Electronic Supplementary Information

One-Pot Pyrolysis of Lithium Sulfate and Graphene Nanoplatelet Aggregates: In situ Formed Li₂S/Graphene Composite for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries

Zhe Li, Shiguo Zhang, Ce Zhang, Kazuhide Ueno, Tomohiro Yasuda, Ryoichi Tatara, Kaoru Dokko, and Masayoshi Watanabe*

Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Yokohama National University, 79-5 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan E-mail: mwatanab@ynu.ac.jp



Figure S1. FESEM images of (a) GNAs and (b) LS-GNAs C. (c) Enlarged FESEM image of LS-GNAs C particle and the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mappings of (d) carbon and (e) sulfur. GNAs consist of agglomerations of thick plates. The resultant LS-GNAs C particles have irregular shapes and particle sizes of $1.0-10.0 \mu m$. Deposition of Li₂SO₄ results in a uniform distribution of Li₂SO₄ on the surface of the GNAs, as indicated by the homogeneous EDS signals of carbon and sulfur.



Figure S2. Tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) images with the corresponding height profiles: (a) GNAs and (b) LS-GNAs H-W. Figure S2a reveals that the thickness of the GNAs is around 89 nm, which is due to intrinsic agglomeration. Figure S2b shows that the thicknesses of LS-GNAs H-W is between 1.0 and 1.3 nm, suggesting that the graphene sheets in this sample are thinner than those in the GNAs.



Figure S3. FESEM images of (a, b) a fresh LS-GNAs H electrode before cycling and (c, d) the electrode after 40 cycles. For the electrode after 40 cycles, no large-sized Li₂S agglomerations were observed, and abundant porous spaces were still preserved, which could enable the electrolyte channel for electrolyte immersion to be unblocked.

Composite	Electrode					
Li ₂ S Content	Li ₂ S	Carbon (wt%)		PVP		
(wt%)	(wt%)	Graphene	Carbon Black	(wt%)		
69.5	60.0	26.3	3.7	10.0		
78.3	60.0	16.6	13.4	10.0		
82.4	60.0	12.8	17.2	10.0		
86.4	60.0	9.4	20.6	10.0		

Table S1. Content of different	components in	Li ₂ S/graphene	composite	-based electrode.
	1	01	1	



Figure S4. Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of different Li₂S/graphene composites in the 1st, 5th, 10th, and 20th cycles at a current rate of 1/12 C (1 C = 1166 mA/g). Graphene can enhance electrical and mechanical connectivity between the individual Li₂S, while carbon black would improve the conductivity of the whole electrode. When the content of graphene is low (e.g., the case of 86.4 wt% Li₂S content in composite), some Li₂S in the composite may agglomerate together, which require more energy to overcome the barrier of lithium extraction and lead to a poor utilization of Li₂S. Meanwhile, Li₂S/graphene composite with Li₂S content of 69.5 wt%, demonstrated a very sluggish initial activation process of Li₂S with a lower charge capacity of 455 mAh/g, due to the lack of carbon black (only 3.7 wt% of carbon black in the electrode).



Figure S5. Cycling performance and Coulombic efficiency of different Li₂S/graphene composites over 40 cycles at a current rate of 1/12 C.



Figure S6. Cycling performance of LS-GNAs H, Li₂S–GNAs mixture, and LS-KB H over 40 cycles at a current rate of 1/12 C.