JAAS

## **Technique Note**

## Determination of cadmium in geological samples by aerosol dilution ICP-MS after inverse aqua regia extraction

Qian Xu, Wei Guo\*, Lanlan Jin, Qinghai Guo, Shenghong Hu\*

State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan,

430074, P. R. China

\*Corresponding Author. Tel and Fax: +86-27-67883495

Email address: Wei.Guo@cug.edu.cn (Wei Guo); Shhu@cug.edu.cn (Shenghong Hu).

## **Supplementary Information**

- 1. Table S1. Spectral interferences on Cd isotopes.
- 2. Table S2. The Cd levels in 77 Chinese geological SRMs by the proposed method, µg g<sup>-1</sup>.
- 3. Table S3. Closed pressurized digestion with a mixture of HF + HNO<sub>3</sub>.
- 4. Table S4. The Ag levels in 8 geological SRMs by the proposed method,  $\mu g g^{-1}$
- 5. Fig.S1. Comparison of the SBR of three analysis method for Cd determination under the respective optimized conditions. Methods are: conventional standard mode ICP-QMS after complete digestion, aerosol dilution ICP-QMS after complete digestion, and aerosol dilution ICP-QMS after boiling inverse aqua regia extraction. The certified Cd concentration (1 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>) and the matrix element concentrations (200 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> Mo and 1000 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> Zr) were used to calculate the SBR values. 90% Zr was removed by the boiling inverse aqua regia extraction.
- 6. Fig.S2. Stability of Cd values obtained by aerosol dilution ICP-MS in SRM GSM-1. Mean ± SD, the SD is the standard deviation for ten separate aliquots of the samples analyzed over a period of three months. The error bars in Figure were defined as the standard deviation for 3 replicates in single analysis.

Table S1. Spectral interferences on Cd isotopes

Analyte		Interference ions (Abundance, %)	
Isotope	Abundance (%)	Polyatomic	Isobaric
<sup>106</sup> Cd	1.25	<sup>89</sup> YOH (100)	10601(27.22)
		<sup>90</sup> ZrO (51.45)	<sup>100</sup> Pa (27.33)
		<sup>91</sup> ZrOH (11.22)	
$^{108}\mathrm{Cd}$	0.89	<sup>92</sup> ZrO (17.15)	<sup>108</sup> Pd (26.46)
		<sup>92</sup> MoO (14.53)	
		<sup>93</sup> NbOH (100)	
$^{110}Cd$	12.49	<sup>94</sup> MoO (9.15)	<sup>110</sup> Pd (11.72)
		<sup>94</sup> ZrO (17.38)	
		<sup>94</sup> ZrOH (17.38)	
<sup>111</sup> Cd	12.8	<sup>94</sup> MoOH (9.15)	/
		<sup>95</sup> MoO (15.84)	
		<sup>95</sup> MoOH (15.84)	
<sup>112</sup> Cd	24.13	<sup>96</sup> MoO (16.67)	<sup>112</sup> Sn (0.97)
		<sup>96</sup> ZrO (2.8)	
		<sup>96</sup> ZrOH (2.8)	
<sup>113</sup> Cd	12.22	<sup>96</sup> MoOH (16.67)	<sup>113</sup> In (4.29)
		<sup>97</sup> MoO (9.6)	
		<sup>97</sup> MoOH (9.6)	
<sup>114</sup> Cd	28.73	<sup>98</sup> MoO (24.39)	<sup>114</sup> Sn (0.66)
		<sup>98</sup> RuO (1.87)	
		<sup>99</sup> RuOH (12.76)	
$^{116}Cd$	7.49	<sup>100</sup> RuO (12.6)	<sup>116</sup> Sn (14.54)
		<sup>100</sup> MoO (9.82)	

Geological	SRMs	Description	Determined values (N=3)	Certified values
	GSS-1	Dark-brown earth	$4.10 \pm 0.10$	$4.30 \pm 0.40$
	GSS-2	Chestnut soil	$0.061 \pm 0.004$	$0.071 \pm 0.014$
	GSS-3	Yellow-brown earth	$0.054 \pm 0.001$	$0.060 \pm 0.009$
	GSS-4	Limy red earth	$0.321 \pm 0.003$	$0.350\pm0.060$
	GSS-5	Yellow-red earth	$0.460 \pm 0.020$	$0.450\pm0.060$
	GSS-6	Yellow-red earth	$0.134 \pm 0.007$	$0.130\pm0.030$
	GSS-7	Laterite(latosol)	$0.088\pm0.008$	$0.080\pm0.020$
	GSS-8	Loess	$0.115 \pm 0.008$	$0.130 \pm 0.020$
	GSS-9	Soil	$0.089 \pm 0.002$	$0.100\pm0.020$
	GSS-10	Farming soil	$0.099\pm0.008$	$0.105 \pm 0.013$
	GSS-11	Soil	$0.115 \pm 0.008$	$0.125 \pm 0.012$
	GSS-12	Soil	$0.140 \pm 0.007$	$0.150 \pm 0.020$
	GSS-13	Soil	$0.120 \pm 0.002$	$0.130\pm0.010$
Soil	GSS-14	Soil	$0.200 \pm 0.010$	$0.200\pm0.020$
5011	GSS-15	Soil	$0.213 \pm 0.009$	$0.210\pm0.020$
	GSS-16	Sandy soil	$0.264 \pm 0.004$	$0.250\pm0.020$
	GSS-17	Saline-alkali soil	$0.055 \pm 0.002$	$0.058 \pm 0.011$
	GSS-18	Brown desert soil	$0.140 \pm 0.009$	$0.150\pm0.010$
	GSS-19	Saline-alkali soil	$0.099\pm0.008$	$0.108\pm0.009$
	GSS-20	Sierozem soil	$0.103 \pm 0.005$	$0.108 \pm 0.011$
	GSS-21	The Yellow Sea tidal flat soil	$0.132 \pm 0.002$	$0.139\pm0.008$
	GSS-22	Soil	$0.058 \pm 0.003$	$0.065 \pm 0.012$
	GSS-23	Tidal flat soil	$0.130 \pm 0.010$	$0.150\pm0.020$
	GSS-24	Soil	$0.102 \pm 0.004$	$0.106 \pm 0.007$
	GSS-25	Huai River soil	$0.167 \pm 0.008$	$0.175 \pm 0.010$
	GSS-26	Yangtze River soil	$0.130 \pm 0.005$	$0.140\pm0.010$
	GSS-27	Soil	$0.570 \pm 0.010$	$0.590 \pm 0.040$
	GSS-28	Soil	$0.520 \pm 0.030$	$0.520 \pm 0.030$
	GSD-1	Granite area sediment	$0.075 \pm 0.008$	$0.088 \pm 0.014$
	GSD-2	Stream sediment	$0.060 \pm 0.003$	$0.065 \pm 0.011$
	GSD-3	Porphyry copper deposit sediment	$0.090 \pm 0.010$	$0.100 \pm 0.020$
	GSD-4	Limestone ore district sediment	$0.192 \pm 0.003$	$0.190 \pm 0.020$
	GSD-5	Skarn mining area sediment	$0.840 \pm 0.030$	$0.820 \pm 0.050$
	GSD-6	Porphyry copper deposit sediment	$0.425 \pm 0.013$	$0.430 \pm 0.030$
	GSD-7	Stream sediment	$1.20 \pm 0.06$	$1.05 \pm 0.06$
	GSD-8	Acidic volcano rock area sediment	$0.074 \pm 0.003$	$0.081 \pm 0.012$
	GSD-9	Yangtze River sediment	$0.250 \pm 0.030$	$0.260 \pm 0.040$
	GSD-10	Carbonate area sediment	$1.160 \pm 0.045$	$1.12 \pm 0.08$
	GSD-11	Multi metal mining area sediment	$2.33 \pm 0.05$	$2.30 \pm 0.20$
	GSD-12	Stream sediment	$4.20 \pm 0.30$	$4.00 \pm 0.30$
Sediment	GSD-13	Quartz sand area sediment	$0.031 \pm 0.001$	$0.045 \pm 0.015$
	GSD-14	Sediment	$0.180 \pm 0.010$	$0.200 \pm 0.030$
	GSD-15	Multi metal mining area sediment	$0.325 \pm 0.008$	$0.340 \pm 0.020$
	GSD-16	Metamorphic rock area sediment	$0.084 \pm 0.010$	$0.093 \pm 0.009$
	GSD-17	Stream sediment	$4.50 \pm 0.30$	$4.30 \pm 0.50$
	GSD-18	Granite area sediment	$0.091 \pm 0.005$	$0.095 \pm 0.010$
	GSD-19	Stream sediment	$0.088 \pm 0.007$	$0.120 \pm 0.01$
	GSD-20	Copper nickel mine sediment	$0.216 \pm 0.005$	$0.220 \pm 0.010$
	GSD-21	Acidic volcano rock area sediment	$0.780 \pm 0.04$	$0.760 \pm 0.030$
	GSD-22	Stream addition at	$0.104 \pm 0.002$	$0.105 \pm 0.010$
	GSD-23	Stream sediment	$4.09 \pm 0.07$	$4.80 \pm 0.50$
	GSD-Ia	Granite area sediment	$0.091 \pm 0.006$	$0.110 \pm 0.030$

## Table S2. The Cd levels in 77 Chinese geological SRMs by the proposed method, $\mu g g^{-1}$

JAAS		Q Xu, et al.		
	 	Granita area sadimant	$0.102 \pm 0.004$	$0.108 \pm 0.000$
	CSD - 2a	Conner niekel mine sediment	$0.102 \pm 0.004$ 0.402 ± 0.005	$0.108 \pm 0.009$
	GSD-5a	Limestene and addiment	$0.493 \pm 0.003$	$0.300 \pm 0.000$
	GSD-4a	Limestone area sediment	$0.830 \pm 0.060$	$0.900 \pm 0.050$
	GSD-5a	Skarn mining area sediment	$1.36 \pm 0.08$	$1.3 / \pm 0.10$
	GSD-7a	Lead zinc mine sediment	$5.20 \pm 0.20$	$5.60 \pm 0.60$
	GSD-8a	Acidic volcano rock area sediment	$0.150 \pm 0.010$	$0.160 \pm 0.010$
	GSR-2	Andesite	$0.062 \pm 0.007$	$0.061 \pm 0.014$
	GSR-4	Quartz sandstone	$0.055 \pm 0.005$	$0.060 \pm 0.016$
	GSR-6	Argillaceous limestone	$0.062 \pm 0.030$	$0.070\pm0.020$
Dealr	GSR-8	Lujavritite	$0.660 \pm 0.030$	$0.610\pm0.080$
KOCK	GSR-10	Gabbro	$0.069\pm0.003$	$0.090\pm0.030$
	GSR-11	Rhyolite	$0.150\pm0.010$	$0.140\pm0.020$
	GSR-12	Dolomite	$0.067 \pm 0.003$	$0.070\pm0.020$
	GSR-16	Diabase	$0.370\pm0.010$	$0.390 \pm 0.080$
	<b>GSR-17</b>	Kimberlite	$0.570\pm0.050$	$0.460 \pm 0.200$
	GSR-22	Limestone	$0.121 \pm 0.011$	/
	GSR-23	Limestone	$0.770 \pm 0.040$	/
	GSR-24	Limestone	$0.063 \pm 0.006$	/
	GSR-27	Limestone	$0.550 \pm 0.050$	/
	GSR-28	Limestone	$0.510 \pm 0.030$	/
	GUI-1	Limestone	$0.042 \pm 0.005$	/
	GUI-1	Limestone	$0.160 \pm 0.017$	/
	DIAN-1	Limestone	$0.520 \pm 0.030$	/
	DIAN-2	Limestone	$0.366 \pm 0.027$	/
	DIAN-3	Limestone	$0.209 \pm 0.015$	/

**Table S3.** Closed pressurized digestion with a mixture of  $HF + HNO_3$ 

Step	Description
1	50 mg sample powder (< 200 mesh) was weighed into a Teflon bomb, moistened with a few drops of ultrapure water.
2	1.0 mL HNO <sub>3</sub> +1.0 ml HF were added. The sealed bomb was heated at 190 °C in oven for > 48 h.
3	Open the bomb and evaporate the solution at $\sim 120$ °C to dryness. This was followed by adding 1 ml HNO <sub>3</sub> and evaporating to the second round of dryness.
4	The resultant salt was re-dissolved by adding $\sim$ 3 ml of 30% HNO <sub>3</sub> and resealed and heated in the bomb at 190 °C for >12 h.
5	The final solution was diluted to $\sim 100$ g with mixture of 2% HNO <sub>3</sub> for ICP-MS analysis.

Coologies SDMs	Determined values	Contified values
Geological SRMs	(N=3)	Certified values
GSS-1, Dark-brown earth	0.365±0.004	0.35±0.05
GSS-6, Yellow-red earth	0.180±0.006	0.2±0.02
GSS-7, Laterite (latosol)	0.063±0.009	0.057±0.011
GSD-3, Porphyry copper deposit	0.57+0.01	0.59±0.05
sediment	0.5/±0.01	
GSD-6, Porphyry copper deposit	0.251+0.011	0.36±0.03
sediment	0.551±0.011	
GSR-2, Andesite	0.059±0.003	$0.071 \pm 0.009$
GSR-4, Quartz sandstone	0.057±0.002	$0.062 \pm 0.007$
BCR-2, basalt	0.035±0.001	$0.041 \pm 0.004$

Table S4. The Ag levels in 8 geological SRMs by the proposed method,  $\mu g g^{-1}$ 



**Fig.S1.** Comparison of the SBR of three analysis method for Cd determination under the respective optimized conditions. Methods are: conventional standard mode ICP-QMS after complete digestion, aerosol dilution ICP-QMS after complete digestion, and aerosol dilution ICP-QMS after boiling inverse aqua regia extraction. The certified Cd concentration (1 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>) and the matrix element concentrations (200 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> Mo and 1000 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> Zr) were used to calculate the SBR values. 90% Zr was removed by the boiling inverse aqua regia extraction.



**Fig.S2.** Stability of Cd values obtained by aerosol dilution ICP-MS in SRM GSM-1. Mean  $\pm$  SD, the SD is the standard deviation for ten separate aliquots of the samples analyzed over a period of three months. The error bars in Figure were defined as the standard deviation for 3 replicates in single analysis.