## **Revised supporting information for**

## Enhanced photocatalytic activity of Eu doped $Bi_2S_3$ nanoflowers for degradation of organic pollutants under visible light illumination

Arpita Sarkar,<sup>a</sup> Abhisek Brata Ghosh,<sup>a</sup> Namrata Saha,<sup>a</sup> Amit Kumar Dutta,<sup>a</sup> Divesh N. Srivastava,<sup>b</sup> Parimal Paul,<sup>\*b</sup> and Bibhutosh Adhikary<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711 103, West Bengal, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Analytical Science, Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Gijubhai, Badheka Marg, Bhavnagar 364002, Gujarat, India

## **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: <u>bibhutoshadhikary@yahoo.in;</u> Tel.: +91-033-2668-4561-64 ext: 512; Fax: +91-033-2668-2916.



(a)

Fig. S1 IR spectra of (a)  $[Eu(ACDA)_3 \cdot H_2O]$  complex and (b) HACDA ligand

(b)



**Fig. S2** UV-Vis spectra of  $[Eu(ACDA)_3 \cdot H_2O]$  complex



Element	Weight%	Atomic%
S K	17.95	58.48
Eu L	2.69	1.85
Bi M	79.36	39.67
Totals	100.00	

Fig. S3 EDX result of Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  (Eu=1.85%)



Fig. S4 EDX result of Eu doped  $\rm Bi_2S_3$  (Eu=2.32 %)



Element	Weight%	Atomic%
S K	17.80	57.85
Eu L	6.22	4.26
Bi M	75.98	37.89
Totals	100.00	

Fig. S5 EDX result of Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  (Eu=4.26%)



Fig. S6 SEM images of (A) 2.32%  $Eu^{+3}$  doped and (B) 4.26%  $Eu^{+3}$  doped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S7 TEM images of 1.85% Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S8 TEM images of 2.32 % Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S9 TEM images of undoped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S10 UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs. Inset: UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of undoped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S11 Photoluminescence spectrum of undoped  $Bi_2S_3$  and Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  NPs.



Fig. S12 Time dependent UV-Vis spectral change of MB solution ( $2 \times 10^{-5}$ M) catalyzed by 10 mg Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=1.85%).



Fig. S13 Time dependent UV-Vis spectral change of MB solution ( $2 \times 10^{-5}$ M) catalyzed by 10 mg Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=2.32 %).



Fig. S14 Time dependent UV-Vis spectral change of Rhodamine B solution  $(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{M})$  catalyzed by 10 mg Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=4.26 %).



Fig. S15 Time dependent UV-Vis spectral change of Rose bengal solution ( $2 \times 10^{-5}$ M) catalyzed by 10 mg Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=4.26 %).



**Fig.S16** Relative Concentration  $(C_t/C_o)$  vs irradiation time (*t*) plot of (i) Rose bengal and (ii) Rhodamine B catalyzed by 10 mg Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=4.26%) under light irradiation. Inset: corresponding kinetic plot.



Fig. S17 The time-dependent UV-Vis absorption spectral changes of aqueous 4-Chloro phenol solution ( $2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) catalyzed by Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Eu=4.26%).



Fig. S18 The time-dependent UV-Vis absorption spectral changes of aqueous Phenol solution  $(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  catalyzed by Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Eu=4.26%).



Fig. S19 The time-dependent UV-Vis absorption spectral changes of aqueous p-cresol solution ( $2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) catalyzed by Eu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Eu=4.26%).



Fig. S20 Mass spectra of aqueous solution of phenol after degradation



Fig. S21 Mass spectra of aqueous solution of 4-Chloro phenol after degradation



Fig. S22 Mass spectra of aqueous solution of p-cresol after degradation



Fig. S23 Mass spectra of aqueous solution of 4-tert-butyl phenol after degradation



Fig. S24 Mass spectra of aqueous solution of 2,5-dimethyl phenol after degradation



**Fig. S25** Mass spectra of aqueous solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol phenol after degradation.

Photocatalyst	Rate constant (k) (min <sup>-1</sup> )(MB)
Pure Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> NPs	$3.93 \times 10^{-3}$
WO <sub>3</sub>	$4.12 \times 10^{-3}$
Eu doped Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=1.85%)	1.17× 10 <sup>-1</sup>
Eu doped Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=2.32%)	$1.50 \times 10^{-1}$
Eu doped Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=4.26%)	$2.62 \times 10^{-1}$

Table S1. Comparison of the Rate Constants of three different ratios of Eu doped  $Bi_2S_{3}$ , pure  $Bi_2S_3$  and  $WO_3$ .

**Table S2.** Comparison of the Rate Constants of Rose bengal and Rhodamine B dyescatalysed by 10 mg of Eu doped  $Bi_2S_3$  (Eu=4.26%).

Photocatalyst	Rate constant ( $k$ ) (min <sup>-1</sup> )
Eu doped Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> NPs (Eu=4.26%)	$5.64 \times 10^{-2}$ (Rhodamine B)
	$2.97 \times 10^{-1}$ (Rose bengal)

 Table S3. Comparison of kinetic parameters in terms of rate constant.

Photocatalyst	Rate constant $(k)$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	
Eu doped Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> (Eu=4.26%)	9.65 ×10 <sup>-2</sup> (4-Chrolo Phenol)	
Eu doped $Bi_2S_3$ (Eu=4.26%)	$7.13 \times 10^{-2}$ (Phenol)	
Eu doped $Bi_2S_3$ (Eu=4.26%)	6.43 ×10 <sup>-2</sup> (p-Cresol)	
Eu doped $Bi_2S_3$ (Eu=4.26%)	$2.17 \times 10^{-2}$ (4-tert-butyl phenol )	

**Table S4.** Comparison of the substitution effects of various phenolic compounds using Eudoped  $Bi_2S_3$  (Eu=4.26%) catalyst.

Types of phenol	End product	Dimmerization occur
		through
Phenol	Formic Acid	para position
4-Choro Phenol	Acetic Acid	ortho position
p-cresol	Acetic Acid	ortho position
4-tert-butyl phenol	Acetic Acid	ortho position
2,5-dimethyl phenol	Acetic Acid	para position
2,6-di-tert-butyl -p-cresol	Acetic Acid	para position