Supporting Information

For

Exceptionally rapid CO release from a Manganese(I) tricarbonyl complex derived from bis(4-chloro-phenylimino)acenaphthene upon exposure to visible light

Samantha J. Carrington, Indranil Chakraborty and Pradip K. Mascharak*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA



Figure S1. Packing diagram of 1 showing growth along the y axis. The intermolecular Cl–Cl interactions (3.565 Å) are shown in addition to π -stacking (centroid to centroid distance 3.707 Å).



Figure S2. Packing diagram of 1 showing growth along the x axis. The π -stacking is highlighted.



Figure S3. Packing diagram of **2** showing growth along the x axis. The Cl–O interactions (3.213 Å) are shown in addition to π -stacking (centroid to centroid distance 3.681 Å).



Figure S4. Packing diagram of **2** showing growth along the y axis. The Cl–O interactions are shown in addition to π -stacking.



Figure S5. Spectral traces of 2 in CH₂Cl₂ 298 K with low power visible light (15 mW/cm²)

Table S1. Selected Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) of **1** and **2** along with Optimized DFT Bond Distances and Bond Angles for Comparison.

	1		2	
	X-ray	DFT	X-ray	DFT
Mn(1)-C(1)	1.963(15)	1.773	1.819(11)	1.782
Mn(1)-C(2)	1.808(8)	1.806	1.776(13)	1.810
Mn(1)-C(3)	1.810(8)	1.806	1.797(11)	1.786
Mn(1)-N(1)	2.063(6)	2.043	2.057(7)	2.029
Mn(1)-N(2)	2.056(6)	2.033	_	_
Mn(1)-O(4)	_	_	2.096(8)	2.092
Mn(1)-Br(1)	2.4900(14)	2.527	2.509(4)	2.509
C(2)-Mn(1)-C(3)	90.0(4)	90.7	88 2(5)	89.8
C(2)-Mn(1)- $C(1)$	89 3(6)	92.7	89.0(5)	91.9
C(3)-Mn(1)-C(1)	90.0(5)	92.7	90.4(4)	91.2
C(2)-Mn(1)-N(2)	95.8(3)	94 9	-	_
C(3)-Mn(1)-N(2)	173 1(3)	172.3	_	_
C(1)-Mn(1)-N(2)	94.0(5)	92.3	_	_
C(2)-Mn(1)-N(1)	174.9(3)	171.7	171.8(4)	169.5
C(3)-Mn(1)-N(1)	95.2(3)	94.6	98.5(4)	97.7
C(1)-Mn(1)-N(1)	91.0(5)	93.4	95.5(4)	95.2
N(2)-Mn(1)-N(1)	79.1(2)	79.2	_	_
C(2)-Mn(1)-O(4)	_	_	94.4(5)	92.3
C(3)-Mn(1)-O(4)	-	_	176.0(4)	174.9
C(1)-Mn(1)-O(4)	-	_	92.7(4)	93.4
N(1)-Mn(1)-O(4)	_	_	78.7(3)	79.7
C(2)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	90.7(2)	87.3	88.3(4)	86.0
C(3)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	89.3(3)	87.0	90.9(3)	88.4
C(1)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	179.3(5)	179.7	177.1(3)	177.9
N(2)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	86.74(16)	88.0	_ ``	_
N(1)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	89.11(15)	86.6	87.0(2)	86.9
O(4)-Mn(1)-Br(1)	_	-	86.2(2)	87.1

	1	
Energy (nm)	f	Transition
585	0.0217148	π (Mn-CO), p–(Br ⁻), π (phenyl) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-phenyl-acenaphthene)[HOMO \rightarrow LUMO]
401	0.1247711	π (Mn-CO), p(Br ⁻), π (phenyl) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-phenyl-acenaphthene)[HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO]
393	0.0338000	π (phenyl) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-phenyl-acenaphthene)[HOMO-5 \rightarrow LUMO]
380	0.0531048	π (phenyl), $π$ (Mn-CO)→ $π$ *(imine-phenyl-acenaphthene)[HOMO-6 →LUMO]
336	0.2156214	$\pi \text{ (Mn-CO)}, \pi \text{ (acenaphthene)}, \pi \text{ (phenyl)} \rightarrow \pi^* \text{ (acenaphthene)}, \pi^* \text{ (imine)} \pi^* \text{ (phenyl)} \text{ [HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text{LUMO+1]}$
331	0.0476880	$π$ (Mn-CO), p (Br [−]), $π$ (phenyl)→ $π^*$ (imine-phenyl-acenaphthene)[HOMO-8 →LUMO]
	2	
Energy (nm)	f	Transition
660	0.0302665	π (Mn-CO), p–(Br ⁻) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-acenaphthene), π^* (phenyl)[HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO]
437	0.1223185	π (Mn-CO), π (acenaphthene), p–(Br–) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-acenaphthene), π^* (phenyl)[HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO]
411	0.0700559	π (phenyl), π (Mn-CO) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-acenaphthene), π^* (phenyl)[HOMO-5 \rightarrow LUMO]
378	0.0249890	π (phenyl), π (Mn-CO) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (imine-acenaphthene), π^* (phenyl) [HOMO-6 \rightarrow LUMO]
335	0.0365679	π (Mn-CO), π (acenaphthene), p–(Br) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (acenaphthene), π^* (imine) π^* (phenyl) [HOMO-4 \rightarrow LUMO+1]

Table S2. Calculated (TD-DFT) energies (E, nm), oscillation strengths (f), and nature of transitions^a for complexes 1 and 2.

^aOrbitals with greater contributions listed first