## **Supporting Information**

## Polyethylenimine-interlayered core-shell-satellite 3D magnetic

## microspheres as versatile SERS substrate

Chongwen Wang<sup>‡ab</sup>, Ping Li<sup>‡ad</sup>, Junfeng Wang<sup>c</sup>, Zhen Rong<sup>a</sup>, Yuanfeng Pang<sup>a</sup>, Jiawen Xu<sup>ac</sup>, Rui Xiao<sup>a\*</sup> and Shengqi Wang<sup>ab\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing 100850, PR China. E-mail: ruixiao203@sina.com.

<sup>b</sup>College of Life Sciences & Bio-Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124. E-mail: sqwang@bmi.ac.cn.

<sup>c</sup> College of Mechatronics and Automation, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410073, PR China.

<sup>d</sup>National Center of Biomedical Analysis, Beijing 100850, PR China.

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



**Figure S1.** TEM images of  $Fe_3O_4$ @Ag microspheres synthesized with different concentration of AgNO<sub>3</sub>: (a) 0.05 mM, (b) 0.1 mM, (c) 0.2 mM and their corresponding SEM images (d), (e), and (f), respectively.



**Figure S2.** SERS spectra of  $10^{-5}$  M PATP absorbed on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Ag microspheres prepared at following concentrations of AgNO<sub>3</sub>: a- 0.05 mM, b- 0.1 mM, and c- 0.2 mM.



**Figure S3(a)** Comparison of the SERS spectra of the R6G solution  $(10^{-5} \text{ M})$  from the Fe3O4@Ag microspheres with different thinkness PEI interlayer: a- 0 nm, b-1.5 nm, c- 8 nm, d- 18nm, and their corresponding SERS spectra (e-h) with ethanol wash three times. **S3(b)** Comparison of the SERS spectra of the 4-MBA solution  $(10^{-5} \text{ M})$  from the Fe3O4@Ag microspheres with different thinkness PEI interlayer: a- 0 nm, b-1.5 nm, c- 8 nm, d- 18nm, and their corresponding SERS spectra (e-h) with ethanol wash three times. **S3(b)** Comparison of the SERS spectra of the 4-MBA solution  $(10^{-5} \text{ M})$  from the Fe3O4@Ag microspheres with different thinkness PEI interlayer: a- 0 nm, b-1.5 nm, c- 8 nm, d- 18nm, and their corresponding SERS spectra (e-h) with ethanol wash three times. The spectra were recorded in the same way, and have been offset vertically for visualization

| assignments                        | SERS Signal | Normal Raman |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                                    | In 785 nm   |              |
| $\delta CS,(a_1)$                  |             | 391          |
| $\gamma CCC,7a(a_1)$               |             | 463          |
| $\gamma CCC, 12(a_1)$              |             | 634          |
| $\delta CC + \gamma CCC, 18a(a_1)$ | 1005        | 1004         |
| $vCS$ ,7 $a(a_1)$                  | 1076        | 1076         |
| $\delta CH,9b(b_2)$                | 1142        | 1140         |
| $\delta CH,9a(a_1)$                | 1172        | 1172         |
| $vCS_+\delta CH$ ,14 $b(b_2)$      | 1392        |              |
|                                    | 1439        |              |
| $vCS_+\delta CH$ ,(3 $b_2$ )       |             |              |
| $vCS_+\delta CH$ ,19 $a(a_1)$      | 1475        | 1482         |
| $vCC,8a(a_1)$                      | 1578        | 1586         |

Raman peaks of PATP and according assignments.



**S1** 

**Figure S4.** Characterization of the synthesized Au@Ag NPs. (a) TEM images of 25nm Au@Ag NPs, (b) TEM images of 50 nm Au@Ag NPs and (c) UV-visible spectra of the two Au@Ag NRs. The inset shows the photograph of 25nm Au@Ag NRs (right) and 50 nm Au@Ag NRs (left).



**Figure S5.** UV-vis spectra of a- Fe3O4@Ag microspheres in 0.1% PVP water solution, b- CSSM (25nm Au@Ag) and c- CSSM (50nm Au@Ag) in water solution.



**Figure S6.** TEM images of (a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Ag-PEI-60nm Au@Ag CSSM, (b) 70nm Au@Ag CSSM. Sparse satellites were observed on the surface of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Ag microspheres, suggesting the Au@Ag NPs larger than 55 nm could not assemble uniformly.

## S7 EF calculation:



Figure S7 (a) Raman spectrum of pure PATP. (b) SERS spectrum of PATP with a concentration of 10-9M.

The SERS enhancement factor (EF) was defined as EF=(I<sub>SERS</sub>/I<sub>bulk</sub>)(N<sub>bulk</sub>/N<sub>SERS</sub>), whereas N<sub>bulk</sub> and N<sub>SERS</sub> is the number of molecules contributed to the Raman and SERS signal, respectively, and I<sub>SERS</sub> and I<sub>bulk</sub> is the respective signal intensity of the related peaks. From the obtained SERS spectra of PATP and Raman spectra of solid PATP on Si substrate, the I<sub>SERS</sub>/I<sub>bulk</sub> is 5.42. The number of PATP molecules in Raman stimulation, N<sub>bulk</sub> =  $\pi$ (d/2)<sup>2</sup>h<sup> $\rho$ </sup>0N<sub>A</sub>/M<sub>0</sub>.  $\rho$ <sub>0</sub> (1.18 g/mL) and M<sub>0</sub> (125.19 g/mol) is the density and molar mass of melted PATP, respectively. Besides, the penetration depth of laser h is measured to be 460 µm. N<sub>bulk</sub> is calculated equal to 2.26×10<sup>19</sup>. As for N<sub>SERS</sub> calculation, the following procedure was used, 0.2 mg Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Ag-PEI-50nm Au@Ag CSSM were added to 1 mL ethanol solution of the PATP at the concentration of 10<sup>-9</sup> M, after vigorous sonication for 15 min, the CSSM were separated from the solution by a magnet, and then the precipitate was transferred onto a clean Si wafer, and analyzed with the Raman spectrometer. Therefore, it could be calculated by N<sub>SERS</sub> is calculated equal to  $6.02 \times 10^{11}$ . Therefore, the N<sub>bulk</sub>/N<sub>SERS</sub> is calculated equal to  $3.75 \times 10^7$ . Finally, the EF value of peaks at 1078 cm<sup>-1</sup> is calculated to be about 2.03 × 10<sup>8</sup>.