Supplementary Information

A practical approach to calculate the time evolutions of magnetic field effects on photochemical reactions in nano-structured materials

Tomoaki Yago and Masanobu Wakasa

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University,

255 Shimo-okubu Sakura-ku Saitama-shi Saitama 338-8570 Japan

S1. Analysis by stochastic Liouville equation (SLE)

The matrix elements of H(r) is represented by,

H(r) =

$$|S, I, m\rangle \qquad |T_{-}, I, m+1\rangle \qquad |T_{0}, I, m\rangle \qquad |T_{+}, I, m-1\rangle \\ \begin{cases} J(r) & \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m+1)} & \frac{m}{2}A + \frac{(g_{a} - g_{b})}{2}\mu_{B}\hbar^{-1}B & -\frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m-1)} \\ \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m+1)} & -g\mu_{Be}\hbar^{-1}B - \frac{m+1}{2}A - J(r) & \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m+1)} & 0 \\ \frac{m}{2}A + \frac{(g_{a} - g_{b})}{2}\mu_{Be}\hbar^{-1}B & \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m+1)} & -J(r) & \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m-1)} \\ -\frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m-1)} & 0 & \frac{A}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{I(l+1)-m(m-1)} & g\mu_{B}\hbar^{-1}B + \frac{m-1}{2}A - J(r) \\ \end{cases}$$
(S1)

where *I* and *m* are the spin quantum number and the magnetic quantum number for a nuclei, respectively. For the single magnetic nuclei, values of I=1/2 and $m=\pm 1/2$ are adopted. In the SLE analysis, the final results were calculated by summing the results calculated with the values of m=+1/2 and of m=-1/2. The matrix elements in eq S1 are essentially the same with that presented in the literatures^{S1,S2} except the elements for the S-T₀ coherence. In our simulation, a term for the Δg effect is included. In the cage model, the total transition-probability matrix elements of **W** is given as,

where the first row represents the condition of the reflecting wall at *d*. In matrix \mathbf{W} , Δr value is switched from Δr_1 to Δr_2 at 16th row and from Δr_2 to Δr_2 at 32th row. In 16th row, the elements of \mathbf{W} are given by,

$$\mathbf{W}_{16,15} = \left(\frac{2}{1+f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_1^2}\right)$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{16,16} = -\left(\frac{2}{f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_1^2}\right)$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{16,17} = \left(\frac{2}{(1+f)f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_1^2}\right)$$
(S3a)
$$f = \frac{\Delta r_2}{\Delta r_1}$$
(S3b)

In 32th row, similarly, the elements of **W** are given by,

$$\mathbf{W}_{32,31} = \left(\frac{2}{1+f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_2^2}\right)$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{32,32} = -\left(\frac{2}{f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_2^2}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{32,33} = \left(\frac{2}{(1+f)f}\right) \left(\frac{D}{\Delta r_2^2}\right)$$
(S4a)

$$f = \frac{\Delta r_3}{\Delta r_2} \tag{S4b}$$

In the cage model, the terms of $\mathbf{W}_{L,L}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{L+1,L}$ determine the escape rate of RPs from the cage and represented as^{S3}

$$\mathbf{W}_{L,L} = \frac{-\{k_{\text{out}}(1 + \Delta r_3 / r) + k_{\text{in}}(1 - \Delta r_3 / r)\}}{\Delta r_3^2}$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{L+1,L} = \frac{k_{\text{out}}}{\Delta r_3^2}$$
(S5)

where $k_{in} = D$. In the simulation, the RP is collected at the last segments (*L*+1) and cannot diffuse back. This means that once the radicals escape from the cage, they cannot diffuse back to the inside of the cage.

The radial weighting factors for r_j th position are represented as,

$$V(0) = \frac{d\Delta r_1}{2}$$

$$V(j) = r_j f \Delta r$$

$$V(L) = \frac{r_L f \Delta r_3}{2}$$
(S6)

f takes the value of 1 in the region where the Δr_1 value is used. The Δr value is switched from Δr_1 to Δr_2 at 16th row and from Δr_2 to Δr_2 at 32th row. In these rows, *V*(*j*) are given by,

$$V_{16} = \frac{r_1(1+f)\Delta r_2}{2}$$
$$V_{32} = \frac{r_2(1+f)\Delta r_3}{2}$$
(S7)

The form of the spin relaxation matrices used in SLE is described in the literature.^{S4} We used the following matrix elements to describe the spin relaxations,

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{R}_1 + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{R}_2 + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{R}_3$$
(S8a)

$$ho_{SS}
ho_{ST_0}
ho_{T_0S}
ho_{T_0T_0}
ho_{T_+T_+}
ho_{T_-T_-}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -3\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} \\ \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & -\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & 0 & 0 \\ \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & 0 & -\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & 0 \\ \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & 0 & 0 & -\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} \\ \left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} & 0 & 0 & -\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}\right)_{\text{radical}} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$
(S8c)

(S8d)

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_1}\right)_{\rm TT} = \left(\frac{1}{T_1}\right)_{\rm radical} + \left(\frac{1}{T_1}\right)_{\rm RP}$$
(S8e)

Here we assumed that the longitudinal spin relaxations do not contribute to the decoherences between the S and T_0 states.

S2. Parameters used for stochastic Liouville equation (SLE) analysis

Parameters for Calculation	abbreviation	Used Values
Exchange interaction in contacted RP	J_0	-1×10 ¹¹ rad s ⁻¹
Exponential decay constant in r for $J(r)$	γ	20 nm^{-1}
Radius of radical a	d_{a}	0.4 nm
Radius of radical b	$d_{ m b}$	0.4 nm
The closest distance in radical pair	d	0.6 nm
Hyperfine coupling constant	Α	-0.4 mT
Anisotropy of the hyperfine coupling constant	δΑ	1.3 mT
g-factor for radical a	g_{a}	2.0033 ⁸⁵
g-factor for radical b	g_{b}	2.0033 ^{\$5}
Anisotropy of the g-factor for radical a	δg_{a}	0.002
Anisotropy of the g-factor for radical b	$\delta g_{ m b}$	0.002
Rate constant of the hydrogen abstract reaction	$k_{ m gen}$	$3 \times 10^{6} \text{ s}^{-1 \text{ S6}}$

Table S2-1 Physical Parameters Used for the SLE analysis

Table S2-2 Mathematic Parameters Used for the Inverse Laplace Transformation

Figure	Method	β	k	δ	Δt
Figure 2	1	0	12	2×10^{7}	-
	2			8×10 ⁹	1.25×10 ⁻⁹ s
Figure 4a	1	0	12	$2 \times 10^{10} \text{ or } 2 \times 10^{9}$	-
Figure 4c	1	0	12	2×10 ⁹	-
	2			2×10^{11}	1×10 ⁻¹¹ s
Figure 5	1	0	12	2×10^{7}	-
	2			8×10 ⁹	1.25×10 ⁻⁹ s
Figures 7-9	1	0	12	1×10^7 or 1×10^6	-
	2			5×10 ⁸	5×10 ⁻⁸ s

S3. References

(S1) F. Ito, T. Ikoma, K. Akiyama, A. Watanabe, and S. Tero-Kubota, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 8707-8717.

(S2) F. Ito, T. Ikoma, K. Akiyama and A. Watanabe S. Tero-Kubota *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 5161-5162.

- (S3) M. Okazaki and K. Toriyama, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 17244-17250.
- (S4) M. J. Hansen and J. B. Pederson B, Riken Review, 2002, 44, 34-37.
- (S5) K. Maeda, M. Terazima, T. Azumi, and Y. Tanimoto, J. Phys. Chem., 1991, 95, 197-204.
- (S6) T. Maeyama, H. Matsui, T. Yago, and M. Wakasa, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 22190-22196.