Electronic Supporting Information

# Preferential orientations of structure directing agents in zeolites

Eddy Dib, Antoine Gimenez, Tzonka Mineva and Bruno Alonso\*

Institut Charles Gerhardt Montpellier -UMR 5253 CNRS/UM/ENSCM, 8 rue de l'Ecole Normale, 34296 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.

### **ESI content:**

- 1. Synthesis and characterisation of the asymmetric SDA
- 2. Synthesis of zeolites
- 3. TGA and elemental analyses
- 4. X-Ray diffraction
- 5. Scanning Electron Microscopy
- 6. Supplementary solid-state NMR conditions and results
- 7. Extension to 2-hydroxyethyl-tripropylammonium bromide (EtOHTPABr)

### 1. Synthesis and characterisation of the asymmetric SDA

The alkyltripropylammonium halides  $RN(C_3H_7)_3^+Br^-$  (R = Et, Bu, EtOH) were prepared by reacting the corresponding alkyl halide with tripropylamine. As an example, ethyltripropylammonium bromide was prepared by refluxing 0.1 mole of tripropylamine and 0.1 mole of ethylbromide in acetonitrile for 24 hours. Then, the solution was cooled and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation at reduced pressure. The recovered solids were recrystallised in ethyl acetate, and further characterised using solution-state <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (*vide infra*).

Chain Propyl Ethyl Position β α β γ α <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta_{iso}$  (ppm) 3.3 1.8 1.1 3.3 1.4 15.9 8.2 <sup>13</sup>C  $\delta_{iso}$  (ppm) 60.2 10.9 54.8

# tripropylethylammonium bromide (TPEABr) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

### # butyltripropylammonium bromide (BTABr) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

Chain		Propyl			Butyl		
Position	α	β	γ	α	β	γ	δ
<sup>1</sup> H $\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)	3.2	1.8	0.9	3.2	1.7	1.3	0.9
<sup>13</sup> C $\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)	60.8	16.0	10.9	59.1	24.2	19.8	13.7

### # 2-hydroxy-ethyl-tripopylammonium (EtOHTPABr) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

Chain		Propyl			EtOH	
Position	α	β	γ	α	β	OH
<sup>1</sup> Η δ <sub>iso</sub> (ppm)	3.4	1.8	1.0	3.4	3.6	4.1
<sup>13</sup> С <i>б</i> ізо (ррт)	55.5	15.8	10.8	60.7	61.2	

#### # tetrapropylammonium bromide (TPABr) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

Chain	Propyl		
Position	α	β	γ
<sup>1</sup> Н <i>б</i> ізо (ррт)	3.3	1.8	1.1
<sup>13</sup> С <i>б</i> <sub>ізо</sub> (ррт)	60.2	15.9	10.9

#### 2. Synthesis of zeolites

The synthesis of zeolites was done by hydrothermal treatment of an aqueous gel. Typically 2.2g of NH<sub>4</sub>F were dissolved in 39.6g of water by stirring at ambient temperature in presence of  $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$  mole of the used SDA. After a total dissolution, 4.0 g of fumed silica (Aerosil 200) were added. Then, the mixture was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon coated autoclave and heated at 175°C during 5 days. The obtained powders were washed abundantly with distilled water and heated at 80°C overnight. The yields of all the synthesis were similar (75 - 80%). The theoretical formula of the assynthesised zeolites is SiO<sub>2</sub>[R(Pr)<sub>3</sub>NF]<sub>1/24</sub> (*vide infra*).

#### 3. TGA and elemental analyses

The experimental weight losses measured by thermogravimetric analyses (TGA, curves below) are very close to the expected theoretical losses considering a molar ratio  $Si/\{R(Pr)_3N^+\}$  equal to 24 (see Table below). The experimental Si/F molar ratios obtained from elemental analyses are also consistent with an expected Si/F molar ratio of 24.

TGA were performed on a NETZSCH TG 209, under air with a 293-1173 K temperature ramp and a heating rate of 10 K.min<sup>-1</sup>. Elemental analyses were done at the CNRS facility "Service Central d'Analyse" (Vernaison, France), using Inductive Coupled Plasma (for Si) and ionic chromatography with a specific electrode (for F). See: <u>http://www.sca.cnrs.fr/</u> for more details.



	Expected weight loss (%)	Experimental weight loss (%)	Experimental Si/F
<b>TPEA-MFI</b>	11.7	12.3	28.7
TPA-MFI	12.4	12.5	25.3
<b>BTPA-MFI</b>	13.2	14.1	28.6

### 4. X-Ray diffraction

The X-Ray diffraction (XRD) powder patterns presented below were collected on a Bruker AXS D8 diffractometer using a  $Cu-K_{\alpha}$  radiation.



### 5. Scanning Electron Microscopy

The Scanning Electron Microscopy pictures were recorded using a HITACHI 4800 S microscope and metallised samples.



#### 6. Solid-state NMR data

#### 6.1 Standard experimental conditions

The NMR spectra were recorded using MAS probes (zirconia rotors) on Varian 600 spectrometer (14.1 T) for <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>1</sup>H nuclei, and on a Varian 300 spectrometer (7.0 T) for <sup>29</sup>Si and <sup>13</sup>C nuclei. Spectrum modelling was achieved using the freely accessible *DmFit* software.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded using 1.2 mm rotors,  $\pi/2$  pulses of 2.0 µs, and recycling delays of 2 s. Chemical shifts are referenced towards external TMS.

<sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR cross-polarization (CP) MAS spectra were recorded using 7.5 mm rotors. Experiments were conducted using: contact times of 5 ms, a linear ramp on the <sup>1</sup>H contact pulse (10 % slope), <sup>1</sup>H decoupling during acquisition (<sup>1</sup>H RF field strengths  $v_{RF} \sim 50$  kHz), and recycle delay of 5 s. Chemical shifts were referenced towards external neat TMS.

<sup>14</sup>N NMR MAS single pulse spectra were recorded using 9.5 mm rotors spun at v = 2, 3 and 4 kHz (stability within 1-2 Hz). RF field strengths were  $v_{RF} \sim 84$  kHz, flip angles to  $\pi/8$  (3 µs, bandwidth ~170 kHz) and recycling delays to 0.5 s. Smaller flip angles were also tested (down to 2 µs, bandwidth ~250 kHz). These conditions insure a wide irradiation, and proper longitudinal relaxation. The FID was left shifted so as to consider only the acquired points after the first rotational echo maximum. <sup>1</sup>H decoupling ( $v_{RF} \sim 25$  kHz) was used during acquisition. <sup>14</sup>N NMR chemical shifts were referenced towards solid NH<sub>4</sub>Cl spun at 3 kHz.

<sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded using 2.5 mm rotors,  $\pi/2$  pulses of 1.7 µs, and recycling delays of 10 s were used. Chemical shifts are referenced towards external CFCl<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR CP-MAS spectra were recorded using 7.5 mn rotors. Experiments were conducted using: contact times of 15 ms, a linear ramp on the <sup>1</sup>H contact pulse (10 % slope), <sup>1</sup>H decoupling during acquisition (<sup>1</sup>H  $v_{RF} \sim 50$  kHz), and recycle delay of 2 s. Chemical shifts were referenced towards external neat TMS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> D. Massiot, F. Fayon, M. Capron, I. King, S. Le Calve, B. Alonso, J.O. Durand, B. Bujoli, Z. Gan and G. Hoatson, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2002, **40**, 70.

### 6.1 <sup>29</sup>Si NMR

T site*	TPEA-MFI	TPA-MFI	<b>BTPA-MFI</b>
	-101.1	-102.2	-102.2
10	-107.4	-108.2	-108.4
1	-109.2	-109.7	-110.2
12	-111.4	-112.2	-112.5
7	-111.8	-112.5	-112.8
6	-112.3	-113.0	-113.2
5	-113.1	-113.2	-113.5
2	-114.4	-114.9	-113.9
3	-114.9	-115.3	-115.5
11	-116.3	-115.8	-116.1
8	-117.1	-116.9	-116.9
4	-117.7	-117.6	-117.8
9	<mark>-127</mark>	<mark>-125</mark>	<mark>-127</mark>
Average over all sites (1-12)	-114.4	-114.5	-114.8
Average for sites close to F (cage [4 <sup>1</sup> 5 <sup>2</sup> 6 <sup>2</sup> ])	-114.9	-115.6	-116.3

<sup>29</sup>Si isotropic chemical shifts (in ppm) obtained from spectrum deconvolution and assigned following a previous assignment for TPA-MFI.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> C. Fyfe, D. Brouwer, A. Lewis and J.M. Chézeau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6882.

The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra recorded at  $v_{MAS} = 20$  kHz are presented below. The main peak located at ~ -63 ppm represents the fluoride in the [4<sup>1</sup>5<sup>2</sup>6<sup>2</sup>] cage while the minor peaks (less than 3-8 %) observed at around -80 ppm and -120 ppm are assigned to structure defect (following the proposition of ref. 3) and residual NH<sub>4</sub>F respectively.



The table below presents the <sup>19</sup>F chemical shift anisotropy parameters extracted from spectra recorded at  $v_{MAS} = 5$  and 20 kHz.

	δ <sub>iso</sub> (ppm)	⊿ <sub>CSA</sub> (ppm)	$\eta_{CSA}$
Sample			
BTPA-MFI	-62.6 (5 kHz)	-27	0.8
	-63.0 (20 kHz)		
TPA-MFI	-62.8 (5 kHz)	-25	0.9
	-63.4 (20 kHz)		
TPEA-MFI	-63.9 (5 kHz)	-28	0.9
	-64.6 (20 kHz)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> L. Delmotte, M. Soulard, F. Guth, A. Seive, A. Lopez and J.L. Guth, Zeolites, 1990, 10, 778.



6.3.1 <sup>1</sup>H single pulse spectra for the as-synthesised zeolites ( $v_{MAS} = 50$  kHz).

6.3.2 <sup>1</sup>H single pulse spectra recorded at variable  $v_{MAS}$  (sample BTPA-MFI).



6.3.3 <sup>1</sup>H NMR Table for the as-synthesised zeolites.

H position	<sup>1</sup> H isotropic chemical shift <i>S</i> <sub>1so</sub> (ppm)			
in alkyl chains	BTPA-MFI	TPA-MFI	TPEA-MFI	
C-C <i>H</i> <sub>3</sub> (Bu, Pr)	1.0	1.1	1.0	
$C-CH_3$ (Et)	-	-	1.4	
C-C $H_2$ -C ( $\gamma$ in Bu)	1.6	-	-	
C-C $H_2$ -C ( $\beta$ in Bu, Pr)	1.9	1.9	1.9	
N-CH <sub>2</sub> -C (Bu, Et, Pr)	3.2	3.3	3.3	
OH defects (< 1 %)	10.3	5.6, 10.4	5.6, 10.4	

<sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H DQ-SQ 2D correlation experiments based on homonuclear dipolar couplings were recorded using the BABA scheme<sup>4</sup> and identical excitation and reconversion times ( $\tau_e$ and  $\tau_r$ ). Results presented for  $\tau_e = \tau_r = 40 \ \mu s$ were similar to those found for  $\tau_e = \tau_r = 80 \ \mu s$ . As no correlations peaks are observed for the small -OH defects, the 2D spectra are centred on the <sup>1</sup>H signals of the alkyl groups.

The greyed circles correspond to calculated correlation peaks from 1D spectrum deconvolution (see table above), and making the hypothesis that all <sup>1</sup>H nuclei are coupled together within the same alkyl chain (Et, Pr or Bu) but not between all chains. This hypothesis is enough to explain the experimental 2D data (in particular in the case of TPEA-MFI).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> W. Sommer, J. Gottwald, D.E. Demco and H.W. Spiess, J. Magn. Reson. A, 1995, 113, 131.

## 6.4.1 Zeolites

Sample	$C_Q$ (kHz)	$\eta_{O}$
TPA-MFI	53.0	0.3
TPEA-MFI	58.0	0.4
<b>BTPA-MFI</b>	54.0	0.6
<b>EtOHTPA-MFI</b>	84.0	0.7

### 6.4.2 Halides

Crystal	C <sub>Q</sub> (kHz)	$\eta_Q$
TPABr	48.0	0.0
TPEABr	65.0	0.5
BTPABr	71.0	0.7
EtOHTPABr	129.0	0.8

## 7. Extension to 2-hydroxyethyl-tripropylammonium bromide (EtOHTPABr)



<sup>29</sup>Si {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR CP-MAS: comparison between EtOHTPA-MFI (bottom) and the TPA-MFI (up).

