Supplemental Figures

for

"Distal modulation of bacterial cell-cell signalling in a synthetic

ecosystem using partitioned microfluidics"

Xiaolong Luo,^a Chen-Yu Tsao,^b Hsuan-Chen Wu,^b David N. Quan,^{b,c}

Gregory F. Payne,^{b,c} Gary W. Rubloff^{d,e} and William E. Bentley*^{b,c}



Fig. S1: AI-2 activities. (a) Normalized AI-2 activity of collected effluent solutions in Fig.2, and (b) a calibration curve of AI-2 activity versus AI-2 concentration. The asterisk * donates a statistical difference (p < 0.05 in all cases).



Fig. S2: Flow profiles and AI-2 distribution in the transmitter chamber and cellgels composites. (a) Velocity distribution demonstrating the majority of flow passes along cell-gels, (b) reaction rate of transmitter cells resulting from the parameter sweeping technique, and (c) the distribution of AI-2 produced by transmitter cells.



Fig. S3: Evaluation of fluid flow passing assembled hydrogel. (a) A microchannel with an assembled chitosan membrane before the *in situ* assembly of green nanospheres (200 nm in diameter) in alginate as described in Methods. (b) This image illustrates a typical flow profile around the assembled nanosphere-gel composite in the microchannel during the assembly process; the fluid was flowing at 1 μ L/min. The streamline profiles created by the green nanospheres in the flow around the assembled nanosphere-gel composite indicate velocity in the channel, while the absence of particle elongation or trajectories inside the assembled hydrogel indicate minimal movement or flow velocity. (c) Green nanosphere-alginate gel composite after PBS washing.



Fig. S4: AI-2 distribution on the symmetric planes of the reporter chamber according to the mathematical model used to extract AI-2 reaction rates (Fig. 2(c)) in the case of **(a)** enhancer cells, **(b)** clear gel, and **(c)** reducer cells.