## Electronic Supplementary Information for

## Efficient hydrogenation of levulinic acid in water using supported Ni-Sn alloy on aluminium hydroxide catalysts

## Rodiansono,<sup>*a*</sup> \* Maria Dewi Astuti<sup>*a*</sup>, Takayoshi Hara,<sup>*b*</sup> Nobuyuki Ichikuni<sup>*b*</sup> and Shogo Shimazu<sup>\**b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km 36 Banjarbaru, Indonesia 70714. Telp./fax: +62-511-477 3112; E-mail address: rodiansono@unlam.ac.id (Rodiansono).
<sup>b</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage, Chiba 263-8522, Japan, Tel./fax: +81 43 290 3379. E-mail address: shimazu@faculty.chiba-u.jp (S. Shimazu).

Ni-Sn alloy catalysts supported on aluminium hydroxide (denoted as Ni-Sn(x)/AlOH, x = Ni/Sn ratio) were prepared via the hydrothermal treatment of the mixture of R-Ni/AlOH) and SnCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O solution with different Ni/Sn ratios. The physicochemical properties of Ni-Sn/AlOH are summarised in Table S1. Based on the ICP-AES analyses, the loading amount of Sn was 0.45, 0.75, 1.04, 2.14, and 3.96 mmolg<sup>-1</sup> which were reflected in the Ni/Sn ratio of 7.9, 3.7, 3.0, 1.4, and 1.0, respectively. The Ni/Al ratio confirmed the presence of aluminium hydroxide that resulted from the alkali leaching of the Raney Ni-Al alloy precursor in the form of gibbsite and bayerite as indicated by the XRD patterns.<sup>S1</sup>

Table S1 Chemical compositions,  $H_2$ -, CO-, and  $N_2$ -adsorption data ( $S_{BET}$ ), and mean nickel particle size for R-Ni/AlOH and Ni-Sn/AlOH

Entry	Catalyst	Sn <sup>a</sup> (mmcl <sup>-1</sup> )	Ni∕∆ª (mrlat tatio)	H <sub>2</sub> uppake <sup>b</sup> / µmrlg <sup>-1</sup>	CC uppake <sup>c</sup> / µmr lg <sup>-1</sup>	S <sub>EFT</sub> d/ m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	D°/mn
1	R-Ni/AlOH	0	0.91	104	81	151	9.0
2	Ni-Sn(7.9)/AIOH	0.44	1.04	94	87	77	6.2
3	Ni-Sn(3.7)/AIOH	0.74	1.16	104	81	82	5.6
4	Ni-Sn(3.0)/AIOH	1.04	1.05	116	91	90	5.3
5	Ni-Sn(3.0)/AIOH <sup>f</sup>	$1.0^{\circ}$	1.05	76	nd <sup>g</sup>	$\tau$	nd <sup>g</sup>
6	Ni-Sn(1.4)/AIOH	2.14	0.86	107	Q2	76	4.1
7	$Ni-Sn(1.4)/AIOH^{f}$	2.15	0.86	$\mathfrak{X}$	nd <sup>g</sup>	27	nd <sup>g</sup>
8	Ni-Sn(1.0)/AIOH	3.9¥	0.65	95	91	72	3.8

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined by ICP-AES. <sup>*b*</sup> Based upon total H<sub>2</sub> uptake at 27<sup>2</sup> K (neted after connected for physical and chemical adsorption). <sup>*c*</sup> Based upon total CC uptake at 27<sup>2</sup> K (neted after connected for physical and chemical adsorption). <sup>*d*</sup> Determined by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption at 77 K. <sup>*e*</sup> Average Ni particle sizes, calculated according to the method described in the literature. <sup>St</sup> After H<sub>2</sub> treatment at 77<sup>2</sup> K for 1 h. <sup>*g*</sup> Not determined.

The  $H_2$  and CO uptakes, BET surface area (S<sub>BET</sub>), and the average Ni particle sizes of R-Ni/AlOH and Ni-Sn/AlOH catalysts are summarised in Table S1. With increasing the loading amount of Sn,  $H_2$  and CO uptakes and BET surface area varied whereas the average Ni particle sizes decreased (Table 1, entries 1-6).

Thermo gravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) profiles for Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH fresh are shown in Fig. S1. TG curve shows that small amount of H<sub>2</sub>O and residue organic compound, i.e. ethanol was evaporated at 365-470 K. A significant loss of weight was observed at 521-551 K (approximately 14.6%) which corresponds to the transformation of gibbsite and bayerite into  $\Box$ -alumina.<sup>S2-S4</sup> Furthermore, DTA curve shows a good agreement to the TG curve that an endotherm peak at 540 K which corresponds to the dehydration of bayerite or gibbsite to  $\Box$ -alumina.<sup>S3</sup> In addition, neither weight loss nor an exothermal peak of the transformation of SnCl<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O into SnO<sub>2</sub> was observed at 600 K.<sup>S5</sup> These results suggest that SnCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O precursor may reacted completely with metallic Ni to form Ni-Sn alloy.



Fig. S1 Thermogram profiles of the *as prepared* Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH catalyst.



Fig. S2 SEM images of Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH catalysts. (a) fresh and H<sub>2</sub>-treated at (b) 673 K and (c) 773 K for 1 h.



Fig. S3 Raman spectra of (a) the as-prepared Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH and (b) H<sub>2</sub>-treated at 673 K for 1.5 h.



Fig. S4 TEM images and SAED for Ni-Sn(1.4)AlOH catalyst. (a) *as-prepared*, (b) after H<sub>2</sub> treatment at 673 K, and (c) recovered catalyst after the third reaction run.



Fig. S5 Temperature programmed reduction of (a) the *as-prepared* and  $H_2$ -treated Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH catalyst at (b) 673 K and (c) 773 K.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of the recovered (a) Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH and (b) Ni-Sn(1.0)/AlOH catalysts. ( $\Box$ ) bayerite; ( $\Box$ ) gibbsite; ( $\Box$ )  $\Box$ -Sn; ( $\Box$ ) Ni(0).

Table S2 Results of LA transformation over various Ni-M/AlOH (M= In, Ag, Zr, V, and Nb) catalysts

Entry	Catalysts	Conversiona/0/	Yield <sup>b</sup> /%		
Linu y		Conversion <sup>47</sup> %	LE	GVL	HVA
1	Ni-In/AlOH	57	0	57	0
2	Ni-Ag/AlOH	56	0	56	0
3	Ni-Zr/AlOH	53	0	53	0
4	Ni-V/AlOH	13	0	13	0
5	Ni-Nb/AlOH	32	0	32	0

*Reaction conditions:* catalyst (0.05 g), LA (2 mmol), solvent (3 mL), H<sub>2</sub> (4.0 MPa), 393 K, 120 min. <sup>*a*</sup> Conversion of LA. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by GC using an internal standard technique; LE = levulinic ester;  $GVL = \Box$ -valerolactone; HVA = hydroxyvaleric acid.



Fig. S7 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of synthesised  $\square$ -valerolactone from hydrogenation of levulinic acid in water over Ni-Sn(*1.4*)/AlOH catalysts.



Fig. S8 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of synthesised I-valerolactone from hydrogenation of levulinic acid in water over Ni-Sn(*1.4*)/AlOH catalysts.



Fig. S9 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of synthesised  $\square$ -valerolactone from hydrogenation of levulinic acid in D2O over Ni-Sn(1.4)/AlOH catalysts.<sup>87</sup>



Fig. S10 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of synthesised D-valerolactone from hydrogenation of levulinic acid in D2O over Ni-Sn(*1.4*)/AlOH catalysts.<sup>S7</sup>

## References

- S1 Rodiansono, T. Hara, N. Ichikuni, and S. Shimazu, Chem Lett., 2012, 41, 769-771.
- S2 T. Tsuchida, T. Ishii, R. Furuichi, and H. Haga, Thermochim. Acta 1979, 34,19-28.
- S3 T. Tsuchida and N. Ichikawa, React. Solids, 1989, 7, 207-217.
- S4 (a) C. H. Bartholomew, R. B. Pannel, and J. L. Butler, *J. Catal.* 1980, 65, 335-347. (b) C. H. Bartholomew and R. B. Pannel, *J. Catal.* 1980, 65, 390-401.
- S5 K. J. D. MacKenzie, J. Temuujin, and K Okada, *Thermochim. Acta* 1999, 327, 103-108.
- S6 Q. F. Dong, C. Z. Wu, M. G. Jin, Z. C. Huang, M. S. Zheng, J. K. You, and Z. G. Lin, Solid State Ionics 2004, 167, 49-54.
- S7 J. Tan, J. Cui, T.Deng, X. Cui, G. Ding, Y. Zhu, and Y. Li, *ChemCatChem*, 2015,7, 508-512.