ZEOLITES FOR THE SELECTIVE ADSORPTION OF SULFUR HEXAFLUORIDE

I. Matito-Martos^a, J. Álvarez-Ossorio^a, J.J. Gutiérrez-Sevillano^a, M. Doblaré^b, A. Martin-Calvo^{*a}, and S. Calero^{*a}

Department of Physical, Chemical and Natural Systems, University Pablo de Olavide, Sevilla 41013, Spain Abengoa Research, Abengoa, Campus Palmas Altas, Energía Solar, 1. (Palmas Altas) 41014 Seville, Spain

Electronic Supporting Information

| | Crystallographic Unit cell | | | 1 | Angles unit cell | | | Pore | SSA |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Zeolite | | а | b | с | а | b | g | Volume | (Helium) |
| | Positions | (Å) | (Å) | (Å) | (°) | (°) | (°) | (cm ³ /g) | (m ² /g) |
| AFR | 1 | 22.31 | 13.57 | 6.97 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.249 | 818.00 |
| AFY | 1 | 12.33 | 12.33 | 8.60 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.295 | 1208.05 |
| ASV | 1 | 8.67 | 8.67 | 13.92 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.096 | 305.30 |
| BEC | 1 | 12.77 | 12.77 | 12.98 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.284 | 979.93 |
| BOG | 2 | 20.24 | 23.80 | 12.80 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.240 | 817.50 |
| CFI | 1 | 13.96 | 5.26 | 25.97 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.149 | 456.56 |
| CHA | 3 | 9.46 | 9.46 | 9.46 | 94.07 | 94.07 | 94.07 | 0.253 | 893.81 |
| DDR | 4 | 13.86 | 13.86 | 40.89 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.140 | 400.48 |
| DON | 5 | 14.97 | 8.48 | 30.03 | 90 | 102.65 | 90 | 0.167 | 508.77 |
| EMT | 1 | 17.22 | 17.22 | 28.08 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.340 | 1030.19 |
| EON | 1 | 7.57 | 18.15 | 25.93 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.164 | 378.34 |
| ERI | 6 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 15.05 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.219 | 716.96 |
| FAU | 7 | 24.26 | 24.26 | 24.26 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.332 | 1020.96 |
| FER | 8 | 18.72 | 14.07 | 7.42 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.129 | 407.45 |
| ITQ-29 | 9 | 11.87 | 11.87 | 11.87 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.286 | 849.36 |
| ITQ-3 | 10 | 20.62 | 9.72 | 19.62 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.227 | 693.71 |
| ITR | 1 | 11.67 | 21.97 | 25.17 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.155 | 572.09 |
| ITW | 1 | 10.45 | 15.03 | 8.95 | 90 | 105.64 | 90 | 0.102 | 382.27 |
| IWW | 1 | 41.69 | 12.71 | 12.71 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.197 | 883.36 |
| JRY | 1 | 8.17 | 9.20 | 17.29 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.094 | 333.56 |
| KFI | 11 | 18.67 | 18.67 | 18.67 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.233 | 786.75 |
| LAU | 12 | 14.85 | 13.17 | 7.54 | 90 | 110.323 | 90 | 0.133 | 471.29 |
| LEV | 13 | 13.34 | 13.34 | 23.01 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.219 | 706.26 |
| LTL | 14 | 18.47 | 18.47 | 7.48 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.168 | 553.03 |
| MEL | 15 | 20.07 | 20.07 | 13.41 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.154 | 544.96 |
| MFI | 16 | 20.02 | 19.90 | 13.38 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.164 | 547.66 |
| MOR | 17 | 18.11 | 20.53 | 7.53 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.150 | 477.93 |
| MTF | 1 | 9.63 | 30.39 | 7.25 | 90 | 90.45 | 90 | 0.086 | 263.69 |
| MWW | 1 | 14.39 | 14.39 | 25.20 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.233 | 801.23 |
| NES | 1 | 26.06 | 13.88 | 22.86 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.194 | 701.99 |
| OBW | 1 | 13.91 | 13.91 | 30.84 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.324 | 989.06 |
| PAU | 18 | 35.09 | 35.09 | 35.09 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.159 | 538.21 |
| PON | 1 | 8.91 | 9.21 | 16.09 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.094 | 329.22 |
| RHO | 19 | 15.03 | 15.03 | 15.03 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.252 | 783.40 |
| SAS | 1 | 14.35 | 14.35 | 10.40 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.259 | 794.61 |
| SBE | 1 | 18.53 | 18.53 | 27.13 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.307 | 938.11 |
| SBT | 1 | 17.19 | 17.19 | 41.03 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.339 | 1057.79 |
| SFG | 1 | 25.53 | 12.58 | 13.07 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.141 | 494.75 |
| SFO | 1 | 22.59 | 13.57 | 6.97 | 90 | 99.016 | 90 | 0.249 | 815.75 |
| STW | 1 | 11.89 | 11.89 | 29.92 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 0.203 | 804.89 |
| SZR | 1 | 18.87 | 14.40 | 7.51 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.117 | 398.51 |
| TER | 1 | 9.81 | 23.65 | 20.24 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0.176 | 647.26 |

Table S1. Unit cell lengths and angles, and computed pore volume, and surface area for the zeolites used in this study.

| Zeolite | D_{avg} | D _x | Dy | Dz | Zeolite | D_{avg} | D _x | Dy | Dz |
|---------|--|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | (10 ⁻⁸ m ² s ⁻¹) | | (10 ⁻⁸ m ² s ⁻¹) |
| AFR | 0.2031 | - | - | 0.6100 | LAU | - | - | - | - |
| AFY | 0.2594 | - | - | 0.7735 | LEV | - | - | - | - |
| ASV | - | - | - | - | LTL | 0.0666 | - | - | 0.1969 |
| BEC | 0.2736 | 0.3703 | 0.3663 | 0.1026 | MEL | 0.0008 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0005 |
| BOG | 0.2892 | 0.8453 | 0.0199 | - | MFI | 0.0302 | 0.0300 | 0.0564 | 0.0114 |
| CFI | 0.8558 | - | 2.5671 | - | MOR | 0.8245 | - | - | 2.4735 |
| CHA | - | - | - | - | MTF | - | - | - | - |
| DDR | - | - | - | - | MWW | - | - | - | - |
| DON | 0.6025 | - | 1.8065 | - | NES | 0.0161 | 0.0374 | 0.0128 | - |
| EMT | 0.4793 | 0.4416 | 0.4478 | 0.5366 | OBW | 0.0050 | 0.0082 | 0.0049 | - |
| EON | 0.6589 | 1.9769 | - | - | PAU | - | - | - | - |
| ERI | - | - | - | - | PON | - | - | - | - |
| FAU | 0.8132 | 0.8276 | 0.8319 | 0.8030 | RHO | - | - | - | - |
| FER | - | - | - | - | SAS | - | - | - | - |
| LTA | - | - | - | - | SBE | 0.2557 | 0.3845 | 0.3788 | - |
| ITE | - | - | - | - | SBT | 0.4124 | 0.4659 | 0.4490 | 0.3164 |
| ITR | 0.0012 | 0.0007 | 0.0002 | 0.0028 | SFG | 0.0049 | - | - | 0.0148 |
| ITW | - | - | - | - | SFO | 0.1957 | 0.0117 | - | 0.5743 |
| IWW | 0.0313 | - | - | 0.0993 | STW | 0.0029 | - | - | 0.0088 |
| JRY | - | - | - | - | TER | 0.0240 | 0.0719 | - | - |
| KFI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table S2.Self-diffusion coefficients calculated for sulfur hexafluoride in the studied zeolites. Simulations carried out at 298 K with two molecules per simulation cell.

Table S3. Loading of SF₆ and N₂ and SF₆/N₂ selectivity at the given pressure from the mixture SF₆/N₂ (10:90) at room temperature.The values of pressure were chosen using criteria that combines both high selectivity and SF₆ loading.

| | riessure | Sr ₆ Loading | N ₂ Loading | Selectivity |
|---------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Zeolite | kPa | mol/kg | mol/kg | SF ₆ /N ₂ |
| AFR | 300 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 48.39 |
| AFY | 300 | 0.61 | 0.34 | 16.31 |
| BEC | 300 | 2.28 | 0.07 | 296.06 |
| BOG | 300 | 1.53 | 0.17 | 80.74 |
| CFI | 300 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 80.25 |
| DON | 300 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 43.30 |
| EMT | 3000 | 2.28 | 0.74 | 27.61 |
| EON | 60 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 74.76 |
| FAU | 1000 | 1.52 | 0.50 | 27.64 |
| ITR | 300 | 1.73 | 0.02 | 731.10 |
| IWW | 300 | 1.70 | 0.04 | 404.12 |
| LTL | 1000 | 1.22 | 0.27 | 40.60 |
| MEL | 300 | 1.80 | 0.11 | 144.01 |
| MFI | 300 | 1.75 | 0.11 | 145.69 |
| MOR | 60 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 61.70 |
| NES | 300 | 1.43 | 0.08 | 151.24 |
| OBW | 300 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 27.79 |
| SBE | 1000 | 1.40 | 0.64 | 19.68 |
| SBT | 3000 | 2.93 | 0.54 | 48.81 |
| SFG | 300 | 1.28 | 0.04 | 327.16 |
| SFO | 300 | 1.68 | 0.09 | 169.06 |
| STW | 300 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 8.84 |
| TER | 100 | 0.82 | 0.13 | 56.17 |

Pressure SF₆ Loading N₂ Loading Selectivity



Figure S1. Computed isosteric heats of adsorption of sulfur hexafluoride at 298 K as a function of the zeolite pore volume. Open symbols show the results obtained for channel-type zeolites and closed symbol for the interconnected-type. The directionally of the pore space is represented by circles (1D), inverted triangles (2D), and squares (3D).



Figure S2. Computed isosteric heats of adsorption of sulfur hexafluoride at 298 K as a function of the zeolite pore volume. Open symbols show the results obtained for channel-type zeolites and closed symbol for the interconnected-type. The directionally of the pore space is represented by circles (1D), inverted triangles (2D), and squares (3D).



Figure S3. Average occupation profiles obtained in MOR zeolite for nitrogen (bottom left) and sulfur hexafluoride (bottom right). These figures show the projection of the center of mass of the molecules over the z-x plane. The color graduation indicates the occupation density (from black to red). To guide the view we add a representation of the structure (top). Oxygen atoms are depicted in red and silica atoms in yellow. A grid surface is also represented where the accessible part appears in blue while the non-accessible part is colored in gray.



Figure S4. Average occupation profiles obtained in EON zeolite for nitrogen (center) and sulfur hexafluoride (right). These figures show the projection of the center of mass of the molecules over the *z*-*x* plane. The color graduation indicates the occupation density (from black to red). To guide the view we add a representation of the structure (left). Oxygen atoms are depicted in red and silica atoms in yellow. A grid surface is also represented where the accessible part appears in blue while the non-accessible part is colored in gray.



Figure S5. Representation of the atomic structure of zeolite EON. Oxygen atoms are depicted in red and silica atoms in yellow. A grid surface is also represented where the accessible part appears in blue while the non-accessible part is colored in gray. Local structure features are highlighted with circles colored in green (side-pockets) and yellow (T-box).





Figure S6. Average occupation profiles obtained in SBE zeolite for nitrogen (bottom left) and sulfur hexafluoride (bottom right). These figures show the projection of the center of mass of the molecules over the z-x plane (or y-z plane). The color graduation indicates the occupation density (from black to red). To guide the view we add a representation of the structure (top). Oxygen atoms are depicted in red and silica atoms in yellow. A grid surface is also represented where the accessible part appears in blue while the non-accessible part is colored in gray.



Figure S7. Average occupation profiles obtained in AFY zeolite for nitrogen (bottom left) and sulfur hexafluoride (bottom right). These figures show the projection of the center of mass of the molecules over the x-y plane. The color graduation indicates the occupation density (from black to red). To guide the view we add a representation of the structure (top). Oxygen atoms are depicted in red and silica atoms in yellow. A grid surface is also represented where the accessible part appears in blue while the non-accessible part is colored in gray.

References and Notes

- 1. C. Baerlocher, L. B. McCusker and D. H. Olson, Atlas of Zeolite Framework types, Elsevier, London, 2007.
- 2. J. J. Pluth and J. V. Smith, Am. Miner., 1990, 75, 501-507.
- 3. M. Calligaris, G. Nardin and L. Randaccio, Zeolites, 1983, 3, 205-208.
- 4. H. Gies, Z. Kristallogr., 1986, 175, 93-104.
- 5. T. Wessels, C. Baerlocher, L. B. McCusker and E. J. Creyghton, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1999, 121, 6242-6247.
- 6. J. A. Gard and J. M. Tait, Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Molecular Sieves, 1973, 94-99.
- 7. J. A. Hriljac, M. M. Eddy, A. K. Cheetham, J. A. Donohue and G. J. Ray, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1993, **106**, 66-72.
 8. R. E. Morris, S. J. Weigel, N. J. Henson, L. M. Bull, M. T. Janicke, B. F. Chmelka and A. K. Cheetham, *Journal of the American* Chemical Society, 1994, 116, 11849-11855.
- 9. A. Corma, F. Rey, J. Rius, M. J. Sabater and S. Valencia, Nature, 2004, 431, 287-290.
- 10. M. A. Camblor, A. Corma, P. Lightfoot, L. A. Villaescusa and P. A. Wright, Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 1997, 36, 2659-2661.
- 11. J. B. Parise, R. D. Shannon, E. Prince and D. E. Cox, Z. Kristall., 1983, 165, 175-190.
- 12. G. Artioli and K. Stahl, Zeolites, 1993, 13, 249-255.
- 13. S. Merlino, E. Galli and A. Alberti, Tschermaks Min. Petr. Mitt, 1975, 22, 117-129.
- 14. J. M. Newsam, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 7689-7694.
- 15. C. A. Fyfe, H. Gies, G. T. Kokotailo, C. Pasztor, H. Strobl and D. E. Cox, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1989, 111, 2470-2474.
- 16. H. van Koningsveld, H. van Bekkum and J. C. Jansen, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B-Struct. Commun., 1987, 43, 127-132.
- 17. V. Gramlich, Ph.D. Thesis, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland, 1971.
- 18. E. K. Gordon, S. Samson and W. B. Kamb, Science, 1966, 154, 1004-1007.
- 19. L. B. McCusker and C. Baerlocher, J. Solid State Chem., 1984, 812-822.