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## Peptide sequences

The protected peptide sequences that were used for tip functionalization were

1. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Pro-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ser(tBu)-Arg(pbf)-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
2. Fmoc-Ala-Pro-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ser-(tBu)Arg(pbf)-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
3. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Ala-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ser(tBu)-Arg(pbf)-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
4. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Pro-Ala-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Arg(pbf)-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
5. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Pro-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ala-Arg(pbf)-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
6. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Pro-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ser(tBu)-Ala-Tyr(tBu)-COOH
7. Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-Pro-Ala-Ser(tBu)-Ser(tBu)-Arg(pbf)-Ala-COOH

Detailed version of Fig. 2 (this figure contains the number of measurements and MPF for each experiment).


Fig 2. Waterfall representation of a pull-off force histogram for the Q 4 interaction between peptide 1 and mica at different apparent loading rates $(1.54 \mathrm{nN}, 2.52 \mathrm{nN}$, $3.08 \mathrm{nN}, 4.82 \mathrm{nN}$ and 5.80 nN ) at 298 K in TDW. The red lines represent the Gaussian fit for each histogram. n is the number of curves used for generating a histogram.


Fig. S1 Force histogram with Gaussian fit (black curve) for the different peptides and mica at different apparent loading rate at 298 K . (a) peptide 1 at $3.1 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=78)$ (b) peptide 2 at $3.8 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{snN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=107)$ (c) peptide 4 at $3.2 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=140)$ (d) peptide 5 at $3.3 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=122)$ (e) peptide 6 at $2.9 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=112)$ and (f) peptide 7 at $3.5 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{nN} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{n}=71) . \mathrm{n}$ is the number of curve used for preparing histogram.


Fig. S2 AFM topography analysis of peptides 1-7 at different concentrations after one hour of incubation. The size scan of all images is $3 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. S3 Temporal evolution of the distance between the center of masses of each residue and the mica surface, calculated using the normal vector, for MD\#A and MD\#B simulations with \#= (a) 1; (b) 2 and (c) 3.


Fig. S4 Time dependent accumulated Ramachandran plots for (a) 1, (b) 3 and (c) 4 depicted using snapshots recorded form from MD\#A and MD\#B simulations Each different color represents a time period of 1 ns .
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Fig. S5 Temporal evolution of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{nb}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{w}}$ and $\Sigma$ (which is the sum of the three previous contributions) for MD\#A and MD\#B: (a) 1; (b) 2 and (c) 3.


Fig. S6 Representative snapshots of peptides (a) 1, (b) $\mathbf{3}$ and (c) $\mathbf{4}$ extracted from MD\#A simulations.


Fig. S7 Representative snapshot of peptides 6 adsorbed onto mica extracted from preliminary MD simulations performed according to the explanation given in the text.

Table S1. Results of the clustering analysis for MD\#A and MD\#B simulations, where \# corresponds to peptides $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$.

|  | MD1A | MD1B | MD3A | MD3B | MD4A | MD4B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of unique minima | 361 | 695 | 758 | 1554 | 315 | 565 |
| Number of minima with hydrogen bonds or salt bridges $\left(N_{h b} / \mathrm{sb}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | 353 | 672 | 706 | 1267 | 311 | 416 |
| Number of interactions per minimum included in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{hb} / \mathrm{sb}}$ | 3.04 | 2.40 | 2.29 | 2.46 | 2.89 | 2.50 |
| Number of clusters found in the $N_{\text {hb/sb }}$ minima | 455 | 209 | 342 | 536 | 225 | 253 |
| Number of clusters with more than 10 unique minima | 11 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 13 |
| Percentage of main chain - main chain hydrogen bonds (\%) | 53.92 | 87.63 | 79.88 | 53.46 | 80.69 | 60.41 |
| Percentage of main chain - side chain hydrogen bonds (\%) | 42.30 | 8.59 | 14.06 | 43.41 | 14.18 | 36.99 |
| Percentage of side chain - side chain hydrogen bonds (\%) | 3.80 | 3.81 | 6.07 | 3.14 | 2.16 | 2.62 |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Salt bridges between the side chain of Arg and the $\mathrm{COO}^{-}$groups of the C-terminal have not been found in any studied system.

