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## 1 Quantum Chemical Methods

In general, to accurately calculate hyperpolarizabilities extended basis sets, correlated electronic structure methods and taking the deviation between static and dynamic hyperpolarizabilities into account are required. In this work, we account for these three components by following a computational procedure extensively used and tested by Champagne and coworkers ${ }^{\text {Ref-S1,Ref-S2 }}$ to calculate dynamical hyperpolarizabilities for molecular switches. In an own previous paper, we have applied this computational protocol for non-linear response of fulgimides ${ }^{\text {Ref-S3 }}$.

In this procedure we first optimize molecular structures by hybrid (B3LYP ${ }^{\text {Ref-S4 }}$ ) density functional theory (DFT), using a $6-311++\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set which contains two sets of polarization and two sets of diffuse functions ${ }^{\text {Ref-S5 }}$. In a second step, we perform MP2 (Møller-Plesset perturbation theory to 2 nd order) and CPHF (Coupled Perturbed Hartree Fock) calculations at the optimized geometries with this basis set, to obtain static hyperpolarizabilities $\beta_{\mathrm{CPHF}}(0 ; 0,0)$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{MP} 2}(0 ; 0,0)$, respectively. In the MP2 case, the finite-field method was used in order to do so. Dynamic first hyperpolarizabilities $\beta_{\mathrm{TDHF}}(-2 \omega ; \omega, \omega)$ were calculated for a frequency $\omega$ corresponding to the experimental excitation wavelength of 800 nm , by TDHF (Time-Dependent Hartree Fock) ${ }^{\text {Ref-S6 }}$. In a third step, the scaling relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{MP} 2}(-2 \omega ; \omega, \omega) \approx \beta_{\mathrm{MP} 2}(0 ; 0,0) \frac{\beta_{\mathrm{TDHF}}(-2 \omega ; \omega, \omega)}{\beta_{\mathrm{CPHF}}(0 ; 0,0)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was adopted, to arrive at dynamic hyperpolarizabilities at the correlated MP2 level, $\beta_{\mathrm{MP} 2}(-2 \omega ; \omega, \omega)$. This scaling procedure assumes that the frequency dispersions of $\beta$ are the same for the correlated (MP2) and uncorrelated cases (HF). We used the Gaussian09 program package to perform all the calculations ${ }^{\text {Ref-S7 }}$.

| $\beta_{i j k}$ | cis | trans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xxx | 14.3 | 11.0 |
| yxx | -801 | 0.14 |
| xyx | -194 | 4.85 |
| yyx | 90.2 | -15.5 |
| xyy | 68.1 | -230 |
| yyy | 191 | -13.1 |
| xzx | 8247 | -134 |
| zxx | -4762 | 57.7 |
| yxz | 1655 | 12.0 |
| xzy | -184 | 3.54 |
| zyx | -416 | -1.03 |
| yzy | 8.14 | -4.06 |
| zyy | -724 | -12.4 |
| zzx | 1071 | -1797 |
| xzz | 1921 | -1840 |
| zzy | 459 | 56.6 |
| yzz | -3289 | 14.5 |
| zzz | -2833 | 7684 |
| $\beta_{x}$ | 1452 | -1887 |
| $\beta_{y}$ | -996 | 32.7 |
| $\beta_{z}$ | 842 | 7607 |
| $\beta_{0}$ | 1951 | 7838 |
| a | 0.68 | 5.70 |

Table 1 Tensor elements of $\beta_{i j k}$ for the cis- and trans-form as well as vector elements $\beta_{i}$ as defined in the main text. All results were calculated according to the outlined procedure. The value a for asymmetry of the "hyperpolarizability orthogonal" and "parallel to the surface" is shown as well. All values are given in atomic units. 1 a.u. $=8.641 \cdot 10^{-33}$ esu or $3.62 \cdot 10^{-42} \mathrm{~m}^{4} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$.

## 2 Results

In table 1, we summarize certain quantities obtained with this method, as explained in the table caption.
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