## $C_2H_2 \ Adsorption \ in \ Three \ Isostructural \ Metal-Organic \ Frameworks: \ Boosting$

## C2H2 Uptake by Rational Arrangement of Nitrogen Sites

Chengling Song, Jingjing Jiao, Qiyi Lin, Huimin Liu, and Yabing He\*

College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004,

China. E-mail: <u>heyabing@zjnu.cn</u>



Fig. S1 PXRD patterns of the as-synthesized samples along with the simulated ones.



Fig. S2 TGA curves of as-synthesized ZJNU-46 (a), ZJNU-47 (b) and ZJNU-48 (c).



 $S_{\text{BET}} = (1/(2.74267 \times 10^{-7} + 0.00166))/22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2622 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  $S_{\text{Langmuir}} = (1/0.00151)/22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2883 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ 



 $S_{\text{BET}} = \frac{1}{(0.00165 + 2.6841 \times 10^{-7})} \\ \frac{22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18}}{S_{\text{Langmuir}}} = \frac{(1/0.0015)}{22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18}} \\ = 2902 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ 



 $S_{\text{BET}} = 1/(0.00163 + 1.22472 \times 10^{-7})/22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2670 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  $S_{\text{Langmuir}} = 1/(0.00149)/22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2922 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ 

Fig. S3 BET and Langmuir plots for ZJNU-46a, ZJNU-47a and ZJNU-48a.



**Fig. S4** Fitting the acetylene adsorption data of **ZJNU-47a** at 295 K with Langmuir-Freundlium model.



Fig. S5 Vant' Hoff isochores for  $C_2H_2$  adsorption on ZJNU-46a (top), ZJNU-47a (middle) and ZJNU-48a (bottom)



Fig. S6 FTIR spectra of the organic ligands and as-synthesized MOFs.



170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 pm



170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 ppm



Fig. S7 <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra

ppm



Fig. S8 ORTEP plots showing the asymmetric unit of (a) ZJNU-46 and (b) ZJNU-48

Compounds	ZJNU-46	ZJNU-48
Empirical formula	$C_{20}H_{12}Cu_2N_2O_{10}$	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>6</sub> CuNO <sub>5</sub>
Formula weight	567.41	283.71
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Trigonal	Trigonal
Space group	<i>R</i> -3m	<i>R</i> -3m
Unit cell dimensions	a = 18.8059(3) Å	a = 18.65700(10) Å
	b = 18.8059(3) Å	b = 18.65700(10) Å
	c = 37.6262(15)  Å	c = 38.0533(6) Å
	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$
	$\gamma = 120^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 120^{\circ}$
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	11524.2(5)	11471.1(2)
Ζ	9	18
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	0.733	0.737
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.856	0.860
F(000)	2538	2538
Crystal size (mm)	$0.33 \times 0.21 \times 0.16$	$0.31 \times 0.24 \times 0.10$
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.36 to 27.56	2.484 to 27.495
Limiting indices	$-24 \le h \le 24,$	$-24 \le h \le 23,$
	$-23 \le k \le 24$	$-24 \le k \le 24,$
	$-48 \le l \le 39$	$-49 \le l \le 49$
Reflections collected / unique	32890 / 3249	41155 / 3211
R <sub>int</sub>	0.1733	0.0650
Completeness to $\theta = 25.02$	99.9%	99.9%
Max. and min. transmission	0.8752 and 0.7654	0.9189 and 0.7764
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$
Data / restraints / parameters	3249 / 19 / 95	3211 / 0 / 96
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.088	1.066
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0787, wR_2 = 0.2415$	$R_1 = 0.0310, wR_2 = 0.0981$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0962, wR_2 = 0.2567$	$R_1 = 0.0360, wR_2 = 0.0992$
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e.A^{-3})$	1.525 and -0.473	0.746 and -0.483
CCDC	1056732	1441682

*Table S1* Crystal data and structure refinement for **ZJNU-46** and **ZJNU-48**.