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Fig. S1 FTIR spectrum of acceptor DDF


Fig. $\mathbf{S 2}$ FTIR spectra of $\mathrm{DDF}^{-}$salts. (a) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, (b) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Na}^{+}$


Fig. S3 FTIR spectrum of acceptor DTF


Fig. S4 FTIR spectra of $\mathrm{DTF}^{-}$salts. (a) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, (b) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Na}^{+}$


Fig. S5 FTIR spectrum of acceptor DC2TF


Fig. S6 FTIR spectra of DC2TF ${ }^{-}$salts. (a) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, (b) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, (c) $\mathrm{M}^{+}=\mathrm{K}^{+}$


Fig. S7 FTIR of compound 1, just after crystallization


Fig. S8 FTIR of compound 1, three months after crystallization


Fig. S9 FTIR of compound 2, just after crystallization


Fig. S10 FTIR of compound 2, seven months after crystallization


Fig. S11 FTIR of compound 3, just after crystallization


Fig. S12 FTIR of compound 3, one year after crystallization


Fig. S13 FTIR of compound 4, just after crystallization


Fig. S14 FTIR of compound 4, ten months after crystallization


Fig. S15 FTIR of compound 5


Fig. S16 FTIR of compound 6

Table S17. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 1

| Identification code | (TTF-DDF). $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 563.63 |
| Temperature | 298(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.141(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=17.151(4) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=99.18(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=20.891(5) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2525.6(12) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.482 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.418 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1152 |
| Crystal size | $0.60 \times 0.14 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.544 to $23.999^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-8<=h<=2,-1<=k<=19,-23<=1<=23$ |
| Reflections collected | 5355 |
| Independent reflections | $3950\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0658\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=23.999^{\circ}$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scans (0.378-0.516) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3950 / 0 / 336 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.096 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $/>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0664, w R_{2}=0.1564$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1038, w R_{2}=0.1773$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0005(3) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.450 and -0.364 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S18. Hydrogen bonds for $\mathbf{1}\left[\AA\right.$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C(1)-H(1 A) \ldots N(12)$ | 0.93 | 2.56 | $3.361(8)$ | 144.0 |
| $C(6)-H(6 A) \ldots O(20) \# 1$ | 0.93 | 2.58 | $3.373(7)$ | 143.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 A) \ldots \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 0.93 | 2.61 | $3.405(8)$ | 144.1 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1 -x+1,--y,-z+1

Refinement for this complex was standard and carried out without restrictions nor constrictions. All H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier C atoms.



Fig. S19 ORTEP view of complex 1 (asymmetric unit; 30\% probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level G omitted)

Table S20. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 2

| Identification code | (TTF-DDF).0.5PhCI |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{12.50} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.50} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 578.85 |
| Temperature | 296(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.3769(11) \AA$ A $\quad \alpha=81.218(7)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=9.3811(9) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=88.805(10)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=18.9293(19) \AA$ 成 $\quad \gamma=75.958(10)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1255.8(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.531 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.473 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 590 |
| Crystal size | $0.44 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.178 to $24.991^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-8<=h<=3,-11<=k<=10,-22<=l<=22$ |
| Reflections collected | 6313 |
| Independent reflections | $4400\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0244\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=24.991^{\circ}$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scans (0.316-0.350) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4400 / 86-370 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.014 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $/>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0408, w R_{2}=0.0921$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0762, w R_{2}=0.1098$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.178 and -0.301 e. A $^{-3}$ |

Table S21. Hydrogen bonds for $2\left[\AA{ }^{\circ}\right.$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C(1)-H(1 A) \ldots N(12)$ | 0.93 | 2.63 | $3.427(4)$ | 144.5 |
| $C(8)-H(8 A) \ldots N(14)$ | 0.93 | 2.65 | $3.451(4)$ | 144.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(19) \# 20.93$ | 2.48 | $3.098(4)$ | 124.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(19) \# 20.93$ | 2.65 | $3.181(4)$ | 117.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(16) \# 30.93$ | 2.59 | $3.189(15)$ | 123.0 |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x+1,-y+1,-z+1 \#2-x,-y,-z \#3 $x, y+1, z$

The chlorobenzene molecule is placed close to an inversion centre, and was refined with a s.o.f. constrained to $1 / 2$. The geometry and thermal behaviour for this molecule were restrained: all C-C bond lengths were restrained to 1.39(1) $\AA$ and the six-membered ring was restrained to be flat (standard FLAT command in SHELXL). Chlorobenzene C atoms (C31...C36) were also constrained to approximate an isotropic shape and to have similar ADP's (standard ISOR and SIMU commands in SHELXL). All H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier C atoms.



Fig. S22 ORTEP view of complex 2 (asymmetric unit; 30\% probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level G omitted)

Table S23. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 3

| Identification code | (TTF-DTF). $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 608.64 |
| Temperature | 293(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.1635(16) \AA$ A $\quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=19.881(4) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=37.705(8) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 5370(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.506 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.406 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2480 |
| Crystal size | $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.049 to $25.003^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-8<=h<=1,-23<=k<=1,-1<=\mid<=44$ |
| Reflections collected | 6049 |
| Independent reflections | $4730\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0273\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.003^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scans (0.200-0.240) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4730 / 111 / 417 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.029 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $/>2 \sigma(1)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0570, w R_{2}=0.1404$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1039, w R_{2}=0.1647$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.361 and -0.324 e. A $^{-3}$ |

Table S24. Hydrogen bonds for $\mathbf{3}\left[\AA{ }^{\circ}\right.$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H . . . A)$ | $d(D . . . A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C(1)-H(1 A) \ldots N(12)$ | 0.93 | 2.59 | $3.404(6) 146.2$ |  |  |
| $C(4)-H(4 A) \ldots O(20 B)$ | 0.93 | 2.09 | $2.795(8) 131.1$ |  |  |
| $C(5)-H(5 A) \ldots N(18 A)$ | 0.93 | 2.61 | $3.159(14)$ | 118.3 |  |
| $C(5)-H(5 A) \ldots O(20 A)$ | 0.93 | 1.98 | $2.68(2) 131.6$ |  |  |
| $C(8)-H(8 A) \ldots N(14)$ | 0.93 | 2.59 | $3.405(5) 146.4$ |  |  |
| $C(31)-H(31 A) \ldots O(16) \# 1$ | 0.93 | 2.63 | $3.468(6) 150.8$ |  |  |
| $C(32)-H(32 A) \ldots O(19 A) \# 2$ | 0.93 | 2.41 | $3.309(18)$ | 161.1 |  |
| $C(32)-H(32 A) \ldots O(20 A) \# 2$ | 0.93 | 2.50 | $3.225(13)$ | 134.6 |  |
| $C(34 A)-H(34 A) \ldots S(30) \# 3$ | 0.96 | 2.86 | $3.62(2) 136.9$ |  |  |
| $C(34 B)-H(34 F) \ldots N(36 B) \# 1$ | 0.96 | 2.59 | $3.46(3)$ | 150.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
$\# 1 x-1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2 \quad \# 2-x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2, z \quad \# 3 x+1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2$

In the acceptor molecule, nitro group bonded to C 4 is disordered over two positions, N18A/O19A/O20A [sof $=0.317(6)$ ] and N18B/O19B/O20B [sof $=1-0.317(6)=0.683(6)$ ], emulating $C_{2}$ local symmetry for this molecule. The geometry of these nitro groups was restrained, with C4-N18A and C5-N18B bond lengths restrained to 1.49 (2) A , and N-O bond lengths restrained to 1.21(2) Å.

Acetonitrile is disordered over a number of positions, and was modelled using two sites, C34A/C35A/N36A [sof $=0.248(8)$ ] and C34B/C35B/N36B [sof $=1-0.248(8)=0.752(8)$ ]. The geometry was restrained in order to target sensible bond lengths and angles in both sites: $C 34 A-C 35 A=1.44(1) \AA, C 35 A-N 36 A=1.14(1) \AA, C 34 A . . N 36 A=2.58(1) \AA$, and similar restraints for B site. All C,N atoms for acetonitrile were restrained to approximate isotropic displacement parameters and to have similar $U_{\mathrm{ij}}$ values (standard ISOR and SIMU commands in SHELXL). All H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier $C$ atoms.



Fig. S25 ORTEP view of complex 3 (asymmetric unit; 20\% probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level G omitted)

Table S26. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 4

| Identification code | (TTF-DTF).0.5Me ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23.50} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6.50} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 596.62 |
| Temperature | 298(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pbca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.1682(15) \AA$ A $\quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=19.902(6) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=37.805(9) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 5393(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.470 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.403 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2432 |
| Crystal size | $0.60 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.047 to $22.534^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-7<=h<=7,-21<=k<=21,-40<=1<=40$ |
| Reflections collected | 8389 |
| Independent reflections | 3545 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.1049\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=22.534^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scans (0.213-0.245) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3545 / 53 / 399 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.092 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $1>2 \sigma(1)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0621, w R_{2}=0.1472$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1109, w R_{2}=0.1848$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.00053(17) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.409 and -0.284 e.Å ${ }^{-3}$ |

Table S27. Hydrogen bonds for 4 [ $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | d(H...A) | d(D...A) | <(DHA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(12)$ | 0.93 | 2.59 | 3.410(8) | 146.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(20 B)$ | 0.93 | 1.95 | 2.667(13) | 132.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(18 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.93 | 2.63 | 3.182(16) | 118.6 |
| C(5)-H(5A)...O(20A) | 0.93 | 2.00 | 2.72(3) | 132.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 0.93 | 2.63 | 3.442(8) | 146.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(23) \# 1$ | 0.93 | 2.63 | 3.268(8) | 126.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(16) \# 2$ | 0.93 | 2.65 | 3.489(9) | 150.8 |
| C(32)-H(32A)...O(19A)\#3 | 0.93 | 2.33 | 3.25(2) | 169.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~A}) \# 3$ | 0.93 | 2.52 | 3.241(18) | 134.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots . \mathrm{O}(35) \# 4$ | 0.96 | 2.52 | 2.99(6) | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(16) \# 5$ | 0.96 | 2.11 | 3.00(4) | 152.5 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1 -x,-y+1,-z+1 \#2 x-1/2,y,-z+1/2 \#3-x+1/2,y-1/2,z
$\# 4 x+1 / 2, y,-z+1 / 2 \quad \# 5-x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2, z$

In the acceptor molecule, nitro group bonded to C 4 is disordered over two positions, N18A/O19A/O20A [sof = 0.365(10)] and N18B/O19B/O20B [sof = $1-0.365(10)=0.635(10)$ ], emulating the $C_{2}$ local symmetry for this molecule. The geometry of these nitro groups was restrained as in isomorphous complex 3.
Acetone is strongly disordered and was modelled with a single position with fully restrained geometry: C34-C36 and C34-C37 bond lengths were restrained to 1.46(1) A, and C36...C37 separation to $2.51(2) \AA$. Carbonyl bond length was restrained to $\mathrm{C} 34-\mathrm{O} 35=1.20(1) \AA$ and the whole molecule was restrained to be flat (standard FLAT command in SHELXL). Finally, ADP's for acetone were restrained to be similar and approximate an isotropic behaviour (standard SIMU and ISOR commands in SHELXL). All H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier C atoms.


4 Alert level A
THETM01_ALERT_3_A The value of sine (theta_max)/wavelength is less than 0.550 Calculated $\sin ($ theta_max)/wavelength $=0.5392$

Author Response: Poor diffraction, probably related to solvent loss Poor diffraction, probably related to solvent loss


Fig. S28 ORTEP view of complex 4 (asymmetric unit; 20\% probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level G omitted)

Table S29. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 5

| Identification code | (TTF-DC2TF). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 629.61 |
| Temperature | $298(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $C 2 / c$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=15.9432(14) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=12.1351(9) \AA \quad \beta=104.572(7)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=13.5738(11) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | $2541.7(4) \AA^{\AA}$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.645 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.439 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1280 |
| Crystal size | $0.60 \times 0.34 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.135 to $27.499^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-20<=h<=13,-15<=k<=15,-17<=/<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 6560 |
| Independent reflections | $2918\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0256\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | $\psi-s c a n s(0.286-0.336)$ |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints $/$ parameters | $2918 / 14 / 227$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.064 |
| Final $R$ indices [/>2 $\sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0547, w R_{2}=0.1448$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0647, w R_{2}=0.1511$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $n / a$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.912 and -0.390 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table S30. Hydrogen bonds for 5 [ $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D . . . A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0.88(2)$ | $2.41(6)$ | $3.03(2)$ | $128(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(15) \# 3$ | $0.85(2)$ | $2.18(6)$ | $2.944(6)$ | $150(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(22) \# 4$ | $0.85(2)$ | $2.41(10)$ | $2.869(14)$ | $115(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{~A}) \# 4$ | $0.85(2)$ | $1.85(3)$ | $2.697(19)$ | $173(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{~B}) \# 4$ | $0.85(2)$ | $1.85(4)$ | $2.682(11)$ | $167(12)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x+1,y,-z+3/2 \#2-x+1,-y+1,-z+1 \#3-x+3/2,y-1/2,-z+3/2
\# $4-x+2,-y+1,-z+2$

The acceptor molecule is placed on the twofold crystallographic axis in $C 2 / c$ space group and has then substituents bonded at C2 and C2' disordered (carboxylic group C11A/O12A/O13A/H13A and nitro group $\mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{O} 12 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~B}$, both with sof's constrained by symmetry to $1 / 2$ ). The carboxylic group was restrained to a sensible geometry: $C 2-C 11 A=1.49(2) \AA, C 11 A-012 A=1.22(2) \AA$, $\mathrm{C} 11 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~A}=1.30(4) \AA, \mathrm{O} 12 \mathrm{~A} . . \mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~A}=2.22(4) \AA, \mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}=0.88(2) \AA$ and $012 \mathrm{~A} . . \mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}=$ $2.35(4) \AA$. The carboxylic acid group was restrained to be flat (standard FLAT command in SHELXL). The geometry of the disordered nitro group was also restrained: $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{~B}=1.47(2) \AA, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths $=1.21(2) \AA$, and $\mathrm{O} 12 \mathrm{~B} . . . \mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~B}=2.15(4) \AA$. The TTF molecule is placed across an inversion centre and was refined freely. For the DA complex, C-bonded H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier C atoms. The hydroxyl H atom H 13 A was first located in a difference map, and its position geometrically restrained as described above. The water molecule O 22 is placed close to an inversion centre, and was refined with sof constrained to $1 / 2 . \mathrm{H}$ atoms H22A and H22B were first found in a difference map, and the geometry of the water molecule was eventually restrained with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths $=0.85(2) \AA$ and $\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{H}$ separation $=$ 1.35(4) Å. C-bonded H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier atoms.

Alert level B
PLAT782 ALERT 2 B Unusual Bond Geometry for C-NO2 Moiety Around N14 Check


Fig. S31 ORTEP view of complex 5 ( $30 \%$ probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level G omitted). Unlabelled atoms are generated by symmetry operators 1-x, y, 3/2-z ( $\mathrm{DC}_{2}$ TF molecule) and 1-x, 1-y, 1-z (TTF molecule).

Table S32. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 6

| Identification code | $(\mathrm{TTF})_{3}(\mathrm{DC2TF})_{2} .2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~S}_{12}$ |
| Formula weight | 1509.62 |
| Temperature | 298(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=10.0608(10) \AA \quad \alpha=107.535(8){ }^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=10.3528(11) \AA \quad \beta=100.525(9)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $c=15.612(2) \AA \quad \gamma=90.877(8)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1520.2(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 1 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.649 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.513 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 768 |
| Crystal size | $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.065 to $25.000^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-11<=h<=1,-11<=k<=11,-18<=\mid<=18$ |
| Reflections collected | 6125 |
| Independent reflections | $5172\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0467\right]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.000^{\circ}$ | 96.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | $\psi$-scans (0.349-0.393) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5172 / 1 / 437 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.059 |
| Final $R$ indices [/>2 $/(1)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0569, w R_{2}=0.1385$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0862, w R_{2}=0.1567$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.413 and -0.354 e..$^{-3}$ |

Table S33. Hydrogen bonds for $6\left[\AA\right.$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ]

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C(6)-H(6 A) \ldots S(33) \# 2$ | 0.93 | 2.99 | $3.908(4)$ | 169.5 |
| $C(8)-H(8 A) \ldots N(14)$ | 0.93 | 2.58 | $3.364(6)$ | 142.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16) \ldots \mathrm{O}(17) \# 3$ | $0.951(10)$ | $1.748(13)$ | $2.697(4)$ | $175(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(25) \# 4$ | 0.93 | 2.60 | $3.274(6)$ | 130.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(44) \# 5$ | 0.93 | 2.51 | $3.239(8)$ | 135.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(14) \# 6$ | 0.93 | 2.59 | $3.470(6)$ | 157.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(12) \# 2$ | 0.93 | 2.46 | $3.343(7)$ | 159.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(22) \# 7$ | 0.96 | 2.66 | $3.452(9)$ | 140.2 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x,-y,-z+2 \#2 $x+1, y, z \quad \# 3-x-1,-y+1,-z+2$
\#4 -x,-y-1,-z+1 \#5-x,-y+1,-z+2 \#6 $x, y+1, z \quad \# 7-x+1,-y+1,-z+2$

Refinement for this complex was standard and carried out without restrictions nor constrictions. All H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding to their carrier C atoms.


| Alert level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAT029 ALERT 3 C | diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full Low | 0.969 Note |
| PLAT244 ALERT 4 C | Low 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of | C43 Check |
| PLAT340 ALERT 3 C | Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds | 0.0066 Ang. |
| PLAT480 ALERT 4 C | Long H...A H-Bond Reported H6A .. S33 | 2.99 Ang. |
| PLAT480 ALERT 4 C | Long H...A H-Bond Reported H42B .. 022 | 2.66 Ang. |
| PLAT906 ALERT 3 C | Large K value in the Analysis of Variance | 3.274 Check |
| PLAT911 ALERT 3 C | Missing \# FCF Refl Between THmin \& STh/L= 0.595 | 133 Report |

Fig. S34 ORTEP view of complex 6 ( $30 \%$ probability level) and checkCIF/PLATON report (Alert level $G$ omitted). Unlabelled atoms in one TTF molecule are generated by symmetry operator $-x,-y, 2-z$

