1 Supporting Information

- 2
- 3
- 4

## 5 Hierarchically micro-patterned nanofibrous scaffolds with nanosized 6 bio-glass surface for accelerating wound healing

- 7 He Xu,<sup>a</sup><sup>†</sup> Fang Lv,<sup>b</sup><sup>†</sup> Yali Zhang,<sup>c</sup><sup>†</sup> Zhengfang Yi,<sup>b</sup>\* Qinfei Ke,<sup>a</sup>\* Chengtie Wu,<sup>c</sup>\*
- 8 Mingyao Liu,<sup>b</sup> Jiang Chang<sup>c</sup>
- 9

## 10 Experimental Section

11 Preparation of patterned electrospun scaffolds with nano-sized bioglass: The blend of 12 PDLLA (Mn=45 kDa, D/L=50/50, Jinan Daigang Biomaterial Co, Ltd, China) and 13 PCL (Mn=80 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich) (1/1, w/w) were dissolved in a mixture of DMF 14 and THF (4:1, v/v), and then stirred for six hours to obtain a homogeneous and stable 15 solution (4.8%, w/v). The solution was fed at a constant rate (0.2 mL  $h^{-1}$ ) by a syringe 16 pump (LSP01-1A, Baoding Longer Precision Pump, China) through a 21 gauge blunt 17 tip needle. A high-voltage power supply (HV30, NanoNC) was used to apply a high 18 voltage (8 kV) between the metal needle and the collector. The needle-to-collector 19 distance was set to 12 cm. The electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds with different 20 micro/nano patterns were fabricated using the same method introduced in our 21 previous work.<sup>1</sup> In addition, electrospun scaffolds with non-woven structures were 22 also prepared using a plane collector as controls. These patterned and non-woven 23 electrospun scaffolds were named as PT and NW, respectively.

24 An akermanite (AKT; Ca<sub>2</sub>MgSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) ceramic disk ( $\emptyset$ 20 × 3 mm) was prepared by 25 sintering the AKT green compacts at 1350 ° C according to a previous study.<sup>2</sup> The 1 AKT disk was used for the targets to coat samples. The prepared electrospun scaffolds 2 were fixed on the sample stage in the chamber of the PLD instrument using double-3 sided adhesive. Then, the sintered AKT disk was ablated using focused laser fluence 4 of 180 MJ with a pulsed repetition rate of 5 Hz at room temperature. The O<sub>2</sub> ambient 5 was set with a pressure of 20 MPa. The treating time was set at 30 min to obtain AKT 6 coatings with a uniform thickness. The prepared patterned and non-woven electrospun 7 scaffolds with nano-sized bioglass coatings were named as PT-NBG and NW-NBG, 8 respectively.

9 Microstructure, composition and surface wettability of the electrospun scaffolds: The 10 morphology and microstructure of the prepared composite scaffolds were observed by 11 scanning electron microscopy (SEM; FEI Magellan 400). The chemical composition 12 and phase structure of the prepared films was characterized by energy dispersive 13 spectrum (EDS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 ADVANCE). The hydrophilicity of 14 the prepared films was investigated by testing the water contact angle (Kruss GmbH 15 DSA 100 Mk 2).

16 *ICP-AES analysis for ionic release:* To measure the ionic release of Si, Ca and Mg 17 from scaffolds, five scaffolds (25×25 mm) were soaked in 10mL of phosphate 18 buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) at 37°C for 1, 3 and 7 days. The solution was refreshed 19 at each time point. The concentrations of released Si, Ca and Mg ions in the PBS were 20 determined by inductive coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) 21 (Varian 715ES). *Cell culture:* Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from
 the human umbilical cord vein according to the descriptions of Bordenave et al. and
 Jaffe.<sup>3,4</sup> The procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chinese Academy
 of Sciences. The obtained cell pellets were re-dispersed in endothelial cell medium
 (ECM) (Sciencell, USA) containing 5% (vol./vol.) FBS and 1% (vol./vol.) endothelial
 cell growth supplement/heparin kit (ECGS/H, Promocell). In this study, cells between
 passages 3 and 5 were employed.

HUVECs proliferation assay: Scaffolds were first sectioned into  $10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$  squares, 8 and then and soaked in 75 vol.% medical alcohol solution for 2 h for sterilization prior 9 10 to seeding cells on the samples. HUVECs were seeded on the surfaces of those scaffolds at a density of  $1.5 \times 10^4$  cells per well in a 24-well culture plate, and then 11 cultured in a humidified 37 °C/5%, CO2 incubator for 6, 24 and 72 hours. Cells 12 cultured on the culture plates were regarded as controls. The medium was replaced 13 14 every 1 days. A CCK-8 assay (Cell counting kit-8, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was 15 performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance of the 16 samples was measured at 450 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader (Synergy 2, Bio-TEK). 17

18 *Immunofluorescence staining:* For further investigating the cytoskeletal and nuclear 19 organizations of HUVECs cultured on different scaffolds, the actin filaments and 20 nuclear of HUVECs were stained utilizing rodamine phalloidin R415 (Invitrogen) and 21 4-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen) according to the supplier's 1 procedure, respectively. A confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP5) was used to image

2 the morphologies of the seeded cells on different scaffolds.

*Animal model of full thickness wound:* All animals were obtained from the National
Rodent Laboratory Animal Resources, Shanghai Branch of China. The mice were
bred and maintained in the animal center in East China Normal University and all of
the experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Investigation Committee of
the Institute of Biomedical Sciences and School of Life Sciences, East China Normal
University.

A total of 55 Male, BALB/c mice weighing approximately 18 g and five mice for 9 10 each group were applied to evaluate the wound healing efficiency. After mice were anesthetized with anesthetic ventilator and the dorsal hair was shaved. Then, we made 11 12 a circular trace using a punch (diameter of 8 mm) and cut out a circular full-thickness 13 wound along the trace. The created wounds were covered with four the prepared 14 scaffolds. Four kinds of groups were (a) no treatment, (b) NW, (c) NW-NBG, (d) PT 15 and (e) PT-NBG, respectively. All the scaffolds were firstly cut into round samples 16 with diameter of 8 mm by using a punch, and then the samples were sterilized by 75% 17 ethanol solution for 30 min and washed thrice with sterilized PBS before applied to cover the wound area. After that the wound area was treated with medical grade 18 19 adhesive bandage for the conventional wound care. After surgery, each mouse was caged individually with free access to water and food under SPF environmental 20 21 conditions so as to avoid antibacterial interference caused by external conditions. Once wounded, digital pictures at a fixed distance and angle of the wound area were
 captured to measurement of wound size change. At 3 and 7days after the surgery,
 mice were sacrificed by inhalation of carbon dioxide. Moreover, the tissue
 surrounding the wounds (2 mm farther) was removed.

5 The method to calculate the wound sizes percent reduction in wound size:

6 Wound size reduction (%) =  $[A_0-A_t]/A_0 \times 100\%$ 

7 Where A<sub>0</sub> and A<sub>t</sub> are the initial wound area and wound area at a time interval "t",
8 respectively.

9 Histomorphometric analysis and Immunohistofluorescence of wound tissue sections:

10 On day 3 and 7, the wounds were excised. After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde 11 for at least 12 h, the samples were dehydrated in graded alcohols, dimethylbenzene 12 and then the specimens were embedded in paraffin.

13 Finally the tissue sections were sectioned into samples with thickness of 5  $\mu$ m. 14 Histological evaluation and immunohistofluorescence assay was performed according 15 to the method previously described.<sup>5</sup> Histological evaluation, the samples were 16 deparaffinized, rehydrated and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for routine 17 histology processing. Masson's trichrome stain was performed for observation of the 18 collagen framework and collagen expression. All images were taken at ×20 19 magnification and Image Pro Plus version 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, 20 USA) was used to quantify the re-epithelization and the collagen per area, the 21 intensity RGB threshold wasset for the blue–green positive colour of collagen and the

1 number of positive pixelswas counted. For every counting, images with ×20 2 magnification were used and five random positions were chose for each image.<sup>5</sup> For immunohistofluorescence staining, the tissue sections (5µm thick) 3 were 4 deparaffinized and boiled for 20 min in sodium citrate buffer. After that the sections 5 were cooled down to room temperature for at least 1 h and incubated with primary 6 antibodies overnight in 4°C environment, including rabbit polyclonal to CD31 antibody (Abcam, product number ab28364). Then sections were washed in PBS 7 solution, incubated with a secondary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature. 8

9 Then, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was added to samples for 5min,
10 avoiding light. Slides were evaluated using an optical microscope (Leica Confocal
11 microscope).

12 *Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction:* The mRNA transcript level of VEGF was 13 assessed by real-time PCR. The primer sequences were designed using the primer 5.0 14 software. The wound tissue total RNA was isolated with Trizol reagent (Invintrogen). 15 1  $\mu$ g of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with reverse transcriptase and 16 oligo dT primers (Promega, Madison, USA). In this study, the expression levels of 17 genes were relative to expression of the housekeeping gene  $\beta$ -actin. The expression of 18 genes for different biological materials treated wounds were compared with those 19 without treatments. The following primer sequences were used:

20 VEGF sense: 5'-CTGCTCTCTTGGGTGCACTGT-3',

21 antisense: 5'-AGATGTCCACCAGGGTCTCA-3'.

PCR cycles were 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 42 cycles with an annealing
 temperature of 58°C.

Western blotting: Protein were prepared from skin of the wound site and lysed with 3 4 RIPA buffer containing protease/phosphotase inhibitors (Roche). Protein concentration was determined using a Bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Scientific) 5 for western blotting. Then the simple boiled for 10 min for protein denaturation. 6 Whole samples were run on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene 7 difluoride membranes (Gibco). Membranes were blocked in 5% milk for 1 h. The 8 membranes were incubated at 4°C overnight using specific antibodies: COL3A1 (H-9 300) (product number sc-28888) and Pro-COL1A2 (Y-18) (product number sc-8787) 10 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), β-actin (Sigma). The visual signals 11 12 were visualized via the Odyssey Western blotting detection system. The  $\beta$ -actin levels 13 were determined by Western blotting to correct the Col 1 and Col 3 protein band 14 intensity.<sup>6</sup>

15 *Statistical analysis:* The results were expressed as the arithmetic mean  $\pm$  standard 16 deviation. Three independent experiments were carried out, and at least five samples 17 per each test were taken for statistical analysis. Statistical significance between two 18 groups was calculated using two-tailed analysis of variance (ANOVA) and performed 19 with a Student's t-test program. Differences were considered significant when p < 20 0.05 (\*)or p < 0.01 (\*\*). In addition, a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test

3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	Supplementary Table S1. The ion	nic release from	n PT-NBG scaffol	ds after soaking	
9	them in PBS solution after 1, 3 and 7 days.				
10					
	Ionic Concentrations (µg/mL)	Si	Ca	Mg	
	Day 1	9.12	12.95	4.36	
	<b>D</b>	1 76	2 10	0.07	
	Day 3	1./6	5.10	0.87	
	Day 3 Day 7	1.76	3.10 1.56	0.87 0.47	
11	Day 3 Day 7	1.05	1.56	0.87	
11 12	Day 3 Day 7	1.05	1.56	0.87	
11 12 13	Day 3 Day 7	1.05	1.56	0.87	
11 12 13 14	Day 3 Day 7	1.05	1.56	0.87	
11 12 13 14 15	Day 3 Day 7	1.05	1.56	0.87	

1 was used for statistical analysis of multiple comparisons. Significant difference was

2 considered when p < 0.05 (\*) or p < 0.01 (\*\*).

17 Supplementary Figures18



1

2 SupplementaryFigure S1. The SEM images of composite scaffolds with different size of 3 holes: (A) Large; (B) Middle; (C) Small. The high magnification SEM images of the nano-4 sized particles on the surfaces of nanofibers in the corresponding scaffolds are shown in (a-c), 5 respectively. The SEM images of the stripe-shaped electrospun scaffolds with altered 6 distances between the stripes: (D) Large; (E) Middle; (F) Small. The high magnification SEM 7 images of the nano-sized particles on the surfaces of nanofibers in the corresponding scaffolds 8 are shown in (d-f), respectively. The SEM images of the square-shaped electrospun scaffolds 9 with different side lengths: (G) Large; (H) Middle; (I) Small. The high magnification SEM 10 images of the nano-sized particles on the surfaces of nanofibers in the corresponding scaffolds 11 are shown in (g-i), respectively.



2 Supplementary Figure S2.(A) EDS of the PT scaffolds; (B) EDS of the PT-NBG scaffolds;
3 (C) XRD patterns of the PT scaffolds and the PT-NBG scaffolds. (D)Different scaffoldswith
4 various water contact angles: NW scaffolds(131.75°), NW-NBG scaffolds(0°), PT
5 scaffolds(124.08°) and PT-NBG scaffolds(0°).



**Supplementary Figure S3.** Proliferation of HUVECs on PT and PT-NBG electrospun scaffolds. (\*\* represent p < 0.01.)



1

2 3

4







6 **Supplementary Figure S5.** Histological sections from the control, PT and PT-NBG 7 groups after 7 days. The edge of the new epidermis is indicated with green dotted line. The 8 left green arrows indicate the borders of the normal area and new epidermis, while the right 9 green arrows indicate the borders of the new epidermis and wound area. Original 10 magnification  $\times$  25; scale bar = 500µm.

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	References		
6			
7	1.	H. Xu, W. Cui. J. Chang, J Appl Polym Sci, 2013, 127, 1550-1554.	
8	2.	C. Wu, J. Chang, S. Ni and J. Wang, J Biomed Mater Res A, 2006, 76, 73-80.	
9	3.	L. Bordenave, C. Baquey, R. Bareille, F. Lefebvre, C. Lauroua, V. Guerin, F.	
10		Rouais, N. More, C. Vergnes, J. Anderson, J Biomed Mater Res, 1993, 27,	
11		1367-1381.	
12	4.	E. Jaffe, Transplant P, 1980, p49.	
13	5.	I. Hsu, L. G. Parkinson, Y. Shen, A. Toro, T. Brown, H. Zhao, R. C. Bleackley	
14		and D. J. Granville, Cell Death Dis, 2014, 5, e1458.	
15	6.	M. M. McFarland-Mancini, H. M. Funk, A. M. Paluch, M. Zhou, P. V.	
16		Giridhar, C. A. Mercer, S. C. Kozma and A. F. Drew, J Immunol, 2010, 184,	
17		7219-7228.	
18			