# Supplementary material for:

# Photodissociation of medium-sized argon cluster cations in the visible region.

Martin Stachoň<sup>1</sup>, Aleš Vítek<sup>1</sup> and René Kalus<sup>1,2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>IT4Innovations National Supercomputing Center, VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava, 17. listopadu 15, 708 33 Ostrava, Czech Republic
<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava, 17. listopadu 15, 708 33 Ostrava, Czech Republic

#### Abstract

In this supplementary material, some additional data are provided, for reader's better idea, on the kinetics of fragmentation processes in photoexcited argon cluster cations,  $Ar_N^+$ , which amend the data presented in Subsec. III.B of the paper and provide a broader background for the discussions therein.

Last update: October 15, 2015

## Figure 1

The same as in Fig. 3 of the paper (time evolution of relative abundances of ionic intermediate and final fragments,  $Ar_K^+$ , resulting from  $Ar_9^+$  photoexcited by  $E_{\rm phot} = 2.35 \ eV$  as obtained via the MFQ-AMP/S(sepfrag) method) with points calculated via the MFQ-AMP/S (solid dots) and MFQ-TFS/C (open circles) methods added for comparison.



## Figure 2

The same as in Fig. 4 of the paper (time evolution of relative abundances of ionic intermediate and final fragments,  $\operatorname{Ar}_{K}^{+}$ , resulting from  $\operatorname{Ar}_{19}^{+}$  photoexcited by  $E_{\text{phot}} = 2.35 \text{ eV}$  as obtained via the MFQ-AMP/S(sepfrag) method) with points calculated via the MFQ-AMP/S (solid dots) and MFQ-TFS/C (open circles) methods added for comparison. Note that different time scales are used in the two panels (left panel  $K \geq 8$  and right panel  $K \leq 7$ ).



**Figure 3** Time evolution of relative abundances of ionic intermediate and final fragments,  $Ar_K^+$ , resulting from  $Ar_6^+$  (upper panel),  $Ar_{12}^+$  (middle panels), and  $Ar_{15}^+$  (bottom panels) photoexcited by  $E_{\rm phot} = 2.35 \, \text{eV}$  as obtained via the MFQ-AMP/S(sepfrag) method (lines), MFQ-AMP/S method (full dots), and MFQ-TFS/C method (open circles).



**Figure 4** Representative least-squares fits of dissociation rate constants given in Table I of the paper: upper panel  $-Ar_{19}^+$  and bottom panel  $-Ar_9^+$ ; solid symbols – abundances resulting from MFQ-AMP/S(sepfrag) dynamical calculations, solid lines – least-squares fits of Eq. 8 of the paper, dashed line (in the upper panel) – least-squares fit of Eq. 9 of the paper.

