# Supplementary Information

# Harvesting of Light Energy by Iridium(III) Complexes on a Clay Surface

Kenji Tamura,<sup>a</sup> Akihiko Yamagishi,<sup>b</sup> Takafumi Kitazawa,<sup>b,c</sup> and Hisako Sato,<sup>d</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup> National Institute of Materials Science, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Toho University, Funabashi, Chiba 274-851, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Research Center For Materials with Integrated Properties, Toho University, Funabashi, Chiba 274-851, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan, <u>sato.hisako.my@ehime-u.ac.jp</u>

## Contnets

- 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and mass spectra
- 2. UV-spectra
- 3. Chromatogram for optical resolution
- 4. Stationary emission spectra
- 5. Dynamic emission properties
- 6. Calculation of spectral overlap integral (J) and Förster radius (R<sub>0</sub>)
- 7. XRD patterns of ion-exchange adducts

#### 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectra

#### [Ir(dfppy)2(C1-bpy)]ClO4

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$ 9.59 (s, 2H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 2H), 5.71(d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 6.6, 7.0 Hz, 6H); MS (m/z; FAB): 756.79 (calculated for [Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>4</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>), 757 (experimentally obtained).

### [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400 MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$  8.97 (t, J = 5.53Hz, 2H), 8.70 (s, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.00 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, J = 4.33 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (t, J = 4.40 Hz, 4H), 7.55 (d, J = 5.56 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 4H), 7.13 (m, J = 6.00 Hz, 4H), 6.93 (t, J = 8.44Hz, 2H), 6.32 (d, J = 6.71 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (s, 7.99 Hz, 6H); MS (m/z; FAB): 784.9 (calculated for [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>32</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>) 785 (experimentally obtained).

### [Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400 MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$  9.45 (s, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (ddd, J = 9.3, 9.3, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 5.69 (dd, J = 6.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 1,71 (tt, J = 7.7, 7.7 Hz, 4H), 1.42-1.20 (overlapped, 36H), 0.86 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H); MS (m/z; FAB): 1068.1 (calculated for [Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>68</sub> F<sub>4</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>), 1066 (experimentally obtained).

### [Ir(piq)2(C12-bpy)]ClO4

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400 MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$  8.91 (t, J = 5.43Hz, 2H), 8.72 (s, 2H), 8.25 (d, J = 7.99 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (t, J = 4.33 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (t, J = 4.39 Hz, 4H), 7.55 (t, J = 5.61 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (dd, J = 6.47 Hz, 4H), 7.15 (m, J = 5.92 Hz, 4H), 6.87 (t, J = 7.44Hz, 2H), 6.26 (d, J = 6.71 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (t, J = 7.99 Hz, 4H), 1.80(m, 4H),1.25 (s, 36H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.89 Hz, 6H) ; MS (m/z; FAB): 1096.7 (calculated for [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>64</sub>H<sub>76</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>) 1094 (experimentally obtained).

#### [Ir(dfppy)2(C19-bpy)]ClO4

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400 MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$  9.40 (s, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (dd, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (ddd, J = 9.3, 9.3, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 5.66 (dd, J = 6.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.99 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 1.71 (tt, J = 7.7, 7.7 Hz, 4H), 1.42-1.20 (overlapped, 64H), 0.86 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H); MS (m/z; FAB): 1261.7 (calculated for [Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C19-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>96</sub>F<sub>4</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>), 1261 (experimentally obtained).

#### [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>19</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (chloroform-*d*, 400 MHz, 25 °C):  $\delta$  8.91 (t, J = 5.43Hz, 2H), 8.73 (s, 2H), 8.27 (d, J = 7.99 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (t, J = 4.33 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (t, J = 4.39 Hz, 4H), 7.55 (t, J = 5.61 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (dd, J = 6.47 Hz, 4H), 7.11 (m, J = 5.92 Hz, 4H), 6.87 (t, J = 7.44Hz, 2H), 6.25 (d, J = 6.71 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (t, J = 7.99 Hz, 4H), 1.80(m, 4H),1.25 (s, 64H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.89 Hz, 6H) ; MS (m/z; FAB): 1273.7 (calculated for [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>19</sub>-bpy)]<sup>+</sup>; C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>104</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>) 1279 (experimentally obtained).

#### Examples of full charts of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra



[Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (a, c, d and g, f are aliphatic and aromatic protons, respectively)



[Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (a, b, c, d and g, f are aliphatic and aromatic protons, respectively)

#### Examples of a full chart of <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra

[Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>19</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (the region of aromatic carbons; 32 carbon atoms were identified; triplet peaks at  $\delta$  = 78 was due to the carbon of CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



2. UV-spectra



(C19) C<sub>19</sub>-bpy

**Figure S1.** The UV-visible spectra of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_n-bpy)]ClO_4$  (solid) and  $[Ir(piq)_2(C_n-bpy)]ClO_4$  (dotted) in methanol;  $C_n$ -bpy = (C1) C<sub>1</sub>-bpy, (C12) C<sub>12</sub>-bpy and (C19) C<sub>19</sub>-bpy.



**Figure S2.** The UV-visible spectra of a suspension of SAP ( $6x10^{-6}$  in CEC) adsorbing iridium(III) complexes: (blue) [Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub> ( $9.3x10^{-7}$  M) was added and (black) [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub> ( $3.1x10^{-7}$  M) was added thereafter.

#### 3. Chromatogram for optical resolution



Figure S3. Chromatogram for resolving iridium(III) complexes: (left) [Ir(dfppy)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub>;

The flow rate was 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup> and the monitoring wavelength 400 nm.

(right) [Ir(piq)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>1</sub>-bpy)]ClO<sub>4</sub>; The flow rate was 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup> and the monitoring wavelength 500 nm.

The used column was a CHIRALPACK IA (Daicel, Japan). An eluting solvent was acetonitrile containing 0.1 % of diethylamine and trifluroroacetic acid.

#### 4. Stationary emission spectra



**Figure S4.** The change of the emission spectra of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  ions adsorbed by SAP, when a methanol solution of  $[Ir(piq)_2(C_1-bpy)]ClO_4$  was added. The used enantiomers were (left)  $\Delta$ - $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+/\Delta$ - $[Ir(piq)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  and (right)  $\Delta$ - $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+/\Delta$ - $[Ir(piq)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$ . The medium was 4:1 (v/v) warer/methanol. The concentration of SAP was (upper) 3.0x10<sup>-6</sup> eqL<sup>-1</sup> and (lower) 1.2x10<sup>-5</sup> eqL<sup>-1</sup> in terms of cation-exchange capacity and the loading of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  (upper ) 60 % and (lower) 15 %, respectively. The loading of  $[Ir(piq)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  was (upper) (a) 0.0%, (b) 1.8%, (c) 3.8%, (d)7.4%, (e) 11.2%, (f) 15.0% and (g) 18.4% and (lower) (a) 0.0%, (b) 0.45%, (c) 0.95%, (d) 1.8%, (e) 2.8 %, (f) 3.7 % and (g) 4.6 %, respectively.



**Figure S5.** The change of the emission spectra of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+$  ions adsorbed by SAP, when a methanol solution of  $[Ir(piq)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]ClO_4$  was added. The used enantiomers were (left)  $\Delta$ - $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+/\Lambda$ - $[Ir(piq)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+$  and (right)  $\Delta$ - $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+/\Delta$ - $[Ir(piq)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+$ . The medium was 4:1 (v/v) water/methanol. The concentration of SAP was 3.0x10<sup>-6</sup> eqL<sup>-1</sup> in terms of cation-exchange capacity and the loading of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  60%. The loading of  $[Ir(piq)_2L]^+$  was (a) 0.0%, (b) 2.0%, (c) 4.0%, (d)7.9%, (e) 11.8%, (f) 15.7% and (g) 19.5%, respectively.



**Figure S6.** The change of the emission spectra of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_{19}-bpy)]^+$  ions adsorbed by SAP, when a methanol solution of  $[Ir(piq)_2(C_{19}-bpy)]ClO_4$  was added. The concentration of SAP was  $3.0x10^{-6}$  eqL<sup>-1</sup> in terms of cation-exchange capacity and the loading of  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_1-bpy)]^+$  60%. The loading of  $[Ir(piq)_2L]^+$  was (a) 0.0%, (b) 5.9%, (c) 11.9%, and (d)23.6%, respectively.

# 5. Dynamic emission properties

SAP	1.2x10 <sup>-5</sup> M	1.2x10 <sup>-5</sup> M	6x10 <sup>-6</sup> M	6x10 <sup>-6</sup> M
PIQ [M]	$\tau_{fast}/\mu s$	$\tau_{slow}/\mu s$	$\tau_{fast} / \mu s$	$\tau_{slow}/\mu s$
0	0.315	0.713	0.302	0.745
8.8x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.188	0.604	0.076	0.490
1.7x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.120	0.513	0.059	0.414
3.5x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.089	0.442	0.045	0.379
5.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.063	0.380	0.039	0.328
7.1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.058	0.385	0.039	0.344
8.8x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.043	0.344	0.039	0.369

Table S1. The lifetime analyses of the emission decay at 490 nm according to eq. (3) in the text

#### 6. Calculation of spectral overlap integral (J) and Förster radius (R<sub>0</sub>)

The rate constant of Förster-type energy transfer  $(k_{ET})$  is expressed by the following equation:

$$k_{ET} = \frac{9000 \ Ln10 \ k^2 \ \Phi}{128\pi^5 n^4 N \tau_D R^6} J(\lambda) \qquad (S1),$$

in which  $\tau_D$ , *R* and *J* ( $\lambda$ ) denote the excited life time of a donor in the absence of an acceptor, the donor/acceptor distance and the spectral overlap integral, respectively. *R*<sub>o</sub> (Förster radius) and *J* ( $\lambda$ ) are given by equations (S2) and (S3), respectively:

$$R_0 = 9.78 \times 10^{-5} \left(\kappa^2 \phi n^{-4} J(\lambda)\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}$$
  
(in cm) (S2).

$$J(\lambda) = \frac{\int F_d(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda)\lambda^4 d\lambda}{\int F_d(\lambda)d\lambda}$$
(S3)

As for other parameters in equations (S2) and (S3),  $\kappa$  is the orientation factor,  $\phi$  the quantum yield of donor, *n* the refractive index of the medium, *N* the Avogadro constant,  $\lambda$  the wavelength,  $\varepsilon_a$  the extinction coefficient of the acceptor and  $F_d$  the normalized emission intensity of the donor.  $\eta_{ET}$  denotes the energy transfer efficiency and  $k_{NR}$  represents the decay constant in the absence of an acceptor. Assuming  $\kappa^2 = 2/3$  (random orientation), n = 1.3 and  $\phi$ =0.14, *J* ( $\lambda$ ) was calculated to be 1.14 x 10<sup>-14</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> from the emission and absorption spectra of the present donor-acceptor pairs.  $R_o$  was obtained to be 2.6 nm for a donor/acceptor pair. For a donor/donor pair, *J* ( $\lambda$ ) was calculated to be 2.68 x 10<sup>-15</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> from the emission and absorption spectra of the present excited donor-donor pairs.  $R_o$  was obtained to be 2.0 nm.

#### 7. XRD patterns of ion-exchange adducts



**Figure S7.** The XRD patterns of SAP: (black) the original sample with the basal spacing (d) of 2.0 nm and (blue) the samples having adsorbed  $[Ir(dfppy)_2(C_{12}-bpy)]^+$  to c.a. 90 % of CEC with d = 2.2 nm.