Supporting Information for

Preparation of hydrophobic reduced graphene oxide supported Ni-B-P-O and Co-B-P-O catalysts and their high hydrodeoxygenation activities

Weiyan Wang,^{* a,b} Pengli Liu,^a Kui Wu,^a Song Tan,^a Wensong Li,^a and Yunquan Yang^{* a,b} ^a School of Chemical Engineering, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan, 411105, PR China ^b National & Local United Engineering Research Centre for Chemical Process Simulation and Intensification, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, P. R. China

Experimental Details

Preparation of catalysts The Ni(Co)-B-P-O unsupported catalyst is prepared by chemical reduction methods. NiCl₂ or CoCl₂ (5 mmol), NaH₂PO₂ (30 mmol) were dissolved in 20 mL of ultra-pure water, and then placed into a 250 mL three-necked flask. 20 mL aqueous solution of NaBH₄ (30 mmol) was added dropwise to the three necked flask under vigorous stirring at 0 °C. After reaction for 1 h, the black precipitate was collected and washed with ultra-pure water and ethanol for several times, and finally dried under vacuum at 50 °C for 4 h. The resultant catalyst was marked as Ni-B-P-O or Co-B-P-O.

The graphene supported Ni(Co)-B-P-O catalyst were prepared by in situ reduction method. In a typical experiment, 20 mg graphene oxide (GO) was dispersed in 20 ml ultra-pure water under ultrasonic environment. NiCl₂ or CoCl₂ (5 mmol) and NaH₂PO₂ (30 mmol) were added and dissolved into the above solution. Consequently, 20 mL solution containing 30 mmol NaBH₄ was added slowly at 0 °C under vigorous stirring. The reaction was proceeded for 10 h to ensure the reduction of GO to reduced grapheme oxide (RGO). The black solution was separated and washed with ultra-pure water and ethanol for several times, and then dried in vacuum at 50 °C for 4 h. The resultant catalyst was marked as Ni-B-P-O/RGO or Co-B-P-O/RGO.

Catalyst characterization The specific surface area was measured by a Quantachrome's NOVA-2100e Surface Area instrument by physisorption of nitrogen at -196 °C. The samples

were dehydrated at 150 °C using vaccum degassing for 12 h before experiments. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried on a D/max2550 18KW Rotating anode X-Ray Diffractometer with monochromatic Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5418Å) radiation at voltage and current of 40 kV and 300 mA. The 2 θ was scanned over the range of 5-90° at a rate of 10°/min. The scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) images of the catalysts were obtained on a JEOL JSM-6360 electron microscopy. The surface composition and surface electronic state were analyzed by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) using Kratos Axis Ultra DLD instrument at 160eV pass energy. Al K α radiation was used to excited photoelectrons. The binding energy value of each element was corrected using C_{1s} = 284.6 eV as a reference. The XP spectra of each element was deconvoluted using a Gaussian-Lorentz curve-fitting program.

Catalyst activity measurement The HDO activity tests were carried out in a 100-mL sealed autoclave. The prepared catalyst (0.1 g) without any further treatment, p-cresol (4.8 g) and dodecane (28.5 g) were placed into the autoclave. Air in the autoclave was evacuated by pressurization-depressurization cycles with nitrogen and subsequently with hydrogen. The system was heated to 225 °C, then pressurized with hydrogen to 4.0 MPa and adjusted the stirring speed to 900 rpm. During the reaction, liquid samples were withdrawn from the reactor and analysed by Agilent 6890/5973N GC-MS and 7890 gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector with a 30 m AT-5 capillary column. To separate the reaction products, the temperature in the GC oven was heated to 200 °C to 85 °C with the ramp of 20 °C/min, held at 85 °C for 4.0 min, then heated to 200 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min and kept at 200 °C for 5.0 min. Duplicate or triplicate experiments were performed and the average of these tests was reported here. The errors for conversion values were typically within plus/minus 5.0 mol%. Deoxygenation degree (D. D., wt %) is defined as [1-oxygen content in the final organic compounds / total oxygen content in the initial material] × 100%. Carbon balance is better than 96 \pm 3 % in this work.



Fig. S1 XP spectra of (a) Ni 2p, (b) Co 2p, (c) B 1s, (d) P 2p and (e) C 1s levels of Ni-B-P-O, Ni-B-P-O/RGO, Co-B-P-O and Co-B-P-O/RGO catalysts

Fig. S1 (a) presented three deconvolution peaks at 852.7–853.1 eV, 855.5–856.5 eV and 860.2–861.5 eV, matching to Ni⁰, Ni oxide and Ni hydroxide, respectively. Similarly as Ni 2p

level, Co in Co-B-P-O and Co-B-P-O/RGO catalysts existed in three forms, Co⁰, Co oxide and Co hydroxide. In comparison with the standard binding energies (BE) of Ni⁰ (853.1 eV), Co⁰ (778.0 eV), B⁰ (187.1 eV) and P⁰ (130.0 eV), the binding energy of Ni⁰ or Co⁰ and P⁰ shifted negatively but the binding energy of B⁰ changed positively in Ni-B-P-O and Co-B-P-O, indicating that B⁰ donated part of its electrons to metallic Ni or Co and P⁰ accepted electrons from these metals. The deconvoluted C 1s XPS spectrum (Figure S1e) of Ni-B-P-O/RGO and Co-B-P-O/RGO showed three fitted peaks at around 284.5, 285.5 and 288.8 eV, assigned to C-C/C-C, C-O(epoxy/hydroxyls) and O=C-OH functional groups, respectively. Compared with the C1s XPS spectrum of GO in previous studies, the peak at 287.6 to C=O was not observed, but there still presented some oxygen-containing groups, indicating that GO had been partially reduced by the BH₄⁻ reduction treatment.



Fig. S2 XP spectra of (a) Ni 2p, (b) B 1s and (c) P 2p levels of the used Ni-B-P-O and Ni-B-P-O/RGO catalysts



Fig. S3 SEM images of Ni-B-P-O, Co-B-P-O, Ni-B-P-O/RGO and Co-B-P-O/RGO

catalysts

 Table S1 Comparison of element valence state compositions of fresh and used Ni-B-P-O

Catalysts	Ni (%)		B (%)		P (%)	
	Ni ⁰	Ni ²⁺	B ⁰	B ³⁺	P ⁰	P ⁿ⁺
Ni-B-P-O	46.8	53.2	33.9	66. 1	36. 4	63.6
Ni-B-P-O-Used	35.7	64.3	62.4	37.6	51.3	48.7
Ni-B-P-O/RGO-Fresh	22.8	77.2	15.2	84.8	11.6	88.4
Ni-B-P-O/RGO-Used	22.6	77.4	15.5	84.5	11.9	88.1

and Ni-B-P-O/RGO catalysts

Ratio	Ni-B-P-O-	Ni-B-P-O-	Ni-B-P-O/RGO-	Ni-B-P-O/RGO-
	Fresh	Used	Fresh	Used
P:Ni	0.53	0.30	0.67	0.71
B:Ni	1.05	0.69	1.54	1.56
O:Ni	1.65	1.39	2.49	2.27

Table S2. Comparison of atomic ratios on the surface of fresh and used Ni-B-P-O and Ni-B-

P-O/RGO catalysts