Supporting Information

# Addition of *in-situ* reduced amidinato-methylaluminium chloride to acetylenes

## Tomáš Chlupatý,<sup>a</sup> Jan Turek,<sup>a.b</sup> Frank De Proft,<sup>b</sup> Zdeňka Růžičková<sup>a</sup> and Aleš Ružička\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, Studentská 573, CZ-532 10, Pardubice, Czech Republic, Fax: +420-466037068, \*Email: ales.ruzicka@upce.cz

<sup>b</sup> Eenheid Algemene Chemie (ALGC), Member of the QCMM VUB-UGent Alliance Research Group, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS:**

General methods	page S3
NMR spectra of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl - Fig. S1 - S2	pages S3 - S4
Synthesis of LAIMeC(Ph)=C(Ph)AIMeL (1)	page S4
NMR spectra of 1 - Fig. S3 - S4	page S5
Synthesis of LAIMeC(Ph)=C(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -4-SiMe <sub>3</sub> )AlMeL ( <b>2</b> )	pages S5 - S6
Synthesis of ${[LAl-(C \equiv C-SnMe_3)_3]K+}_2(3)$ .	page S6
X-ray structure determination	page S7
Crystallographic data for 1 - 3 - Table S1	pages S8
The coordination sphere of aluminium atoms in 1 in detail - Fig. S5	page S8
The molecular structure of 2 - Fig. S6	pages S8 - S9
The coordination sphere of aluminium atoms in 2 in detail - Fig. S7	page S9
The coordination sphere of aluminium and potassium atoms in <b>3</b> in detail - <b>Fig.</b>	<b>S8</b> page S10
Reaction of <b>1</b> with iodine	page S10
Reaction of 1 with iodine - Scheme S1	page S10
NMR spectra of the reaction mixture of 1 with iodine - Fig. S9 - S10	pages S11 - S11
Reaction of the LAIMeI-diphenylacetylene mixture with potassium	page S12
Reaction of the LAIMeI-diphenylacetylene mixture with potassium - Scheme S2	<b>2</b> page S12
Reaction of <b>1</b> with hydrogen chloride	page S12
Reaction of 1 with hydrogen chloride - Scheme S3	page S12
NMR spectra of the reaction mixture of 1 with HCl - Fig. S11 - S13	pages S13 - S14
EI-MS of the reaction mixture of 1 with HCl - Fig. S14	page S14
Computational studies	pages S15 - S16

Energy and free energy differences for reaction steps in Figures 1 and S16 -	Table S2page S15
Spin density plots of INT-1 and INT-2B - Fig. S15	page S16
Proposed reaction pathways of studied reaction - Fig. S16	page S17
References	page S18

## **General methods**

All syntheses were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under an inert argon atmosphere. All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources. Solvents were dried using the solvent purification system PureSolv MD 7 supplied by Innovative Technology, Inc., degassed and then stored under an argon atmosphere over a potassium mirror. The melting points were measured in inert perfluoroalkylether oil and were uncorrected. The elemental analyses (C, H and N) of new compounds were performed under an inert argon atmosphere on an automatic analyzer EA 1108 by FISONS Instruments. The mass spectra were measured on GC/MS configuration comprised of an Agilent Technologies - 6890N gas chromatograph (HP-5MS column, length 30 m, I.D. 0.25 mm, film 0.25 µm), equipped with a 5973 Network MS detector (EI 70 eV, mass range 33-550 Da). Deuterated benzene for NMR samples preparation was distilled, degassed and stored over a Kmirror under an argon atmosphere. NMR spectra of appropriate compounds in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solutions were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer (equipped with Z-gradient 5mm probe) with a resonance frequency of 500.13 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 125.76 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}, 186.50 MHz for <sup>119</sup>Sn{<sup>1</sup>H} and 129.99 MHz for <sup>27</sup>Al{<sup>1</sup>H} nuclei, respectively, at 295 K. Values of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were calibrated to residual signals of benzene ( $\delta(^{1}\text{H}) = 7.16 / \delta(^{13}\text{C}) = 128.4$ ) while the <sup>27</sup>Al and <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shift values are referred to external neat of 1M solution of Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O ( $\delta$ (<sup>27</sup>Al) = 0.0 ppm) and tetramethylstannane ( $\delta$ (<sup>119</sup>Sn) = 0.0 ppm), respectively. The preparation as well as the appropriate NMR data (for the NMR spectra see Figures S1 and S2) of the starting aluminium(III) amidinate [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl are reported elsewhere<sup>1</sup>.



Fig. S1 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. S2 <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.

# Synthesis of LAIMeC(Ph)=C(Ph)AIMeL (1)

A solution of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl (1.465 g, 3.22 mmol) and diphenylacetylene (0.287 g, 1.61 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml) was added into a Schlenk flask with potassium mirror (0.126 g, 3.22 mmol) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (40 ml) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 hours and then filtered with the help of celite (AW Standard Super-Cel® NF). The orange filtrate has been concentrated (ca. 10 ml) under vacuo until the white solid of 1 was precipitated. The resulting 1 was filtered off and washed with 10 ml of petroleum ether. All volatiles were evaporated under vacuo. Pure white crystalline 1 was obtained (0.508 g, 31 %). Single crystals suitable for XRD analyses were obtained from saturated solution of 1 in Et<sub>2</sub>O at -30°C. M.p. 212 - 214 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500.13 MHz, 295 K, Figure S3)  $\delta$ : 7.18 - 7.11 (br m, 16H, Ar<sup>Dipp+</sup>Ar<sup>Ph</sup>); 6.73 (t, 2H,  ${}^{3}J$  = 7.3 Hz, PhH); 6.36 (t, 4H,  ${}^{3}J = 7.6$  Hz, PhH); 3.51 (br s, 4H, CH); 3.35 (br s, 4H, CH); 1.38 (s, 6H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)N); 1.23 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 6.6 Hz, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.14 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 6.9 Hz, 24H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.02 (br s, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>); -0.60 (s, 6H, AlCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.76 MHz, 295 K, Figure S4) δ: 176.8 (NCN); 153.7 (C=C); 145.4 (Ar); 145.1 (Ar); 139.4 (Ar); 129.5 (Ar); 126.8 (Ar); 126.1 (Ar); 125.8 (Ar); 124.5 (Ar); 124.3 (Ar); 29.0 (CH); 28.7 (CH); 25.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 23.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 15.4 (NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)N); -7.6 (AlCH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>68</sub>H<sub>90</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C 80.27; H 8.92; N 5.51. Found: C 79.94; H 8.83; N 5.31.



Fig. S3 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 in  $C_6D_6$ .



Fig. S4  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of 1 in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.

# Synthesis of LAIMeC(Ph)=C(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-4-SiMe<sub>3</sub>)AIMeL (2)

A solution of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl (1.912 g, 4.20 mmol) and 4-(trimethylsilyl)diphenylacetylene (0.526 g, 2.10 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (40 ml) was added into a Schlenk flask with potassium mirror (0.164 g, 4.20 mmol) and  $Et_2O$  (40 ml) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 hours and then filtered with the help of celite (AW Standard Super-Cel® NF). The orange filtrate has been concentrated (ca. 15 ml) under vacuo until the white solid of 2 was precipitated. The resulting 2 was filtered off and washed with 15 ml of petroleum ether. All volatiles were evaporated under vacuo. Pure off-white crystalline 2 was obtained (0.618 g, 27 %). Single crystals suitable for XRD analyses were obtained from the saturated solution of 2 in hexane at -30°C. M.p. 205 - 207.5 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500.13 MHz, 295 K) δ: 7.14 - 7.08 (br m, 16H,  $Ar^{Dipp}+Ar^{PhSiMe3}$ ); 6.70 (t, 1H,  ${}^{3}J = 7.4$  Hz, PhH); 6.46 (d, 2H,  ${}^{3}J = 7.8$  Hz, PhH); 6.33 (t, 2H,  ${}^{3}J$ = 7.6 Hz, PhH); 3.63 (br s, 1H, CH); 3.48 (br s, 4H, CH); 3.34 (br s, 3H, CH); 1.35 (s, 6H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)N); 1.22 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.17 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.22 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.11 (two doublets, 24H, both  ${}^{3}J = 6.9$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>); 0.17 (s, 3H, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); -0.60 (s, 3H, AlCH<sub>3</sub>); -0.61 (s, 3H, AlCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.76 MHz, 295 K) δ: 176.8 (NCN); 176.7 (NCN); 153.8 (C=C); 153.7 (C=C); 145.0 (br s, Ar); 139.4 (Ar); 139.3 (Ar); 136.1 (Ar); 134.5 (Ar); 129.5 (Ar); 126.8 (br s, Ar); 126.7 (br s, Ar); 126.1 (Ar); 125.8 (Ar); 125.7 (Ar); 124.5 (Ar); 124.4 (Ar); 124.2 (br s, Ar); 124.0 (Ar); 29.0 (CH); 28.9 (CH); 28.7 (two signal, CH); 25.8 (br s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 25.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 23.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 23.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 15.4 (two singnal, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)N); -0.51 (Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); -7.5 (two signal, AlCH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>71</sub>H<sub>98</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Si: C 78.26; H 9.07; N 5.14. Found: C 77.86; H 8.73; N 4.92.

### Synthesis of {[LAl<sup>-</sup>(C≡C-SnMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]K<sup>+</sup>}<sub>2</sub> (3)

solution [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl А of (1.051)g, 2.31 mmol) and bis(trimethylstannyl)acetylene (0.812 g, 2.31 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (40 ml) was added into a Schlenk flask with potassium mirror (0.271 g, 6.93 mmol) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 ml) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 hours and then filtered with the help of celite (AW Standard Super-Cel® NF) affording pale yellow filtrate. The Et<sub>2</sub>O was removed under vacuo and the residual yellow solid was dissolved in hexane (30 ml). The mixture was filtered and the colourless filtrate was stored at 7 °C for three days to give colourless single crystals of **3** (0.162 g) suitable for XRD analyses. M.p. 163 - 165.5 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500.13 MHz, 295 K) δ: 7.19 - 7.08 (br m, 12H, ArH); 3.78 (m, 8H, *CH*); 1.47 (d,  ${}^{3}J = 6.8$  Hz, 24H, *CH*<sub>3</sub>); 1.37 (s, 6H, NC(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)N); 1.31 (d,  ${}^{3}J = 6.9$  Hz, 24H, *CH*<sub>3</sub>); 0.09 (s, 36H, Sn(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.76 MHz, 295 K)  $\delta$ : 165.9 (NCN); 145.5 (Ar); 144.4 (Ar); 124.8 (Ar); 124.2 (Ar); 110.1 (br s, C-Al); 97.2 (C-Sn); 28.2 (CH); 26.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 24.8  $(CH_3)$ ; 18.6  $(NC(CH_3)N)$ ; -7.3  $(Sn(CH_3)_3$ ; <sup>1</sup> $J(^{119/117}Sn,^{13}C) = 396/378$  Hz). <sup>119</sup>Sn{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(C_6D_6, C_6D_6)$ 186.50 MHz, 295 K) δ: -88. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>82</sub>H<sub>128</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Sn<sub>6</sub>: C 48.89; H 6.40; N 2.78. Found: C 48.63; H 6.12; N 2.54.

#### X-ray structure determination

The compounds 1 (Figure S5), 2 (Figures S6 and S7) and 3 (Figure S8) have been characterized by single crystal X-ray crystallography techniques. The crystallographic details are summarized in Table S1. All diffraction experiments were performed under an inert oil using the Oxford Cryostream low-temperature device on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer with a Mo K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å), a graphite monochromator, and the  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  scan mode at 150K. Data reductions were done with DENZO-SMN<sup>2</sup>. The absorption was corrected by integration methods<sup>3</sup>. Structures were solved by direct methods (Sir92)<sup>4</sup> and refined by a full matrix least-square based on  $F^2$  (SHELXL97)<sup>5</sup>. Hydrogen atoms were mostly localized on a difference Fourier map, however, to ensure uniformity of the crystal treatment, all hydrogen atoms were recalculated into idealized positions (riding model) and assigned temperature factors  $H_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}$  (pivot atom) or of  $1.5U_{eq}$  (methyl). The H atoms in methyl, methylene, methine and hydrogen atoms in aromatic rings were placed with C-H distances of 0.96, 0.97, 0.98 and 0.93 Å.

There is disordered hexane solvent in the special position of **3**, attempts were made to model this disorder or split it into two positions, but were unsuccessful. PLATON /SQUEZZE<sup>6</sup> was used to correct the data for the presence of disordered solvent. A potential solvent volume of 450 Å<sup>3</sup> was found. 90 electrons per unit cell worth of scattering were located in the void. The calculated stoichiometry of solvent was calculated to be two molecules of hexane per unit cell.

Crystallographic data for structural analysis have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC no. 1406406 - 1406408 for **1** - **3**. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EY, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

<b>Compound reference</b>	1	2	3	
Formula	$C_{68}H_{90}Al_2N_4$	$C_{71}H_{98}Al_2N_4Si$	$C_{82}H_{128}Al_2K_2N_4Sn_6.(C_6H_{14})$	
$M_{\rm r}$ [g.mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	1017.40	1089.59	2100.35	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	
<i>a</i> [Å]	20.4820(17)	10.6230(8)	17.7131(10)	
<i>b</i> [Å]	16.1560(7)	15.6590(8)	14.4470(12)	
<i>c</i> [Å]	20.007(3)	22.1571(11)	25.0359(14)	
α [°]	90	98.155(4)	90	
β[°]	108.305(10)	99.819(5)	126.853(5)	
γ [°]	90	107.948(5)	90	
V [Å <sup>3</sup> ]	6285.5(12)	3379.9(4)	5126.5(6)	
Space group	$P2_{1}/c$	P-1	$P2_{1}/c$	
Crystal color	colourless	colourless	colourless	
T <sub>min</sub> /T <sub>max</sub>	0.968/0.985	0.974/0.990	0.607/0.849	
Z	4	2	2	
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	0.087	0.102	1.576	
reflns measured	55909	32390	36678	
independent reflns	13917	12235	11552	
$R_{\rm int}^{[a]}$	0.083	0.096	0.028	
GOF on $F^2(S)^{[b]}$	1.149	1.162	1.205	
Final $R_I$ $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))^{[c]}$	0.061	0.068	0.032	
Final $wR(F^2)$ $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))^{[c]}$	0.117	0.120	0.062	

## Table S1 Crystallographic data for 1 - 3.

 $[a] R_{int} = \sum |F_o^2 - F_{o,mean}| / \sum F_o^2. [b] S = [\sum (w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2) / (N_{diffr.} - N_{param.})]^{\frac{1}{2}}. [c] Weighting scheme: w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (w_1P)^2 + w_2P]^{-1}, where P = [max(F_o^2) + 2F_c^2], R(F) = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|, wR(F^2) = [\sum (w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2) / (\sum w(F_o^2)^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ 



Fig. S5 The coordination sphere of aluminium atoms in 1 in detail.



**Fig. S6** The molecular structure of **2** (ORTEP view, 30% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: N1-C1 1.327(4); N2-C1 1.329(4); N4-C45 1.334(4); N3-C45 1.340(4); C1-Al1 2.378(3); C45-Al2 2.383(3); Al1-C27 1.954(4); Al2-C71 1.955(4); N1-Al1 1.965(3); N2-Al1 1.953(3); N3-Al2 1.982(3); N4-Al2 1.929(3); Al1-C28 1.984(3); C28-C29 1.364(4); C29-Al2 1.986(3); N1-C1-N2 110.4(3); N3-C45-N4 110.2(3); C1-Al1-C28 132.58(13); C45-Al2-C29 124.65(12); Al1-C28-C29 121.9(2).



Fig. S7 The coordination sphere of aluminium atoms in 2 in detail.



Fig. S8 The coordination sphere of aluminium and potassium atoms in 3 in detail.



**Reaction of 1 with iodine** 

Scheme S1 Reaction of 1 with iodine; L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.

A colourless solution of 1 (0.436 g, 0.43 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (30 ml) was added to a dark brown solution of iodine (0.108 g, 0.43 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (10 ml) at room temperature (Scheme S1). The reaction mixture was stirred until it became almost colorless (*ca* 3 hours). Subsequently, the NMR spectra (Figures S9 and S10) of the reaction mixture in  $C_6D_6$  were measured. The reaction mixture was not separated and used for a further reaction (see below) as is.



**Fig. S9** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.13 MHz, 295 K) of the reaction mixture of **1** with iodine in  $C_6D_6$ . [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeI is represented by \* and diphenylacetylene is represented by #.



Fig. S10 <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (125.76 MHz, 295 K) of the reaction mixture of 1 with iodine in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. LAIMeI is represented by \* ( $\delta$ : 180.1, 146.0, 144.9, 136.7, 127.7, 125.0, 124.3, 29.1, 26.3, 24.9, 24.6, 24.3, 14.6, -4.3) and diphenylacetylene is represented by # ( $\delta$ : 132.3, 129.0, 128.8, 124.3, 90.5); L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.

#### Reaction of the LAIMeI-diphenylacetylene mixture with potassium



Scheme S2 Reaction of the LAIMeI-diphenylacetylene mixture in the presence of potassium mirror; L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.

A crude mixture (from the previous reaction; see above) of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeI (0.465 g, 0.85 mmol) and diphenylacetylene (0.076 g, 0.43 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml) was added into a Schlenk flask with potassium mirror (0.033 g, 0.85 mmol) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml) at room temperature (Scheme S2). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 hours and then filtered with the help of celite (AW Standard Super-Cel® NF). The orange filtrate has been concentrated (*ca* 8 ml) under vacuo until the white solid of **1** was precipitated. The resulting **1** was filtered off and washed with 5 ml of petroleum ether. All volatiles were evaporated under vacuo. Pure white crystalline **1** was obtained (0.147 g, 34 %).

#### Reaction of 1 with hydrogen chloride



Scheme S3 Reaction of 1 with two equivalents of hydrogen chloride; L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.

2M solution of hydrogen chloride in  $Et_2O$  (1.4 ml; 1.4 mmol) was added to a solution of **1** (0.358 g, 0.35 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (20 ml) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Subsequently, the NMR spectra (Figures S11 - S13) in  $C_6D_6$  as well as EI-MS (Figure S14) of the reaction mixture were measured.



**Fig. S11** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.13 MHz, 295 K) of the reaction mixture of **1** with HCl in  $C_6D_6$ . LH is represented by \* and trans-stilbene is represented by #; L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.



Fig. S12 <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (125.76 MHz, 295 K) of the reaction mixture of 1 with HCl in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. LH is represented by \* and trans-stilbene is represented by # ( $\delta$ : 138.1, 129.5, 129.3, 127.3); L = DippNC(Me)NDipp.



Fig. S13  $^{27}$ Al{ $^{1}$ H} NMR (129.99 MHz, 295 K) of the reaction mixture of 1 with HCl in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Fig. S14 EI-MS of the reaction mixture of 1 with HCl.

### **Computational studies**

All calculations were carried out using Density Functional Theory (DFT) as implemented in the Gaussian09 quantum chemistry program.<sup>7</sup> Geometry optimizations were carried out at the B3LYP/cc-pVDZ level of theory and the energies of the optimized structures were re-evaluated by additional single point calculations on each of all optimized geometries using the triple- $\zeta$ -quality cc-pVTZ basis set.<sup>8</sup> Analytical vibrational frequencies within the harmonic approximation were computed with the cc-pVDZ basis set to confirm proper convergence to well-defined minima or saddle points on the potential energy surface. The Gibbs free energies **G**<sup>solv</sup>(cc-pVTZ) used to calculate the energy differences reported in this article (Table S2) have been computed with the following protocol:

$$\mathbf{G}^{\text{solv}}(\text{cc-pVTZ}) = \mathbf{G}(\text{cc-pVTZ}) + \mathbf{SC}$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{cc}-\mathbf{p}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{cc}-\mathbf{p}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Z}) + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}$$
(2)

$$TC = G(cc-pVDZ) - E(cc-pVDZ)$$
(3)

$$SC = E^{solv}(cc-pVDZ) - E(cc-pVDZ)$$
(4)

E(x) is the self-consistent field energy in the cc-pVDZ or cc-pVTZ basis sets respectively and TC is the thermal correction to the energy calculated for the cc-pVDZ basis set. G(cc-pVDZ) is the free energy at 298.15K for the double- $\zeta$ -quality basis set. SC is the solvent correction calculated using  $E^{solv}(cc-pVDZ)$ , which is the self-consistent field energy in the SMD implicit solvation model using diethylether as solvent ( $\varepsilon = 4.24$ ) in the cc-pVDZ basis set.<sup>9</sup>

**Table S2** Energy and Gibbs free energy differences for reaction steps displayed in Fig. 1 and Fig.S16.

	ΔΕ	$\Delta \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{solv}}$	ΔΕ	ΔG	$\Delta G^{solv}$
	(cc-pVDZ)	(cc-pVDZ)	(cc-pVTZ)	(cc-pVTZ)	(cc-pVTZ)
	[kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	[kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	[kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	[kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	[kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]
INT-1→INT-2A	-54,5	-52,8	-52,5	-34,9	-31,5
INT-1→INT-2A'	-49,0	-47,2	-47,2	-28,2	-24,5
INT-1→INT-2B	-19,6	-17,8	-18,1	-4,5	-0,9
INT-1→P	-80,8	-74,1	-76,1	-42,4	-29,1
INT-1 <b>→</b> P'	-70,8	-65,4	-65,8	-33,0	-22,2
INT-2A→INT-2A'	5,4	5,6	5,4	6,7	7,1
INT-2A→P	-26,3	-21,3	-23,6	-7,5	2,4
INT-2A→P'	-16,3	-12,6	-13,3	2,0	9,3
INT-2A' <b>→</b> P	-31,7	-26,9	-28,9	-14,2	-4,6
INT-2A' <b>→</b> P'	-21,7	-18,2	-18,6	-4,8	2,3
INT-2B→P	-61,2	-56,3	-58,1	-38,0	-28,2
INT-2B→P'	-51,2	-47,6	-47,7	-28,5	-21,3
P→P'	10,0	8,7	10,3	9,5	6,9

As shown in Figure S15, **INT-1** is primarily aluminum-centered radical with most of the spin density located at the central metal atom (Mulliken spin densities: Al 82%,  $C_{Me-Al}$  8%,  $C_{NCN}$  5%,  $N_{NCN}$  1%,  $N_{NCN'}$  1%), while in the case of **INT-2B** the spin density is more delocalized from the ethylene carbon into the  $\pi$ -system of the adjacent phenyl ring (Mulliken spin densities:  $C_{ethylene}$  58 %,  $C_{C4-Ph}$  29 %,  $C_{C2-Ph}$  24 %,  $C_{C6-Ph}$  24 %, Al 7 %,  $C_{C1-Ph'}$  5 %,  $C_{C1-Ph}$  -14%,  $C_{C3-Ph}$  -12%,  $C_{C5-Ph}$  -12%,  $C_{c6-Ph'}$  -1%).



Fig. S15 Spin density plots (isovalue = 0.005 a.u.) of INT-1 and INT-2B.



**Fig. S16** Proposed reaction pathways along with Gibbs free energies (kcal  $mol^{-1}$ ) for the *in-situ* interaction of [DippNC(Me)NDipp]AlMeCl (**R**) with potassium and diphenylacetylene relative to the **INT-1**. All reactants and products are represented by the B3LYP/cc-pVDZ optimized geometries.

### References

- 1 T. Chlupatý, M. Bílek, J. Moncol', Z. Růžičková and A. Růžička, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2015, **786**, 48.
- 2 Z. Otwinowski and W. Minor, Methods in Enzymology, 1997, 276, 307.
- 3 P. Coppens, in Crystallographic Computing, ed F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall, C. P. Huber, Copenhagen, Munksgaard, **1970**, pp. 255 270.
- 4 A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1993, 26, 343.
- 5 G. M. Sheldrick, University of Gottingen, Gottingen, 1997.
- 6 P. van der Sluis and A. L. Spek, Acta Crystalllogr., 1990, A46, 194.
- 7 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2009**.
  8 (*a*) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, **38**, 3098; (*b*) C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785; (*c*) B. Michlich, A. Savin, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1989, **157**,
- 200; (*d*) T. H. Dunning Jr, J. Chem. Phys., 1989, **90**, 1007; (*e*) R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning Jr and R. J. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, **96**, 6796; (*f*) D. E. Woon and T. H. Dunning Jr., J.

Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1358.

9 (a) J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2999; (b) A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6378.