Supplementary Information

Highly luminescent gold nanoparticles: effect of ruthenium distance for nanoprobes with enhanced lifetimes

Shani A. M. Osborne and Zoe Pikramenou

	Number distribution / nm	Intensity distribution / nm	PDI	Intensity distribution graphs
AuNP13	14 ± 4	23 ± 7	0.09	Size Distribution by Intensity
Z·AuNP13	12 ± 4	40 ± 20	0.19	
RuS1·AuNP13	15 ± 6	96 ± 50	0.26	uter the second se
RuS6·AuNP13	24 ± 9	116 ± 57	0.26	0.1 1 10 1000 10000 Size (d.m)
RuS12·AuNP13	18 ± 6	48 ± 22	0.14	Record 34 Aul/P13 Record 41 ZAUP13 Record 48 RuS12 Aul/P13 Record 49 RuS1 Aul/P13 Record 40 RuS12 Aul/P13
AuNP50	50 ± 12	68 ± 18	0.04	Size Distribution by Intensity
Z•AuNP50	50 ± 12	70 ± 19	0.04	
RuS1·AuNP50	59 ± 17	90 ± 27	0.09	
RuS6·AuNP50	54 ± 15	84 ± 26	0.08	0.1 1 10 100 1000 10000 Size (d.nm)
RuS12·AuNP50	61 ± 16	86 ± 24	0.04	Record 32: AuR/50 Record 35: BuS12:AuR/50 Record 38: RuS1:AuR/50 Record 39: RUS1:AuR/50 Rec
AuNP100	101 ± 24	120 ± 26	0.01	Size Distribution by Intensity
Z·AuNP100	107 ± 27	130 ± 35	0.02	been a
RuS1·AuNP100	109 ± 28	134 ± 33	0.03	
RuS6·AuNP100	107 ± 27	131 ± 31	0.03	0.1 1 10 100 1000 10000 Size (d.nm)
RuS12·AuNP100	112 ± 27	133 ± 30	0.02	Record 33 Au/P100 Record 37 RuS12_Au/P100 Record 38 RuS1_Au/P100 Record 40: RuS8_Au/P100 Record 43: Z_Au/P100 Record 38 RuS1_Au/P100

Table S1: Dynamic light scattering sizing data of AuNP in water.

Table S2: Zeta potential data of AuNP in water.

	ζ-potential		ζ-potential		ζ-potential
	/ mV		/ mV		/ mV
AuNP13	(-) 46 ± 16	AuNP50	(-) 31 ± 13	AuNP100	(-) 38 ± 12
Z·AuNP13	(-)50 ± 8	Z·AuNP50	(-)62 ± 18	Z·AuNP100	(-) 53 ± 11
RuS1·AuNP13	(-) 49 ± 11	RuS1·AuNP50	(-) 31 ± 10	RuS1·AuNP100	(-) 47 ± 10
RuS6·AuNP13	(-) 62 ± 15	RuS6·AuNP50	(-) 44 ± 16	RuS6·AuNP100	(-) 26 ± 9
RuS12·AuNP13	(-) 42 ± 13	RuS12·AuNP50	(-) 42 ± 12	RuS12·AuNP100	(-) 36 ± 10



Figure S1: UV-Vis of the citrate stabilised AuNP13 (thin solid line), AuNP50 (dotted line) and AuNP100 (thick solid line) in water. The spectra are taken from 200 – 800 nm.



Figure S2: UV-Vis of the free complex RuS1 (a), RuS6 (b) and RuS12 (c) (thin line) and the complex with 10 μL 10% Zonyl FSA (thick line) in water. The spectra are taken from 200 – 800 nm.







Figure S3: Luminescent lifetime decay (top) and fitting (bottom) of RuS1 (a), RuS6 (b), RuS12 (c), RuS1 + Z (d), RuS6 + Z (e), RuS12 + Z (f), RuS1·AuNP13 (g), RuS6·AuNP13 (h), RuS12·AuNP13 (i), RuS1·AuNP50 (j), RuS6·AuNP50 (k), RuS12·AuNP50 (l), RuS1·AuNP100 (m), RuS6·AuNP100 (n) and RuS12·AuNP100 (o). λ_{exc} = 445 nm and λ_{det} = 650 nm.



Figure S4: Luminescent lifetime decay (top) and fitting (bottom) of RuS12·AuNP13 with a 5 μ s pulse. λ_{exc} = 445 nm and λ_{det} = 650 nm.



Figure S5: TEM images of AuNP13 (a), AuNP50 (b), AuNP100 (c), Z·AuNP13 (d), Z·AuNP50 (e) and Z·AuNP100 (f). Images are taken on the Jeol 1200 EX TEM.



Figure S6: Video cuts of RuS12·AuNP100 at 5s (a), 7s (b) and 10s (c) on the NanoSight. λ_{exc} = 488 nm. Flow rate = 50 mL/h.

Table S3: Radiative and non-radiative contribution of the decay rate for RuS1·AuNP13, RuS1, RuS6·AuNP13, RuS6, RuS12·AuNP13 and RuS12. Q is the quantum yield and is measured directly from the integrating sphere. τ is the lifetime and is measured from a 445 nm pulsed laser. τ_N is the natural lifetime and is calculated from $\tau_N = \tau / Q$. R is the overall decay rate and is τ^{-1} . R_{rad} is the radiative decay rate and is τ_N^{-1} . R_{nonrad} is the non-radiative decay rate and is calculated from $R = R_{rad} + R_{nonrad}$.

	Q	τ/s (x 10 ⁻⁷)	τ _N /s (x 10 ⁻⁶)	R /s ⁻¹ (x 10 ⁶)	R_{rad}/s^{-1} (x 10 ⁵)	R _{nonrad} /s ⁻¹ (x 10 ⁶)
RuS1·AuNP13	0.02	4.7	0.24	2.1 (2.127)	0.43 (0.425)	2.1 (2.085)
RuS1	0.02	4.2	0.21	2.4	0.48	2.3
RuS6·AuNP13	0.05	3.4	6.8	2.9	1.5	2.8
RuS6	0.02	2.4	0.12	4.2	0.83	4.1
RuS12·AuNP13	0.09	4.8	5.3	2.1 (2.083)	1.9 (1.875)	1.9 (1.895)
RuS12	0.02	2.8	0.14	3.6	0.71	3.5