Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Energy & Environmental Science. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

## Supplementary Information for

## A Self-Defense Redox Mediator for Efficient Lithium-O<sub>2</sub> Batteries

Tao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Kaiming Liao,<sup>a</sup> Ping He<sup>b</sup> and Haoshen Zhou<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Energy Technology Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science

and Technology (AIST), Umezono 1-1-1, Tsukuba, 305-8568, Japan

<sup>b</sup>National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructure, College of Engineering and Applied

Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, P. R. China

To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hs.zhou@aist.go.jp

## **Calculation of Cations' Effective Reduction Potential**

Nernst equation:

$$E_{Red} = E_{Red}^{\ 0} - \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \frac{a_{Red}}{a_{Ox}}$$
(1)

Where *R* is the universal gas constant (8.314462 J/K·mol), *T* is the absolute temperature (273.15 + 25 = 298.15 K in this work), and  $\alpha$  is the chemical activity for the relevant species ( $a_{Red}$  is for the reduced state and  $a_{Ox}$  is for the oxidized state). *F* is the Faraday constant (96485.3365 C/mol), and *z* is the number of moles of electrons transferred based on the reaction of  $a_{Ox} + ze^{-} = a_{Red}$ . Note that  $a_j = \gamma_j Cj$ , where  $\gamma_j$  and Cj are the activity coefficient and the concentration of species *j*. In a diluted solution with low concentration for the selected metallic cations,  $a_j$  can be simplified to equal the concentration Cj, and then Eq. (1) can be simplified as:

$$E_{Red} = E_{Red}^{\ 0} - \frac{0.05916}{z} \log_{10} \frac{1}{a_{Ox}}$$
(2)

According to Eq. (2), the effective reduction potential of the  $In^{3+}$  ions in the Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell containing 16.7 mM InI<sub>3</sub> is calculated as -0.375 V.

According to the same Eq. (2), the effective reduction potential of the  $Li^+$  ions in the Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell containing 50 mM LiI is calculated as -3.058 V.

## **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Fig. S1 XRD patterns of the MWCNTs cathodes in discharge state. The MWCNTs cathodes were taken out from the LiI- and  $InI_3$ -containing Li-O<sub>2</sub> coin cells, respectively. The cathodes with the stainless steel current collector were washed by super-anhydration acetonitrile and then dried before the XRD measurement.



**Supplementary Fig. S2** Magnification of SEM images (a) the surface of a fresh Li anode, corresponding to *Fig. 4b*. (b) The neighbouring area of *Fig. 4c*. (c) and (d) the surface of Li anode in the LiI-containing cell after the first cycle, corresponding to *Fig. 4c*.



**Supplementary Fig. S3** Magnification of SEM images, corresponding to *Fig. 4f.* (b) and (c) are obtained from the observations for the same area in (a). The EHT (extra high tension) values performed are different: 5.00 kV for (b) and 15.00 kV for (c), to focus clearly on the surface area for (b) and the cross section area for (c).



**Supplementary Fig. S4** Close examination of the XRD patterns shown in *Fig. 4g.* The two patterns are corresponding to the Li anode in the LiI- and  $In_3I$ -containing cells after the first cycle, as shown in *Fig. 4c* and *Fig. 4d*, respectively. The reference XRD pattern of indium is also shown (PDF no. 65-9682).



**Supplementary Fig. S5** A visual Li-O<sub>2</sub> beaker cell with the InI<sub>3</sub>-containing electrolyte. (a) Charge curve of the visual Li-O<sub>2</sub> beaker cell at 2000 mA/g in 1 atm pure O<sub>2</sub>. The beaker cell consists of a MWCNTs cathode in discharged state (4000 mAh/g), a fresh lithium anode and an electrolyte of 16.7 mM InI<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 M LiClO<sub>4</sub> in DMSO. (b) Visual observation of the Li-O<sub>2</sub> beaker cell recorded as charging proceeds.



**Supplementary Fig. S6** Micrograph images showing the growth of protrusions from the Li anode. Both (a) and (b) are corresponding to *Fig. 6b*. The Li anode was taken out from a LiI-containing Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell after five cycles at 500 mA/g.



**Supplementary Fig. S7** Discharge/charge behavior of Li-O<sub>2</sub> cells with 10 mM AlI<sub>3</sub> in DMSO. On the right is a picture of the DMSO-based electrolytes containing 50 mM LiI, 16.7 mM InI<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM AlI<sub>3</sub> and 25 mM MgI<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

According to Eq. (2), the effective reduction potential of the  $Al^{3+}$  ions in the Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell containing 10 mM AlI<sub>3</sub> is calculated as -1.715 V.

The AlI<sub>3</sub>-containing cell exhibits considerably smooth charge curves. However, unlike LiI, InI<sub>3</sub> and MgI<sub>2</sub>, the AlI<sub>3</sub> has low solubility (no more than 10 mM) and is prone to decompose in the DMSO electrolyte.



**Supplementary Fig. S8** Discharge/charge curves of  $\text{Li-O}_2$  cells with 25 mM MgI<sub>2</sub> in DMSO. On the right is the variation of impedance spectra on discharging and charging the MgI<sub>2</sub>-containing Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell for one cycle and then keeping for 72 hours.

According to Eq. (2), the effective reduction potential of the  $Mg^{2+}$  ions in the Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell containing 25 mM MgI<sub>2</sub> is calculated as -2.403 V.

The solubility and stability of MgI<sub>2</sub> in DMSO are comparable to InI<sub>3</sub>, but the impedance of the MgI<sub>2</sub>-containing Li-O<sub>2</sub> cell increased gradually as keeping. Such phenomenon was not observed for all of the LiI-, InI<sub>3</sub>- and AlI<sub>3</sub>-containg Li-O<sub>2</sub> cells. When exposed to air, a thin layer of oxide is generally formed on the surface of metallic magnesium, which is fairly impermeable. We speculated that that a compact passivation film may exist on magnesium surface layer, hindering the charge transfer and leading to poor cycling performance.