# Coordination structure and charge transfer in microsolvated

# transition metal hydroxide clusters [MOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>1-4</sub>

Brett M. Marsh, Jonathan M. Voss, Jia Zhou, Etienne Garand\*

## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

email: egarand@chem.wisc.edu

#### A) D<sub>2</sub> perturbation in the experimental spectra

A typical example of the calculation results including the  $D_2$  tag is illustrated in Figure S1, which shows the calculated IR spectra of  $[CoOH]^+(H_2O)_4 \cdot D_2$  isomers. For comparison, the calculated spectrum of the bare cluster is shown in red in the top panel. The six available binding sites for  $D_2$  are indicated as A-F. The four sites on the two free waters (A-D) are within 40 cm<sup>-1</sup> of each other, with B being the lowest in energy. The calculated spectra of isomers A/B are in good agreement with the experiment, both erring in the overestimation of the  $D_2$ -H<sub>2</sub>O interaction. For these tag isomers, the hydroxide stretch is overlapped with the free H<sub>2</sub>O-as of the AD water at the calculated frequency of 3715 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Isomers C/D yield a slightly blueshifted H<sub>2</sub>O-s for the free water, and may contribute to the non-Gaussian shape of the experimental

feature. The broader calculated 3712 cm<sup>-1</sup> band of these isomers consists of the stretch of the AD water, the H<sub>2</sub>O-as of the free water, as well as the hydroxide. Note that the distinctive 3658 cm<sup>-1</sup> vibration in isomer C is the H<sub>2</sub>O-as of the D<sub>2</sub> perturbed water, and its calculated frequency is likely to have a larger error (i.e. the s/as coupling of the D<sub>2</sub> tagged water is affected). The tag isomers E/Fhave higher energies, at 193 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 133 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Isomer F can be eliminated on the basis of the D<sub>2</sub>-perturbed H<sub>2</sub>O-s at 3641 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Considering that this frequency is typically underestimated, this vibration would likely lie  $\sim$  3670 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Such a feature is clearly not present



**Figure S1**: The experimental (black) and calculated (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP) vibrational spectra of  $[CoOH]^+(H_2O)_4 \cdot D_2$ . The top panel shows the calculated spectrum of the untagged species. The six D<sub>2</sub> locations are denoted on the structure of the bare cluster in the bottom panel.

between the experimental H<sub>2</sub>O-s and H<sub>2</sub>O-as bands. Isomer E has essentially the same appearance as the bare cluster, and its presence in the experimental spectrum cannot be eliminated. All of these tag isomers are very close energetically, and have similar appearances when taking into account the error in the D<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O interaction. Determining exactly which tag isomers are present is difficult, and it does not provide any significant new information to the analysis of the experimental spectrum. From the calculated spectrum of the bare cluster, one can already see that the 3592 cm<sup>-1</sup> feature is the D<sub>2</sub> perturbed H<sub>2</sub>O-s feature.

For an example of further complications that can arise from calculating  $D_2$  tagged clusters, Figure S2 shows the calculated results for [MnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>. Two tagging isomers are shown, with  $D_2$  located on two different water ligands. These two isomers have a calculated energy difference of only 165 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The untagged structure shows two types of water: a free water and two that are weakly interacting with hydroxide, giving rise to two distinct H<sub>2</sub>O-s vibrations. In the lower energy isomer (right structure, blue spectrum), the  $D_2$  is interacting with the free water, and the corresponding H<sub>2</sub>O-s stretch redshifts from 3616 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 3549 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the other structure (green spectrum), the  $D_2$  interaction removes one waters from interacting with

the hydroxide ligand, giving rise to three types of water (i.e. D<sub>2</sub> bound, hydroxide bound, and free). The H<sub>2</sub>O-s stretch region now splits into three features, with the lowest frequency band corresponding to HO-H<sub>2</sub>O interaction and the middle feature corresponding to the D<sub>2</sub>-perturbed H<sub>2</sub>O-s. Neither calculated spectrum fits the experiment. The higher energy isomer offers a



**Figure S2**: The experimental (black) and calculated (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP) vibrational spectra of [MnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>. The top panel shows the calculated structure and spectrum of the untagged species. The bottom panel shows two calculated structures with D<sub>2</sub> tags. The blue trace correspond to the lower energy structure; the green trace correspond to the +165 cm<sup>-1</sup> energy structure.

better fit in terms of the overall shape of the spectrum, although it appears that the strength of both the  $D_2$ -H<sub>2</sub>O and HO-H<sub>2</sub>O interactions are overestimated. Comparison with the n=4 experimental spectrum shows that the middle peak in the experimental spectrum is likely the  $D_2$ perturbed H<sub>2</sub>O-s stretch, in agreement with the higher energy isomer. For most of the other species studied here, the  $D_2$  induced features are easier to pinpoint because addition of the tag induces minimal structural changes, as is in the case for the n=4 cluster.

#### **B)** Isomers of [MnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>

Figure S3 shows various isomers of [MnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>. The two isomers responsible for

the experimental spectrum are shown in the top panel. The next two isomers have all four water in the first shell, and the highest energy isomer here has the second shell water in an AA configuration. The isomer with  $\Delta E = +764 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ <sup>1</sup> can be eliminated because it has a hydroxide stretch that is higher in frequency than the lowest energy isomer and there is not an obvious feature at higher frequency in the experimental spectrum. The  $\Delta E = +816 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta E = +838 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ isomers}$ both have intensities in the 3400-3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, where the experimental



**Figure S3**: Calculated IR spectra of various isomers of [MnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>. In black is the experimental trace. The relative energies (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP, ZPE corrected) are listed. The top panel shows the isomers that are assigned to the experimental spectrum.

spectrum shows minimal intensity. Considering all these calculated spectra, the two lowest energy isomers fit the experiment the best. Note that only the lowest energy isomer has a vibration that accounts for the broad feature below 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### C) Isomers of [FeOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>

Figure S4 shows the calculated IR spectra for the different isomers of  $[FeOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . The experimental spectrum of the n=4 cluster shows two distinct features in the hydroxide stretch region, with approximately similar intensities. This indicates the equal presence of two isomers with different hydroxide interactions. The lowest energy isomer with the second shell water in an AAD configuration (green trace) accounts for the intensities in the 3200-3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>

region as well as the 3734 cm<sup>-1</sup> hydroxide peak. The  $\Delta E = +768 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ isomer}$ with the AA motif has a hydroxide stretch that is higher frequency than the lowest energy isomer, accounting for the 3751 cm<sup>-1</sup> feature. This isomer also accounts for the additional intensities around 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. The lack of any intensity at  $\sim 2700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the experimental spectrum indicates that the  $\Delta E=$ +257 cm<sup>-1</sup> isomer, with AD motif, is not present in the experiment. An additional  $\Delta E = +706 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  isomer was found, where all four water



**Figure S4**: Calculated IR spectra of various isomers of  $[FeOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . In black is the experimental trace. The relative energies (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP, ZPE corrected) are listed. The top panel shows the isomers that are assigned to the experimental spectrum.

molecules are in the first solvation shell. Its hydroxide stretch is at a similar frequency as that of the lowest energy isomer and it only has weak intensity in the 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. Its presence as a minor contribution to the experimental spectrum cannot be ruled out. However, comparisons of the calculated IR spectra with the experimental spectrum indicates that the dominant isomers are those two with the fourth water in the AAD and AA configurations.

#### D) Isomers of [CoOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>

Figure S5 shows various isomers of  $[CoOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . The lowest energy isomer assigned to the experimental spectrum is shown in the top panel. The next two isomers,  $\Delta E$ = +555 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta E$  = +573 cm<sup>-1</sup> have the fourth water in the second solvation shell in the AA and AAD configuration. The  $\Delta E = +573 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ isomer may be present in minor quantities in the experimental spectrum, since there are weak intensities at  $\sim$ 3450 cm<sup>-1</sup>. However, it should be noted that anharmonic effects that give rise to the broad width of the 3280 cm<sup>-1</sup> feature can also give



 $[CoOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . In black is the experimental trace. The relative energies (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP, ZPE corrected) are listed. The top panel shows the isomer that is assigned to the experimental spectrum. rise to additional intensities in this region. The  $\Delta E = +555 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  isomer has a higher frequency hydroxide stretch and a vibration at 3550 cm<sup>-1</sup>, both of which not observed in the experimental spectrum. The two other isomers have all four waters in the first solvation shell. They are calculated to be much higher in energy and are not likely to contribute to the experimental spectrum.

#### E) Isomers of [NiOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>

Figure S6 shows various isomers of  $[NiOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . The lowest energy isomer assigned

to the experimental spectrum is shown in the top panel. The presence of the two isomers with the fourth water in the second solvation shell  $(\Delta E = +421 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ and } \Delta E =$  $+781 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) can be eliminated because the experimental spectrum does not have intense broad features in the 2500-3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. The  $\Delta E = +469 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  isomer is another way to arrange five ligands in an octahedral geometry. It has doublets in the 3370 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3610 cm<sup>-1</sup> region which are not experimentally observed. However, it should be noted that both of these experimental features are



**Figure S6**: Calculated IR spectra of various isomers of  $[NiOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . In black is the experimental trace. The relative energies (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP, ZPE corrected) are listed. The top panel shows the isomer that is assigned to the experimental spectrum.

slightly broad, and therefore the presence of this isomer cannot be completely ruled out.

### <u>F) Isomers of</u> [ZnOH]<sup>+</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>

Figure S7 shows various isomers of  $[ZnOH]^+(H_2O)_4$ . The lowest energy isomer assigned to the experimental spectrum is shown in the top panel. No stable isomers were found where all four ligands are in the first solvation shell. The  $\Delta E = +545 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ isomer with the fourth water in the AAD configuration may be present in minor quantities in the experimental



panel shows the isomer that is assigned to the experimental spectrum. in the experimental spectrum. Particularly, it may be responsible for the shoulder at ~3420 cm<sup>-1</sup> and ~3120 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The isomer with water in the AA configuration is found to be 1196 cm<sup>-1</sup> higher in energy, and it

has a vibration at 3558 cm<sup>-1</sup>, not observed in the experimental spectrum.



**Figure S8**: The assigned experimental spectra of  $[MOH]^+(H_2O)_2$ . Highlighted in blue is the D<sub>2</sub> stretch, in green are the H<sub>2</sub>O-s and H<sub>2</sub>O-as stretches, and in red is the hydroxide stretch. The grey bars allow for comparison of the H<sub>2</sub>O-s and H<sub>2</sub>O-as bands for different metals (highlighting the higher frequency edge of the bands).



**Figure S9**: The assigned experimental spectra of  $[MOH]^+(H_2O)_3$ . Highlighted in blue is the D<sub>2</sub> stretch, in green are the H<sub>2</sub>O-s and H<sub>2</sub>O-as stretches, in red is the hydroxide stretch, and in magenta are the H-bonded OH stretch involving the second shell water. The grey bars allow for comparison of the D<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O-s and H<sub>2</sub>O-as bands for different metals (highlighting the higher frequency edge of the bands).

**Table S1**: Calculated sequential  $H_2O$  binding energies (eV) of the untagged clusters. Thegeometry is optimized at the DFT level (cam-B3LYP/def2TZVP). The MP2 (MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ) and CCSD (CCSD/def2TZVP) calculations are single point calculations. The ZPEcorrections are derived from the DFT calculation.

|      | n=2   |       |       | n=3   |       |       | n=4   |       |       |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|      | DFT   | MP2   | CCSD  | DFT   | MP2   | CCSD  | DFT   | MP2   | CCSD  |
| MnOH | 1.246 | 1.253 | 1.259 | 1.043 | 1.047 | 1.071 | 0.854 | 0.810 | 0.781 |
| FeOH | 2.088 | 1.302 | 1.309 | 1.053 | 1.041 | 1.048 | 0.835 | 0.810 | 0.760 |
| CoOH | 1.383 | 1.145 | -     | 1.168 | 2.105 | -     | 0.835 | 0.801 | 0.767 |
| NiOH | 1.308 | 1.515 | 1.371 | 1.067 | 1.058 | 1.068 | 0.856 | 0.948 | 0.939 |
| CuOH | 1.225 | 1.606 | 1.319 | 0.920 | 1.120 | 1.006 | 0.799 | 0.773 | 0.746 |
| ZnOH | 1.346 | 1.275 | 1.347 | 1.085 | 1.027 | 1.094 | 0.931 | 0.831 | 0.818 |