Ionic liquids directed syntheses of water-stable Eu- and Tb-organic-frameworks for aqueous-phase detection of nitroaromatic explosives

Jian-Hua Qin,^{*a,b*} Bing Ma,^{*a*} Xiao-Fei Liu,^{*a*} Hong-Lin Lu,^{*a*} Xi-Yan Dong,^{*a*} Shuang-Quan Zang^{**a*} and Hongwei Hou^{**a*}

^a College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China.

^b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, China.

Author for correspondence:

Prof. S.-Q. Zang, E-mail: zangsqzg@zzu.edu.cn;

Prof. H.-W. Hou, E-mail: houhongw@zzu.edu.cn.

Supporting Information

- Fig. S1 Supporting structure figure.
- Fig. S2 Thermogravimetric curves of 1 and 2.
- Fig. S3-S4 Excited state calculation.
- Fig. S5 Solid-state excitation and emission spectra for H₃BTB.
- Fig. S6-S7 The fluorescence decay curves for 1 and 2.
- Fig. S8-S20 Details of detecting of nitroaromatic explosives in the aqueous phase.
- Fig. S21-S22 The band like diffuse reflectance spectra of solid samples of 1 and 2.
- Fig. S23 The absorption spectrum of the selected analytes in water.
- Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement details for 1 and 2.
- Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ([°]) for 1 and 2.
- Table S3. Saturated vapor pressure for each of the analytes at room temperature (25 $^{\circ}$ C).
- Table S4. Approximate sizes of selected analytes.

Table S5. HOMO and LUMO energies calculated for H₃BTB and nitroaromatic explosives at

B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory.



Fig. S1 Schematic representation of the 6-connected $(4^4.6^7.8^4)(4^8.6^7)$ topology (orange nodes for BTB ligands and cyan nodes for Eu(III) centers).



Fig. S2 Thermogravimetric curves of 1 and 2.

Excited state calculation

The molecular geometry of free ligand H_3BTB was optimized using density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31G* level, as shown in Fig. S3. Based on the optimized geometry, the energy of the lowest triplet excited state of H_3BTB was calculated to be 2.9044 eV (23426 cm⁻¹) using the time-dependent DFT approach. All the calculations were performed in Gaussian03 software. Using the same approach, the molecular geometry of ligand BTB coordinating with Ln^{3+} ions was also analyzed (Fig. S4), and the energy of the lowest triplet excited state of BTB was calculated to be 2.9551 eV (23835 cm⁻¹).



Fig. S3 The optimized geometry of free ligand H₃BTB at the B3LYP/6-31G* level.



Fig. S4 The optimized geometry of ligand BTB in MOFs at the B3LYP/6-31G* level.

Fluorescent properties

The excitation spectra of **1** and **2** are monitored around the more intense Ln^{3+} emission lines, 610 nm for Eu(III) and 541 nm for Tb(III). It can be seen clearly that the excitation spectrum of **1** consists of a broadband and a weak line. The broadband below 350 nm is due to the charge-transfer between the O²⁻ and Eu(III) ions and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electron transition of the organic bridging ligands. The excitation spectrum of **2** displays a large broadband with a structured profile in the UV spectral region which is ascribed to the electronic transitions of organic ligands. The absolute high intensity of the broadband compared to that of the intra4fⁿ lines indicates that the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electron transition of the organic bridging ligands is a dominant path to sensitizing Eu(III)/Tb(III) excited states.

Complexes **1** and **2** emit red and green fluorescence, respectively. As is well known, the ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ transition of Eu(III) is hypersensitive to site symmetry and of the electric-dipole (ED) nature, while the ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$ transition is insensitive to site symmetry and the magnetic-dipole (MD) nature according to Judd-Ofelt theory. Besides, the ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{0}$ transition of Eu(III) is invisible in the emission spectrum, which is only allowed for Cs, Cn, and Cnv site symmetries according to the ED selection rule. These experimental facts thus suggest that the Eu(III) ion in **1** is located at a high-symmetry site, which is in good agreement with our single-crystal X-ray analysis. For **2**, the dominant band of these emissions is attributed to the hypersensitive transition ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$ of Tb(III) ions, while the stronger luminescent band corresponds to ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{6}$ transition, and the two less intense bands are ascribed to ${}^{5}D_{4}$ $\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$ and ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{3}$ transitions, respectively. The ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ (J = 2-0) transitions are measured with weak intensity. It is worth noting that there is no apparent residual ligand-based emission in the 400–450 nm region, indicating the energy transfer from the ligand to the lanthanide center is very effective and can sensitize the lanthanide emission to a large extent.

The emission quantum yields were measured at room temperature under the excitation wavelengths that maximize the emissions of lanthanide cations. As a result, the quantum yields are 19.2% for **1** and 0.6% for **2**. The fluorescence decay curves of **1** and **2** were also obtained at room temperature (Fig. S6 and S7). The corresponding lifetime for **1** is about $\tau 1 = 0.53$ (100%) ms, and that for **2** is about $\tau 1 = 0.22$ (86.86%) ms and $\tau 2 = 0.98$ (13.14%) ms (determined by monitoring ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ line for **1** and ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$ line for **2** excited at 350nm). Complexes **1** and **2** both have a relatively long quantum yield and a fluorescence lifetime. Because the excitations now fall in the range of those commercially available, they could be good candidates for light-emitting diodes (LEDs) and light applications.



Fig. S5 Solid-state emission spectra for H₃BTB at room temperature.



Fig. S6 The fluorescence decay curve for 1.



Fig. S7 The fluorescence decay curve for 2.



Fig. S8 The emission spectra (a) and the fluorescent intensity (b) for **1** dispersed in H₂O, MeCN, DMF, BZ, TO, BrBZ, PX, and 1 mM or saturated aqueous solutions of eight different analytes at room temperature.



Fig. S9 (a) Fluorescence titration of 1 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of NB.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of F₀/F vs. NB concentration in aqueous solution for 1.



Fig. S10 (a) Fluorescence titration of 1 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of 2-NT.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of *F*₀/*F vs.* 2-NT concentration in aqueous solution for 1.



Fig. S11 (a) Fluorescence titration of 1 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of TNP.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of F₀/F vs. TNP concentration in aqueous solution for 1.



Fig. S12 Fluorescence titration of 1 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of saturated aqueous solutions of 1,3-DNB (a), 1,4-DNB (b), 2,4-DNT (c), 2,6-DNT (d), and TNT(e)



Fig. S13 The emission spectra for 2 dispersed in H_2O and 1 mM or saturated aqueous solutions of the selected analytes at room temperature.



Fig. S14 Quenching efficiency of the fluorescent intensity for **2** dispersed in 1 mM or saturated aqueous solutions of the selected analytes at room temperature. (excited and monitored at 300 nm and 541 nm, respectively).



Fig. S15 The emission spectra (a) and the fluorescent intensity (b) for **2** dispersed in H₂O, MeCN, DMF, BZ, TO, BrBZ, PX, and 1 mM or saturated aqueous solutions of eight different analytes at room temperature.



Fig. S16 (a) Fluorescence titration of 2 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of NB.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of F₀/F vs. NB concentration in aqueous solution for 2.



Fig. S17 (a) Fluorescence titration of 2 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of 2-NT.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of F₀/F vs. 2-NT concentration in aqueous solution for 2.



Fig. S18 (a) Fluorescence titration of 2 dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of TNP.
(b) Stern-Volmer plot of F₀/F vs. TNP concentration in aqueous solution for 2.



Fig. S19 Fluorescence titration of **2** dispersed in aqueous solution by gradual addition of saturated aqueous solutions of 1,3-DNB (a), 1,4-DNB (b), 2,4-DNT (c), 2,6-DNT (d), and TNT(e)



Fig. S20 Quenching efficiency of 2 dispersed in aqueous solutions at different concentrations of

NB, 2-NT, and TNP.



Fig. S21 The band like diffuse reflectance spectrum of a solid sample of 1.

The optical band gap is estimated to be ~ 2.7 eV.



Fig. S22 The band like diffuse reflectance spectrum of a solid sample of 2.The optical band gap is estimated to be ~3.2 eV.



Fig. S23 The absorption spectrum of the selected analytes in water.

Complex	1	2
Formula	C ₂₇ H ₁₇ EuO ₇	$C_{27}H_{17}TbO_7$
Formula weight	605.37	612.33
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
Space group	Fddd	Fddd
a (Å)	22.543(5)	22.482(5)
b (Å)	29.134(6)	28.996(6)
c (Å)	29.168(6)	29.067(6)
$V(Å^3)$	19157(7)	18949(7)
Z	32	32
$D_c (g/cm^3)$	1.679	1.717
Abs coeff/mm ⁻¹	2.664	3.031
F(000)	9536	9600
θ range for data collection (°)	2.68/25.50	2.69/25.50
Data/restraints/parameters	4365/53/316	4326/84/316
GOF	1.253	1.085
p[I > 2-(D)]	$R_1 = 0.0727$	$R_1 = 0.0789$
$R\left[I \ge 2 \operatorname{G}(I)\right]$	$wR_2 = 0.1546$	$wR_2 = 0.2922$
R (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0755$	$R_1 = 0.0860$
	$wR_2 = 0.1564$	$wR_2 = 0.3034$

 Table S1. Crystallographic data and experimental details for 1 and 2.

 $\mathbf{R} = \left[\sum \left| \left| \left| \mathbf{F}_{0} \right| \right| + \left| \mathbf{F}_{d} \right| \right| / \sum \left| \left| \mathbf{F}_{0} \right| \right], \ \mathbf{R}_{W} = \sum_{W} \left[\left| \left| \mathbf{F}_{0}^{2} \right| - \mathbf{F}c^{2} \right| \right|^{2} / \sum_{W} \left(\left| \left| \mathbf{F}_{W} \right| \right|^{2} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}$

	Com	plex 1		
Eu(1)-O(4)#1	2.300(7)	Eu(1)-O(2)#5	2.391(6)	-
Eu(1)-O(5)#2	2.331(8)	Eu(1)-O(1)	2.397(6)	
Eu(1)-O(6)#3	2.333(7)	Eu(1)-O(7)	2.505(6)	
Eu(1)-O(3)#4	2.338(7)	Eu(1)-O(2)	2.809(8)	
O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(5)#2	120.5(3)	O(2)#5-Eu(1)-O(1)	125.6(3)	
O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(6)#3	87.0(3)	O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(7)	76.4(3)	
O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(6)#3	132.7(3)	O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(7)	143.7(4)	
O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(3)#4	82.4(3)	O(6)#3-Eu(1)-O(7)	75.5(4)	
O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(3)#4	76.6(3)	O(3)#4-Eu(1)-O(7)	74.2(4)	
O(6)#3-Eu(1)-O(3)#4	149.4(3)	O(2)#5-Eu(1)-O(7)	144.2(4)	
O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(2)#5	79.0(3)	O(1)-Eu(1)-O(7)	75.8(3)	
O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(2)#5	71.9(3)	O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(2)	147.0(2)	
O(6)#3-Eu(1)-O(2)#5	77.6(3)	O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(2)	72.0(3)	
O(3)#4-Eu(1)-O(2)#5	127.6(3)	O(6)#3-Eu(1)-O(2)	66.3(3)	
O(4)#1-Eu(1)-O(1)	152.3(3)	O(3)#4-Eu(1)-O(2)	130.3(2)	
O(5)#2-Eu(1)-O(1)	82.9(3)	O(2)#5-Eu(1)-O(2)	76.8(2)	
O(6)#3-Eu(1)-O(1)	86.5(3)	O(1)-Eu(1)-O(2)	49.4(2)	
O(3)#4-Eu(1)-O(1)	89.7(3)	O(7)-Eu(1)-O(2)	112.4(3)	
	Com	plex 2		
Tb(1)-O(4)#1	2.267(7)	Tb(1)-O(1)	2.346(7)	
Tb(1)-O(6)#2	2.292(8)	Tb(1)-O(2)#5	2.355(6)	
Tb(1)-O(5)#3	2.309(7)	Tb(1)-O(7)	2.455(7)	
Tb(1)-O(3)#4	2.320(8)	Tb(1)-O(2)	2.822(7)	
O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(6)#2	86.0(3)	O(1)-Tb(1)-O(2)#5	125.1(3)	
O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(5)#3	119.3(3)	O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(7)	76.4(3)	
O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(5)#3	133.5(3)	O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(7)	74.8(3)	
O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(3)#4	83.3(3)	O(5)#3-Tb(1)-O(7)	144.8(3)	
O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(3)#4	149.4(3)	O(3)#4-Tb(1)-O(7)	74.8(3)	
O(5)#3-Tb(1)-O(3)#4	76.2(3)	O(1)-Tb(1)-O(7)	77.6(3)	

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ($^\circ~$) for 1 and 2

O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(1)	154.1(3)	O(2)#5-Tb(1)-O(7)	143.6(3)
O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(1)	87.9(3)	O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(2)	146.3(2)
O(5)#3-Tb(1)-O(1)	82.5(3)	O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(2)	67.2(3)
O(3)#4-Tb(1)-O(1)	89.3(3)	O(5)#3-Tb(1)-O(2)	72.2(2)
O(4)#1-Tb(1)-O(2)#5	78.1(3)	O(3)#4-Tb(1)-O(2)	130.1(2)
O(6)#2-Tb(1)-O(2)#5	77.9(3)	O(1)-Tb(1)-O(2)	49.4(2)
O(5)#3-Tb(1)-O(2)#5	71.2(3)	O(2)#5-Tb(1)-O(2)	76.5(2)
O(3)#4-Tb(1)-O(2)#5	127.2(3)	O(7)-Tb(1)-O(2)	113.4(2)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms for complex **1**: #1 - x+7/4, y+1/2, -z+5/4; #2 -x+9/4, -y+7/4, z-1/2; #3 x-1/4, y+1/4, -z+3/2; #4 x+1/2, -y+7/4, -z+5/4; #5 -x+2, -y+2, -z+1. For complex **2**: #1 -x+7/4, y+1/2, -z+5/4; #2 x-1/4, y+1/4, -z+3/2; #3 x+1/2, -y+7/4, -z+5/4; #4 -x+9/4, -y+7/4, z-1/2; #5 -x+2, -y+2, -z+1.

Analytes	Vapor Pressure (in mmHg)	Reduction Potential (in V vs S CE)
Nitrobenzene (NB) ¹	0.2416	-1.15
2-Nitrotoluene (2-NT) ¹	0.1602	-1.2
1,3-Dinitrobenzene(1,3-DNB) ²	8.82 x 10 ⁻⁴	-0.9
1,4-Dinitrobenzene (1,4-DNB) ¹	2.406 x 10 ⁻⁵	-0.7
2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT) ¹	1.44×10^{-4}	-1.0
2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT) ^{1,3}	5.61×10^{-4}	-1.0
2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) ^{1,4}	$8.02 imes 10^{-6}$	-0.7
2,4,6-trinitrophenol (TNP) ⁴	$5.8 imes10^{-9}$	-0.63

Table S3. Saturated vapor pressure for each of the analytes at room temperature (25 °C).

1 J. S. Yang and T. M. Swager, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 11864.

2 R. Hoffmann, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 39, 1397.

³ A. J. Lan, K. H. Li, H. H. Wu, L. Z. Kong, N. Nijem, D. H. Olson, T. J. Emge, Y. J. Chabal, D. C. Langreth, M. C. Hong and J. Li, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 7165.

⁴ J. C. Sanchez and W. C. Trogler, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18, 3143.

Analytes	Approximate Size (D×W×L, Å)	
NB	3.4 × 6.2 × 8.6	
2-NT	$5.0 \times 7.7 \times 8.6$	
1,3-DNB	5.6 ×7.7 ×8.1	
1,4-DNB	5.6 ×7.7 ×9.1	
2,4-DNT	5.6 ×7.7 ×10.1	
2,6-DNT	5.6 ×7.7 ×9.5	
TNT	5.6 ×7.7 ×10.2	
TNP	5.0 × 6.2 × 7.1	

Table S4. Approximate sizes of the selected analytes.

Table S5. HOMO and LUMO energies calculated for H_3BTB and nitroaromatic explosives at

 $B3LYP/6-31G^{**}$ level of theory.

Analytes	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	Band gap
H ₃ BTB	-6.73074	-2.00385	4.72689
NB^{6}	-7.5912	-2.4283	5.1629
2-NT ⁵	-7.36454	-2.31722	5.04732
1,3-DNB ⁶	-7.9855	-3.4311	4.5544
1,4-DNB ⁵	-8.35250	-3.49679	4.85571
2,4-DNT ⁶	-7.7645	-3.2174	4.5471
2,6-DNT ⁶	-7.6448	-3.2877	4.3571
TNT^{6}	-8.2374	-3.8978	4.3396
TNP ⁶	-8.4592	-3.4926	4.9666

5 G. Y. Wang, C. Song, D. M. Kong, W. J. Ruan, Z. Chang and Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A., 2014, 2, 2213.

6 S. S. Nagarkar, B. Joarder, A. K. Chaudhari, S. Mukherjee and S. K. Ghosh, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2881.