# **Supplementary Information For:**

## One-step synthesis of honeycomb-like AIPO<sub>4</sub>-11 macrostructures based

### on epitaxial growth and phase transformation mechanisms

Shuo Tao,<sup>a,b</sup> Xiaolei Li,<sup>a,b</sup> Renshun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Dawei Li, <sup>a,b</sup> Quanqun Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Huaijun Ma,<sup>a</sup> Yunpeng Xu<sup>a</sup> and Zhijian Tian<sup>\*a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China

- <sup>b</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- <sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China

\* Corresponding author, Tel. /fax: +86-0411-84379151;

E-mail: tianz@dicp.ac.cn (Z. Tian)

### Synthesis

AlPO<sub>4</sub>-11 honeycomb structures were prepared in a eutectic mixture. In a typical synthesis, 4.12 g of diisopropylamine hydrochloride (DIPAC) and 10 g of ethylene glycol (EG) were added to a beaker with stirring at 80 °C for 30 min in an oil bath to form the eutectic mixture. Next, 0.78 g of 1-methylimidazole (1-MIm) and 0.82 g of aluminum isopropoxide (Al[OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>) were added with vigorous stirring for 30 min. Finally, 1.39 g of phosphoric acid (85 wt %) was added to the above gel. The reaction gel with the molar composition of  $1.0Al[OCH(CH_3)_2]_3/3.0 H_3PO_4/2.31-MIm/7.5DIPAC/40EG$  was stirred for additional 30 min at 80 °C. Then, the final mixture was transformed into a Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and heated at 160 °C for the required time under static conditions. The solid product was filtered, washed with deionized water, and dried overnight at 100 °C. The as-synthesized samples were calcined in an air at 570 °C for 10 h to remove the templates.

#### Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of the as-synthesized samples was performed on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO diffractometer fitted with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.5418 Å) operating at 40 mA and 40 kV. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out on a JSM-7800F field-emission scanning electron microscope or Phenom scanning electron microscope (FEI Electron Optics). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained on a JEM-2100 electron microscope operating at 200 kV. The argon sorption isotherms were measured on a Micromeritics ASAP 2420 analyzer at -186 °C. Prior to the measurements, all of the samples were degassed at 350 °C in a vacuum for 10 hours. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was utilized to calculate the specific surface area. The micropore surface area and micropore volume were calculated from the adsorption branch based on the t-plot method. The micropore size distribution was calculated from a Satio-Foley (SF) model using the adsorption branch of the isotherm. NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker ADVANCE III 500 spectrometer with a BBO MAS probe operating at a magnetic field strength of 11.7 T. Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was performed on a NETZSCH STA 449 F3 instrument using dynamic dry air at a flow rate of 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Elemental analysis was performed on a Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000 Organic Elemental Analyzer. The <sup>27</sup>Al MAS NMR spectrum was recorded at 130.3 MHz with a 10 KHz spinning rate. The chemical shift was referenced to a 1% Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution. The <sup>31</sup>P MAS NMR experiment was conducted at 202.5 MHz with a 10 KHz spinning rate. The chemical shift was referenced to 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. The <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 125.7 MHz with a 6 KHz spinning rate. The chemical shifts were referenced to 2, 2-dimethyl-2-ilapentane-5-sulfonate sodium salt (DSS) for <sup>13</sup>C.



Fig. S1 Low magnification SEM image of the product obtained after raction at 160  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  for 240 h.



Fig. S2 (a) <sup>27</sup>Al MAS, (b) <sup>31</sup>P MAS NMR, (c) <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS, \* indicates the spinning sidebands and (d) TG analysis of the product obtained after reaction for 240 h.

times					
Reaction	Surface area /(m <sup>2</sup> /g)			Pore volume /(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	
time / h	S <sub>BET</sub> <sup>a</sup>	S <sub>micro</sub> <sup>b</sup>	S <sub>ext</sub> <sup>c</sup>	$V_{total}$	V <sub>micro</sub> <sup>d</sup>
3	30	0	30	0.11	0
12	54	8	46	0.15	0.002
24	109	38	71	0.12	0.026
48	154	124	30	0.12	0.046
144	111	80	31	0.09	0.030
240	218	160	58	0.17	0.059

Table S1. Texture properties of the calcined samples prepared with different reaction times

<sup>a</sup> BET surface area.

<sup>b</sup> t-plot micropore surface area.

<sup>c</sup> t-plot external surface area.

<sup>d</sup> t-plot micropore volume.



Fig. S3 (a) TEM image of the nanorods attached on the  $AIPO_4$ -5 hexagonal prisms obtained after reaction for 24 h and (b) the corresponding SAED pattern.



Fig. S4 SEM image of the sample obtained after reaction for 36 h.