipped with an atmospheric pressure ion (API) source. High-resolution electrospray mass spectra (HR-ESI MS) were recorded on a QTOF-MS instrument. Infrared spectra were recorded on a FT/IR-4100 spectrometer.



**1'-(2'-deoxy-β-D-ribofuranoside)-6-(***N***,***N***-dimethylamino)-quinazoline-2,4-(3***H***)-dione (1).** To a stirring solution of the nucleoside **3** (1.50 g, 2.53 mmol) in THF (36 mL), TBAF (2.65 g, 10.14 mmol) pre-dissolved in THF was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was washed with MeOH (6.0 mL), aqueous HOAc (6.0 mL), and H<sub>2</sub>O (6.0 mL) and dried under high vacuum to obtain nucleoside **1** (0.500, 62%) as a yellow solid.

**R**<sub>f</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 94:6): 0.23; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 11.49 (s, br, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 9.3 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (t, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H<sub>1'</sub>), 5.23 (d, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (t, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38-4.35 (m, 1H, H<sub>3'</sub>), 3.71-3.61 (m, 3H, H<sub>4'</sub> and 2H<sub>5'</sub>), 2.92 (s, 6H), 2.63-2.56 (m, 1H, H<sub>2'</sub>), 1.89 (ddd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 13.3 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 7.3 Hz, *J*<sub>3</sub> = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>2'</sub>); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 161.8, 149.7, 146.9, 129.8, 119.7, 118.2, 117.1, 108.1, 86.3, 83.2, 69.6, 60.9, 40.5, 36.1; **IR** (neat) v: 3474, 3411, 3197, 3067, 2885, 2812, 1668, 1581, 1515, 1483, 1387, 1387, 1308, 1069, 1051, 1040, 1014, 1005, 810, 769, 626, 540, 522 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **UV-Vis** (H<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{max}$  = 357 nm and  $\varepsilon$  = 2900 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HR-ESI MS** (*m*/*z*): [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na 344.12169, found 344.12193.



1'-[5'-O-(4,4-dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-β-D-ribofuranoside]-6-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-quinazoline-2,4-(3*H*)-dione (3). Nucleoside 1 (0.150 g, 0.467 mmol) was co-evaporated with pyridine (2 x 1.5 mL) and suspended in pyridine (2.0 mL). To the stirring solution a solution of DMT-Cl (0.190 g, 0.560 mmol) predissolved in pyridine was added. A clear red reaction mixture was observed, and it was stirred at room temperature for 45 min. The reaction was then quenched with a sat. solution of aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, evaporated *in vacuo* and co-evaporated with toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 99:0.5:0.5  $\rightarrow$  98.5:1:0.5) to obtain nucleoside **4** (0.229 g, 79%, containing 1% of Et<sub>3</sub>N) as a green-yellow solid.

**R**<sub>f</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 95:5:0.5): 0.39; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 11.48 (s, br, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 6H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 2H), 6.87-6.83 (m, 4H), 6.71 (t, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H<sub>1'</sub>), 6.48 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 9.4 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, br, 1H), 4.65-4.63 (m, 1H, H<sub>3'</sub>), 3.88-3.86 (m, 1H, H<sub>4'</sub>), 3.70 (s, 6H), 3.39-3.31 (m, 2H, 2H<sub>5'</sub>), 2.79 (s, 6H), 2.76-2.71 (m, 1H, H<sub>2'</sub>), 1.98 (ddd, *J*<sub>1</sub> = 13.4 Hz, *J*<sub>2</sub> = 7.2 Hz, *J*<sub>3</sub> = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H<sub>2'</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 161.9, 158.11, 158.09, 149.7, 146.2, 144.6, 135.5, 135.4, 129.85, 129.83, 129.79, 127.96, 127.8, 126.8, 119.5, 118.0, 117.2, 113.1, 108.2, 85.6, 84.6, 83.3, 69.6, 62.6, 55.0, 45.6, 40.0, 36.4, 10.7; **IR** (neat) v: 3412, 3183, 3055, 2940, 1682, 1508, 1481, 1316, 1249, 1176, 1076, 1032, 827, 731 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HR-ESI MS** (*m*/*z*): [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na 646.25237, found 646.25220.



**1'-[3'-O-[2-cyanoethoxy-(***N*,*N*-diisopropylamino)-phosphino]-(**5'**-*O*-(**4**,**4**-dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-β-Dribofuranoside]-6-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-quinazoline-2,**4**-(3*H*)-dione (**4**). To a stirring solution of nucleoside **4** (0.130 g, 0.208 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.2 mL) at 0 °C, freshly distilled diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 91 μL, 0.521 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred 5 min at 0 °C. To this solution 2-cyanoethyl *N*,*N*diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite (93 μL, 0.416 mmol) was added, and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 45 min. The reaction was quenched with a sat. sol. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/EtOAc/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 60:40:0.5 → 55:45:0.5) to obtain phosphoramidite **5** (0.147 g, 86%, diastereomeric mixture) as a yellow foam.

**R**<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/EtOAc/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 40:60:0.5): 0.32 and 0.42; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 11.48 (s, br, 2H), 7.81-7.78 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.29-7.20 (m, 16H), 6.85-6.79 (m, 8H), 6.71-6.67 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>'), 6.57-6.53 (m, 2H), 4.92-4.86 (m, 2H, H<sub>3'</sub>), 4.05-4.00 (m, 2H, H<sub>4'</sub>), 3.76-3.71 (m, 2H), 3.70 (s, 12H), 3.59-3.47 (m, 4H), 3.37-3.35 (m, 2H, H<sub>5'</sub>), 2.89-2.84 (m, 2H, H<sub>2'</sub>), 2.80 (s, 12H), 2.74 (t,  $J_1$  = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (t,  $J_1$  = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.26-2.15 (m, 2H, H<sub>2'</sub>), 1.34-1.25 (m, 4H), 1.12 (d,  $J_1$  = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.10-1.08 (m, 12H), 0.95 (d,  $J_1$  = 6.8 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 170.2, 166.9, 161.85, 161.84, 158.14, 158.13, 158.11, 149.60, 149.57, 146.2, 144.45, 144.41, 135.4, 135.3, 135.2, 135.1, 131.7, 131.5, 129.96, 129.95, 129.81, 129.79, 128.6, 127.94, 127.91, 127.75, 127.74, 126.78, 126.77, 119.6, 119.5, 118.9, 118.7, 117.67, 117.65, 117.2, 117.1, 113.07, 113.06, 108.2, 85.72, 85.71, 83.69, 83.66, 83.50, 83.39, 72.4, 72.3, 71.6, 71.5, 67.3, 62.3, 62.1, 59.7, 58.45, 58.38, 58.31, 58.23, 54.95, 54.94, 54.93, 54.86, 42.59, 42.58, 42.49, 42.47, 40.0, 38.1, 35.8, 35.5, 29.8, 28.3, 24.3, 24.23, 24.21, 24.18, 24.16, 24.10, 23.2, 22.4, 20.7, 19.8, 19.78, 19.77, 19.71, 14.0, 13.8, 10.8; <sup>31</sup>**P NMR** (202 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ: 147.8, 147.3; **IR** (neat) v: 3178, 3069, 2964, 2930, 2873, 1698, 1684, 1509, 1379, 1319, 1251, 1178, 1073, 1031, 977, 829 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HR-ESI MS** (*m*/*z*): [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>54</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>8</sub>PNa 846.36022, found 846.36021.

## Photophysical Properties of DMAT

For all photophysical experiments, the fluorescent nucleoside <sup>DMA</sup>T (1) was prepared as 20 mM DMSO stock solution and stored at -20 °C. All measurements were collected using a Molecular Devices Spectra spectrophotometer in a quartz cuvette (1 cm path length) and were performed in duplicate or more at a final nucleoside concentration of 40  $\mu$ M. Measurements were performed with spectrophotometric-grade dioxane and deionized H<sub>2</sub>O. For all values reported, final DMSO concentrations after dilution were always less than 0.2%.  $E_T^{30}$  values for dioxane / water mixtures were determined by dissolving a small amount of Reichardt's dye in each solution and measuring the most red-shifted absorption maximum (Table S1).<sup>[1]</sup>

Reichardt's dye	Solvent	$\lambda_{abs}^{[a]}$	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\text{[b]}}$	E <sub>T</sub> <sup>30 [c]</sup>	E <sub>T</sub> <sup>30 [d]</sup>	E <sub>T</sub> <sup>30 [e]</sup>
$\bigcirc$						
	Dioxane	788	782	36.28	36.56	36.42
	0.90 / 0.10	615	642	46.49	44.53	45.51
N⊕	0.70 / 0.30	562	562	50.87	50.87	50.87
	0.30 / 0.70	500	500	57.18	57.18	57.18
	Water	453	453	63.11	63.11	63.11

**Table S1.** Absorbance maxima of Reichardt's dye in various mixtures of dioxane / water. The value in pure water was taken from a previous study.<sup>[1]</sup>  $\lambda_{abs}$  are reported at the most red-shifted absorbance wavelengths (in nm) from two trials [a] and [b].  $E_T^{30}$  are reported in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and calculated from [c] first trial and [d] the second trial. [e] The final  $E_T^{30}$  value is given as an average of the two independent measurements.

Molar extinction coefficient measurements were conducted in deionized H<sub>2</sub>O using Beer's Law plots of concentration versus absorbance. Quantum yield measurements were performed in triplicate at the most red-shifted absorbance wavelength of each nucleoside using optical densities of 0.1 ± 0.05. Quinine hemisulfate ( $\phi$  = 0.546 at  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 370 nm) in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (n = 1.432) was used as the fluorescent standard for the relative quantum yields ( $\phi$ ) of compound **1**.<sup>[2]</sup> 2-Aminopyridine ( $\phi$  = 0.60 at  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 285 nm) in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (n = 1.432) was used as cross-reference standard with an apparent  $\phi$  = 0.59, in good agreement with a previously-reported value.<sup>[3]</sup> Quantum yields were calculated according to the following equation:

$$\Phi = \Phi_R \frac{F}{F_R} \frac{A_R}{A} \frac{n^2}{n_R^2}$$

where  $\phi_R$  is the quantum yield of the reference, F and  $F_R$  are the integrated emission intensities of the probe and the reference, respectively. A and  $A_R$  are the optical densities of the probe and the reference, respectively. n and  $n_R$  are the refractive indexes of the solvent in which the measurement was performed for the probe and the reference, respectively. <sup>DMA</sup>T ( $\phi = 0.03$  at pH = 7.35 with  $\lambda_{ex} = 370$  nm) in H<sub>2</sub>O was used as the fluorescent standard for the relative quantum yields ( $\phi$ ) of <sup>DMA</sup>T in DNA.

Structure	Solvent	$\lambda_{abs}^{[a]}$	$\lambda_{\text{em}}^{[b]}$	Stokes <sup>[c]</sup>	$\epsilon_{260}^{[d]}$	ε <sub>abs</sub> <sup>[d]</sup>	$\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{[e]}$
I Q	Dioxane	372	440	4.2	14.1	4.0	0.60
~N NH	0.90 / 0.10	373	465	5.3	13.5	3.7	0.47
	0.70 / 0.30	374	485	6.2	14.3	3.6	0.30
	0.30 / 0.70	368	510	7.4	16.3	3.1	0.09
НО	Water	357	522	8.9	15.0	2.9	0.03
1	Acetonitrile	375	458	4.8	13.7	3.8	0.32

**Table S2.** Photophysical data of <sup>DMA</sup>T (1) in various mixtures of dioxane / water. [a]  $\lambda_{abs}$  are reported at the most redshifted absorbance wavelength in nm. [b]  $\lambda_{em}$  in nm. [c] Stokes shifts are reported in  $10^3$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. [d] Extinction coefficients ( $\epsilon$ ) are reported in  $10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and are given at  $\lambda_{abs} = 260$  nm and at the most red-shifted absorbance. [e] Quinine hemisulfate ( $\phi = 0.55$  at  $\lambda_{ex} = 370$  nm) in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was used as the fluorescent standard for the relative quantum yields ( $\phi$ )<sup>[2]</sup>. Errors associated are ±10% of the given values.



**Figure S1.** (A) Absorbance (---) and emission (—) spectra ( $\lambda_{ex} = 370 \text{ nm}$ ) of <sup>DMA</sup>T (1) at 40  $\mu$ M in dioxane (black), water (blue), and various mixtures (grey). (B) Linear relationship between the Stokes shift and the  $E_T^{30}$ . (C) Linear relationship between the quantum yield and the  $E_T^{30}$ .

# $pK_a$ of $^{DMA}T$

The absorbance and emission spectra of <sup>DMA</sup>T were recorded in a buffer containing 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 M citric acid and 0.1 M NaCl in different ratios to give different pH values (from pH = 7.55 to 11.50). The absorbance intensity ( $\lambda_{abs}$  = 345 nm) and fluorescence intensity ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 470 nm with  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 360 nm at the isobestic point) were plotted against the pH values.

#### **Oligonucleotide Synthesis and Purification**

The wild-type DNA sequences were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich as HPLC purified products (**Tables S3**, **S4 and S5**). <sup>DMA</sup>T-modified oligonucleotides were synthesized on a 1 µmol scale using a DNA synthesizer according to the standard Trityl-off procedure, except that 5% dichloroacetic acid in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was used for Trityl deprotection. Two coupling reactions were performed for the site-specific introduction of the modified nucleoside into oligonucleotides. The phosphoramidite **5** (0.160 g, 0.185 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (1.6 mL) and loaded onto the DNA synthesizer. The synthesis of the oligonucleotide was monitored using DMT deprotection. Upon completion of the sequences, the oligonucleotides were cleaved from the solid support and deprotected by treatment with 1.2 mL of 33% aqueous ammonium hydroxide at 55 °C for 12 hours in a 1.5 mL screw-top cap tube. The resulting products were lyophilized, and HPLC-purified using a semi-prep C-18 reverse-phase column (YMCbasic B-22-10P 150 x 10 mm) and a *Varian Pro Star* HPLC system. A gradient of 5% to 10% acetonitrile was applied over 50 minutes at 3 mL/min in 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate (TEAA, pH 7.0). Peaks were collected, lyophilized to dryness and HPLC-analyzed with an analytical column (XBridge Phenyl 3.5 µm 150 x 4.16 mm) using a gradient of 5% to 18% acetonitrile over 40 minutes at 0.5 mL/min in TEAA (**Figure S2**). The purified DNA samples were analyzed using HR-ESI mass spectroscopy (**Table S6**).

Oligonucleotide stock solutions were prepared at 100  $\mu$ M in pure water and quantified using their calculated molar extinction coefficients ( $\epsilon$ ) at 260 nm. The extinction coefficients of the non-modified DNA were calculated using the nearest-neighbor model at 260 nm. The extinction coefficients of the <sup>DMA</sup>T-modified oligonucleotides were calculated using the base composition method which sums the coefficients of the isolated nucleosides which is then multiplied by a factor of 0.9 to account for the base stacking interactions in the oligonucleotide. The following value was used for all the <sup>DMA</sup>T-modified oligonucleotides:  $\epsilon$ (**Telo**<sup>DMA</sup>T) = 193 410 cm<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>.

# **Oligonucleotide Sequences and Masses**

Name	Duplex Sequences						
Т2	5'-C <b>T</b> C TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
T·A	3'-G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
т.т	3'-G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
C2	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
C·A	3'-G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
С.Т	3'-G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
A2	5'-CAC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
A·A	3'-G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
X2	5'-CXC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
X·A	3'-G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
Х·Т	3'-G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG ATT GGG ATT GGG-5'						
<b>T13</b>	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA <b>T</b> CC TAA CCC-3'						
Т•А	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>A</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
Т•т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>T</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
C13	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
C·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>A</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
С•Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>T</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
A13	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA ACC TAA CCC-3'						
A·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>A</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
X13	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA XCC TAA CCC-3'						
Х•А	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>A</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						
Х·Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT <b>T</b> GG ATT GGG-5'						

Name	Duplex Sequences						
T14	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA C <b>T</b> C TAA CCC-3'						
Τ·Α	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
Т·Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
C14	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
C·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
С•т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
A14	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CAC TAA CCC-3'						
A·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
X14	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CXC TAA CCC-3'						
Х·А	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>A</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
Х·Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT G <b>T</b> G ATT GGG-5'						
T15	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CC <b>T</b> TAA CCC-3'						
Τ·Α	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>A</b> ATT GGG-5'						
Т•Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>T</b> ATT GGG-5'						
C15	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3'						
C·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>A</b> ATT GGG-5'						
С·Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>T</b> ATT GGG-5'						
A15	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCA TAA CCC-3'						
A·A	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>A</b> ATT GGG-5'						
X15	5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCX TAA CCC-3'						
Х•А	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>A</b> ATT GGG-5'						
Х·Т	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>T</b> ATT GGG-5'						
X·G	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GG <b>G</b> ATT GGG-5'						
X·C	3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT GGC ATT GGG-5'						

**Table S3.** Names and sequences of DNAs ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ ).

Х·G

Х·С

3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT **G**GG ATT GGG-5'

3'-GGG ATT GGG ATT CGG ATT GGG-5'

.....

Α	Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Molecular Formula	Calculated 10x charged m/z	Measured 10x charged m/z
	X2	CXC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC	$C_{204}H_{252}N_{72}O_{122}P_{20}$	628.10535	628.10560
	X13	CCC TAA CCC TAA XCC TAA CCC	$C_{204}H_{252}N_{72}O_{122}P_{20}$	628.10535	628.10894
	X14	CCC TAA CCC TAA CXC TAA CCC	$C_{204}H_{252}N_{72}O_{122}P_{20}$	628.10535	628.10680
	X15	CCC TAA CCC TAA CCX TAA CCC	$C_{204}H_{252}N_{72}O_{122}P_{20}$	628.10535	628.10842

**Table S4.** Calculated and measured high resolution HR-ESI MS for the <sup>DMA</sup>T-containing DNA ( $X = ^{DMA}T$ ). Monoisotopic masses obtained for the 10 times negatively charged DNAs. MS values are reported in m/z.

### **HPLC Analyses of Purified Oligonucleotides**



**Figure S2.** HPLC chromatograms of purified oligonucleotides **X2**, **X13**, **X14** and **X15** analyzed with a gradient of 5% to 18% acetonitrile over 40 minutes at 0.5 mL/min in TEAA buffer ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ ).

#### **DNA Folding and Buffer Conditions**

Oligonucleotide stock solutions were prepared in water at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and then diluted into the desired buffer at the indicated final concentration. DNA studies were performed in a buffer containing 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 M Citric acid and 0.1 M NaCl or 0.1M NaNO<sub>3</sub>. Duplex DNA studies were performed at pH = 7.35 in the presence of 1.1 equivalents of the complementary strand. Before measurements, oligonucleotides were heated at 90 °C for 5 minutes, and slowly cooled to room temperature overnight.

#### **Circular Dichroism (CD) Studies**

CD melting experiments were carried out using a 0.1 cm path length thermo-controlled CD quartz cell. Spectra were collected at 25 °C between 220 and 340 nm with 0.1 nm steps, 2 nm band width, and a scanning rate of 50 nm/min. Three scans were measured and averaged for each reported spectrum. A concentration of 5  $\mu$ M of pre-folded DNA was used for all CD experiments.



**Figure S3.** CD spectra of duplexes at 25 °C for: (A) **X2**, (B) **X13**, (C) **X14** and (D) **X15** with **X** = **T**, **C**, **A** and <sup>DMA</sup>**T** at pH = 7. All samples contained 5  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaCl).

#### **Thermal Denaturation Studies**

CD melting experiments were carried out using a 0.1 cm path length thermo-controlled CD quartz cell. A 5  $\mu$ M solution of pre-folded DNA was equilibrated for 15 minutes at 5 °C and slowly ramped to 95 °C at a rate of 10 °C/h. The molar ellipticity of each sample was monitored at  $\lambda$  = 262 nm. The melting temperatures were determined by plotting the molar ellipticity of the sample versus the temperature using a dose-response non-linear regression.  $T_m$  values were calculated from the averaged values of heating and cooling curves for duplex DNA, and the heating curve for *i*-motif DNA. The reproducibility of the measurements is within 0.3 °C.



**Figure S4.** Thermal denaturation spectra of duplexes: (A) **X2**, (B) **X13**, (C) **X14** and (D) **X15** with **X** = **T**, **C**, **A** and <sup>DMA</sup>**T** at pH = 7.35. All samples contained 5  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaCl).

#### Fluorescence properties in DNA

All fluorescence spectra were measured using pre-folded DNA in 96-well plates. Quantum yields of <sup>DMA</sup>T (1) in the context of oligonucleotides were determined as described above. The emission spectra of the probe in the context of the DNA were collected using the following wavelengths of excitation:  $\lambda_{ex} = 370$  nm in single- and double-stranded DNA. The excitation spectra of the probe in the context of the DNA were collected using the following wavelengths of excitation:  $\lambda_{ex} = 370$  nm in single- and double-stranded DNA. The excitation spectra of the probe in the context of the DNA were collected using the following wavelengths of emission:  $\lambda_{em} = 515$  nm in single- and double-stranded DNA. <sup>DMA</sup>T ( $\phi = 0.03$  at pH = 7.35 with  $\lambda_{ex} = 370$  nm) in H<sub>2</sub>O was used as the fluorescent standard for the relative quantum yields ( $\phi$ ) of <sup>DMA</sup>T in DNA. Fluorescence spectra were measured using 4  $\mu$ M of DNA in a buffer mixture containing 20 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 10 mM of citric acid supplemented with 10 mM NaCl at pH = 7.35. The relatively high absorbance of DNA samples at 260 nm (< 0.4 AU) caused a significant attenuation of the excitation beam that reduced the fluorescence intensities of the probe. This was evidenced by non-linear relationships between raw fluorescence intensities Fr<sub>raw</sub>( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) and DNA concentrations above 50 nM when samples were photoexcited at 260 nm. F<sub>raw</sub>( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) values at each excitation wavelength  $\lambda_{ex}$  were therefore corrected by multiplication with the following correction factor (CF) to obtain corrected F( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) values,<sup>[4]</sup> where A( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) is the raw absorbance of the sample at each wavelength of excitation:

$$CF = 2.303 * \frac{A_{(\lambda ex)}}{1 - 10^{-A(\lambda ex)}}$$

Anisotropy measurements were performed with pre-folded DNA in 96-well plate and corrected for background and buffer contributions. Fluorescence anisotropy was calculated according to the following equation:

$$r = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{I}_{\perp}}{\mathbf{I}_{\parallel} + 2\mathbf{I}_{\perp}}$$

where  $I_{\parallel}$  and  $I_{\perp}$  refers to the vertically and horizontally polarized light, respectively.



**Figure S5.** (A) Excitation ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 515 nm) and (B) emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 260 nm) of **X2**, **X13**, **X14** and **X15** prepared as unstructured, "SS" DNA (black) and double-stranded, "DS" DNA (red) with (**X** = <sup>DMA</sup>**T**). All samples contained 4  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (20 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM of citric acid and 10 mM NaCl at pH = 7.35).

#### **Metal Binding Studies**

Oligonucleotide stock solutions were prepared in water at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and then diluted into a buffer containing 0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 M Citric acid and 0.1M NaNO<sub>3</sub> (pH = 7.35). One equiv. of <sup>DMA</sup>T DNA was mixed with 1.1 equiv. of the complementary strand and 0 – 3.0 equiv. of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CuCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, FeCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PdCl<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub>, or AgNO<sub>3</sub>, heated at 90 °C for 5 minutes and slowly cooled to room temperature overnight.



**Figure S6.** (A) Thermal denaturation curves of duplex **T13** in the absence (black) and in the presence of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.0 equiv. (blue), 2.0 equiv. (green) and intermediate (grey)). (B) Plot of the melting temperature versus equivalents of Hg<sup>"</sup> ions. The complementary strand contained a "**T**" mismatched opposite to the "**T13**" base. All samples contained 5  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) at pH = 7.35.



**Figure S7.** Thermal denaturation curves of duplex DNA containing **X2**, **X13**, **X14** or **X15** with **X** = (A) **T**, (B) <sup>DMA</sup>**T** and (C) **C** in the absence (---) and in the presence (--) of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.0 equiv.). The complementary strand a "**T**" mismatched opposite to the "**X**" base. All samples contained 5  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) at pH = 7.35.



**Figure S8.** CD spectra of duplex DNA containing **X2**, **X13**, **X14** or **X15** at 5 °C with **X** = (A) **T**, (B) <sup>DMA</sup>**T** and (C) **C** in the absence (---) and in the presence (--) of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1.0 equiv.). The complementary strand contained a "**T**" mismatched opposite to the "**X**" base. All samples contained 5  $\mu$ M of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) at pH = 7.35.



**Figure S9.** (A) Excitation ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 515 nm) and (B) fluorescence spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 260 nm) of **X2**, **X13**, **X14** and **X15** as doublestranded DNA with a T-/-T mismatch (black) and a T-Hg<sup>II</sup>-T match (red) with (**X** = <sup>DMA</sup>**T**). All samples contained 4 µM of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) at pH = 7.35 with or without 1.0 eq. of Hg<sup>II</sup>. The complementary strand contained a T mismatched opposite to the <sup>DMA</sup>**T** base.

Base Pairing	Sequence	$\lambda_{abs}^{a}$	$\lambda_{em}^{\ b}$	rc	фď	Δφ <sup>e</sup>
DMA <b>T</b> -T	X2	375	510	0.10	0.04	-
	X13	365	505	0.09	0.13	-
	X14	385	501	0.13	0.07	-
	X15	365	500	0.12	0.08	-
<sup>рма</sup> <b>т</b> -Hg <sup>"</sup> -Т	X2	360	512	0.10	0.01	-69%
	X13	360	507	0.09	0.05	-63%
	X14	360	504	0.15	0.03	-61%
	X15	360	505	0.11	0.03	-66%

**Table S5.** Photophysical data of <sup>DMA</sup>T in duplex DNA. [a]  $\lambda_{abs}$  are reported at the most red-shifted absorbance maxima in nm, [b]  $\lambda_{em}$  in nm, [c] Fluorescence anisotropy (r) were calculated at pH = 7.35 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 375 nm.  $\lambda_{em}$  = 500 nm). [d] <sup>DMA</sup>T ( $\phi$  = 0.03 at pH = 7.35 with  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 370 nm) was used as the fluorescent standard for calculating the relative quantum yields ( $\phi$ ) of <sup>DMA</sup>T in DNA. [e] Relative deviation of fluorescence quantum yield of <sup>DMA</sup>T–T mismatched duplex upon addition of Hg<sup>II</sup> ions. <sup>DMA</sup>T–Hg<sup>II</sup>–T base pairs were generated by the addition of 1.0 equiv. of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> followed by heating to 90 °C for 5 min and cooled to room temperature overnight before reading. Reproducibility is within ± 30% of each reported  $\phi$  value.



**Figure S10.** Fluorescence spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 260 nm) of **X13** as double-stranded DNA containing (A) a <sup>DMA</sup>**T**-A base pair (black) and (B) a <sup>DMA</sup>**T**-G mismatched pair (black) in the presence of Hg<sup>II</sup> ions (red) with (**X** = <sup>DMA</sup>**T**). All samples contain 4 µM of DNA in phosphate citric acid buffer (200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) at pH = 7.35 with or without 1.0 eq. of Hg<sup>II</sup>.

Base Pairing	Sequence	$\lambda_{abs}{}^a$	$\lambda_{em}^{\ b}$	r	$\Phi_q$	$\Delta \Phi^{e}$
dma <b>t</b> -A	X13	355	504	0.09	0.20	-
	X15	355	486	0.15	0.11	-
DMA <b>T</b> -G	X13	365	508	0.10	0.09	-
	X15	370	505	0.12	0.06	-
<sup>DMA</sup> T-A + Hg <sup>II</sup>	X13	365	505	0.10	0.17	ca13%
	X15	370	490	0.14	0.09	ca18%
I-G + Hg	X13 X15	365 370	510 505	0.11	0.07	ca23%
	×12	370	202	0.15	0.00	ta12%

**Table S6.** Photophysical data of <sup>DMA</sup>**T** in duplex DNA. [a]  $\lambda_{abs}$  are reported at the most red-shifted absorbance maxima in nm, [b]  $\lambda_{em}$  in nm, [c] Fluorescence anisotropy (r) were calculated at pH = 7.35 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 375 nm.  $\lambda_{em}$  = 500 nm). [d] <sup>DMA</sup>**T** ( $\varphi$  = 0.03 at pH = 7.35 with  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 370 nm) was used as the fluorescent standard for calculating the relative quantum yields ( $\varphi$ ) of <sup>DMA</sup>**T** in DNA. [e] Relative deviation of fluorescence quantum yield of <sup>DMA</sup>**T**–T mismatched duplex upon addition of 1.0 eq. of Hg<sup>II</sup> ions. <sup>DMA</sup>**T**–Hg<sup>II</sup>–T base pairs were generated by the addition of 1.0 equiv. of Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> followed by heating to 90 °C for 5 min and cooled to room temperature overnight before reading. Reproducibility is within ± 30% of each reported  $\varphi$  value.



**Figure S11.** Relative quantum yield of <sup>DMA</sup>T-T mismatched duplex DNA **X13** (black) or **X15** (grey) upon addition of metal ions (1.0 equiv.): Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CuCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, FeCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PdCl<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub>, or AgNO<sub>3</sub>. All samples contained 4  $\mu$ M of DNA in a buffer containing 200 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM of citric acid and 100 mM NaCl or NaNO<sub>3</sub> (pH = 7.35).

#### References

- (1) Reichardt, C. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2319.
- (2) Melhuish, W. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1961, 65, 229.
- (3) Rusakowi.R; Testa, A. C. J. Phys. Chem. 1968, 72, 2680.
- (4) Xu, D. G.; Nordlund, T. M. Biophys. J. 2000, 78, 1042.











**Figure S12.** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H 2D ROESY NMR spectra of nucleoside **1** (<sup>DMA</sup>**T**) in DMSO- $d_6$  (mixing time = 250 ms).

### **HR-MS Spectra**

## **X2**: 5'-CXC TAA CCC TAA CCC TAA CCC-3' ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ )

luQEx1968 #1-26 RT: 0.03-0.68 AV: 26 NL: 2.13E5 T: FTMS - p ESI Full lock ms [133.40-2000.00]



Figure S13. High resolution ESI mass spectra of the synthesized oligonucleotide X2.



**X13**: 5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA XCC TAA CCC-3' ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ )

Figure S14. High resolution ESI mass spectra of the synthesized oligonucleotide X13.

**X14**: 5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CXC TAA CCC-3' ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ )



Figure S15. High resolution ESI mass spectra of the synthesized oligonucleotide X14.



**X15**: 5'-CCC TAA CCC TAA CCX TAA CCC-3' ( $X = {}^{DMA}T$ )

Figure S16. High resolution ESI mass spectra of the synthesized oligonucleotide X15.