Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2015

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

An Efficient ICT based Fluorescence Turn-On Dyad for Selective Detection of Fluoride and Carbon dioxide

Rashid Ali, Syed S. Razi, Ramesh C. Gupta, Sushil K. Dwivedi and Arvind Misra*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi – 221 005 UP INDIA

Corresponding auther: <u>arvindmisra2003@yahoo.com; amisra@bhu.ac.in</u> *Tel:* +91-542-6702503; *Fax:* +91-0542-2368127, 2368175.

Contents

Experimental

Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of **1a** in DMSO- d_{6} .

Figure S2: FT-IR spectrum of 1b.

Figure S3: ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** in DMSO- d_{6} .

Figure S4: FT-IR spectrum of 1.

Figure S5: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_{6} .

Figure S6: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- d_{6} .

Figure S7: FT-IR spectrum of 2.

Figure S8: ESI-MS spectrum of 2.

Figure S9: Normalized (a) Absorption and (b) Emission spectra of probe 2 in different solvents.

Figure S10: Fluorescence spectra of 2 in DMSO-Water mixtures with different water contents. Inset: the dependence of the fluorescence intensity on the composition of water and the corresponding fluorescence images of 2.

Figure S11: (a) Change in absorption as a function of F^- and (b) Job's plot and Benesi-Hildebrand plots based on absorption spectra.

Figure S12: (a) Calibration curve for **2** (b) Calibration sensitivity curve (m) for **2** with F^{-} . ΔI show the change in emission intensity of **2** upon addition of F^{-} .

Figure S13: (a) Change in absorption (5 μ M) and (b) emission (1 μ M, λ_{ex} =368 nm) spectra of **2** at different pHs in HEPES buffer.

Figure S14: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 1.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S15: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 2.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S16: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 3.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S17: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 5.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S18: Fluorescence intensity change of 2+F system (1 μ M, $\lambda ex = 370$ nm) upon bubbling with different concentration of CO₂ gas.

Figure S19: Change in emission spectra of **2** (1 μ M) at (a) $\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm (b) $\lambda_{ex} = 405$ nm, upon bubbling of 25 mL of different gases (H₂S, SO₂, HCl and CO₂) to the solution of **2**+ F⁻ in H₂O-DMSO (20%).

Figure S20: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S21: ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure S22: FT-IR spectrum of 3.

Figure S23: Mass spectrum of 3.

General

Materials and Chemicals. All the reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Pvt. Ltd. stored in a desicator under vacuum containing self indicating silica, and used without any further purification. Solvents were purified prior to use. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Lambda-35 UV-vis spectrophotometer using a quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded in potassium bromide (KBr) on Varian-3100 FT-IR spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra (chemical shifts in δ ppm) were recorded on a JEOL AL 300 FT-NMR (300 MHz) spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on Varian eclipse Carry spectrofluorometer using a quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm) at 600 PMT voltage and slit width 5nm/5nm. All the spectroscopic experiments were carried out at room temperature. The stock solution of 2 $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$ were prepared in DMSO and diluted to obtain 5 µM and 1 µM solution in DMSO for the absorption and fluorescence measurements, respectively. The stock solutions of different anions $(1 \times 10^{-1} \text{ M})$ were prepared by dissolving their tetrabutylammonium salt in MeCN. The anion interaction studies were performed by the addition of 140 equiv. of 1×10^{-1} M of different anions. The absorption and fluorescence titration experiment were performed by the gradual increase of concentration of $F(c = 1 \times 10^{-1})$ ²).

Estimation of Quantum Yields. The quantum yields of probe 2 and 2-F⁻ were estimated with respect to the quinine sulfate ($\Phi = 0.54$) as standard in 0.1M H₂SO₄ solution by secondary methods,¹² using equation (1).

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{I} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{R}} / \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}^2 / \mathbf{n}^2_{\mathbf{R}}$$
(1)

Where Q is the quantum yield, *I* is the integrated intensity, OD is the optical density, and n is the refractive index. The subscript R refers to the reference fluorophore of known quantum yield.

Estimation of Binding Constant. The absorption and fluorescence experimental data were utilized to calculate association constants by Benesi-Hildebrand method²⁴ (B-H method) employing equations (2) for 1:1 stoichiometries.

$$1/(I - I_o) = 1/(I - I_f) + 1/K(I - I_f)[M]$$
(2)

Where K is the association constant, I is the absorbance/fluorescence intensity of the free probe **2**, I_0 is the observed absorbance/fluorescence intensity of the **2-F**⁻ complex, and I_f is the absorbance/fluorescence intensity at saturation level.

Estimation of Limit of detection. The limit of detection (LOD) of 2 for \mathbf{F} was estimated by equation (3).

$LOD = 3\sigma / m$

(3)

Where, σ stands for the standard deviation of blank solution of 2 and m stands for calibration sensitivity toward F⁻ ions in DMSO solution of 2.



Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure S2: FT-IR spectrum of 1a.



Figure S3: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1b in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure S4: FT-IR spectrum of 1b.



Figure S5: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- $d_{6.}$



Figure S6: ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_{6} .



Figure S7: FT-IR spectrum of 2.



Figure S8: ESI-MS spectrum of 2.



Figure S9: Normalized (a) Absorption and (b) Emission spectra of probe 2 in different solvents.



Figure S10: Fluorescence spectra of 2 in DMSO-Water mixtures with different water contents. Inset: the dependence of the fluorescence intensity on the composition of water and the corresponding fluorescence images of 2.



Figure S11: (a) Change in absorption as a function of F^- and (b) Job's plot and Benesi-Hildebrand plots based on absorption spectra.



Figure S12: (a) Calibration curve for **2** (b) Calibration sensitivity curve (m) for **2** with F^{-} . ΔI show the change in emission intensity of **2** upon addition of F^{-} .



Figure S13: (a) Change in absorption (5 μ M) and (b) emission (1 μ M, λ_{ex} =368 nm) spectra of **2** at different pHs in HEPES buffer.



Figure S14: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 1.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S15: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 2.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S16: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 3.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S17: ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** upon addition of 5.0 equiv of F^- in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S18: Fluorescence intensity change of 2+F system (1 μ M, λ ex = 370 nm) upon bubbling with different concentration of CO₂ gas.

The detection limit of $2+F^{-}$ system for CO₂ was estimated from plot of normalized fluorescence change of $2+F^{-}$ system with CO₂ verses Log [CO₂] using equation (4)^{1,2} and found to be 2.29 x 10⁻⁶ M.

Shortreed, M.; Kopelman, R.; Kuhn, M.; Hoyland, B. *Anal. Chem.* 1996, 68, 1414-1418.
 Kim, M. H.; Jang, H. H.; Yi, S.; Chang S.-K.; Han, M. S. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 4838-4840.



Figure S19: Change in emission spectra of **2** (1 μ M) at (a) $\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm (b) $\lambda_{ex} = 405$ nm, upon bubbling of 25 mL of different gases (H₂S, SO₂, HCl and CO₂) to the solution of **2**+ F in H₂O-DMSO (20%).



Figure S20: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure S21: ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3** in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S22: FT-IR spectrum of 3.



MS Spectrum



MS Zoomed Spectrum



Figure S23: Mass spectrum of 3.