- 1 Electronic Supplementary Material

## 3 Ag-NPs@Ge-Nanotapers/Si-Micropillar Ordered Arrays as

- 4 Ultrasensitive and Uniform Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering
- 5 Substrates
- 6 Jing Liu,<sup>†</sup> Guowen Meng,<sup>\*†,‡</sup> Zhongbo Li,<sup>†</sup> Zhulin Huang,<sup>†</sup> Xiangdong Li<sup>†</sup>
- 7 <sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, and Anhui Key Laboratory of Nanomaterials
- 8 and Nanotechnology, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
- 9 Hefei, 230031, China. Fax: (86) 0551-65591434; Tel: (86) 0551-6559274; E-mail:
- 10 gwmeng@issp.ac.cn

<sup>11</sup> <sup>‡</sup>University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China.

- 1
- 2 Part S1. The function of GO.



3

4 Fig. S1. TEM image (a) and SAED pattern (b) of GO. (c) TEM image of GO loading
5 with NiO and the inset is the close-up view. (d) SEM image of Ge-nanotapers/Si6 micropillars achieved without using GO. (e) The EDS spectrum of GO loading with
7 NiO. (f) SERS spectroscopy of 10<sup>-7</sup> M R6G adsorbed on the Ag-NPs@Ge8 nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays (with AgNO<sub>3</sub> immersing duration for 9 min)
9 achieved with (I) and without (II) using GO.

10 Fig. S1a-b are TEM image and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of GO respectively, demonstrating that the GO were multilayer. In order to reveal the 11 function of the GO, the mixed solution of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and GO was centrifuged and the 12 precipitations were annealed in argon gas at 330°C for 5min. The TEM image and 13 EDS spectrum of the above-treated samples demonstrate that GO loaded with a huge 14 15 number of NiO (as shown in Fig. S1c and e respectively). It verifies that GO plays an important role in decorating sufficient catalyst precursor onto the surfaces of Si-16 micropillar arrays, contributing to the growth of flocky Ge-nanotapers. There is no N 17 element in the EDS spectrum because that Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were decomposed into NiO solid 18 and NO<sub>2</sub> gas at the high temperature. And NO<sub>2</sub> gas was discharged finally. Without 19 using GO, only few Ge-nanotapers were grown onto the Si-mircopillars unevenly (Fig. 20

S1d). The SERS performance of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays
 achieved by using GO was higher than that achieved without using GO, as shown in
 Fig. S1f.



4 Part S2. TEM images of Ge-nanotaper and Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotaper.

5

6 Fig. S2. TEM images of Ge-nanotaper with Ni nanoparticle catalyst tip (a), Ag7 NPs@Ge-nanotaper with AgNO<sub>3</sub> immersing durations of 6 min (b), 9 min (c) and 12
8 min (d).

9 From the TEM images of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotaper with different AgNO<sub>3</sub> 10 immersion durations (6, 9, 12 min) (Fig S2 b-d), we can see that the variation of the 11 size of Ag-NPs is little (the disparities are within 15 nm). The average diameters of 12 Ag-NPs with immersing durations of 6, 9 and 12 min are 10, 12 and 22 nm 13 respectively.

Part S3. SERS performance of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays
with varied Ge-nanotaper growth durations.



1

Fig. S3. SEM images of Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays with CVD durations of
40 (a), 30 (b), 20 (c) and 10 (d) min. (e) SERS spectroscopy of 10<sup>-7</sup> M R6G adsorbed
on the Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays (with AgNO<sub>3</sub> immersing
duration of 7 min) with CVD durations of 40 (I), 30 (II), 20 (III) and 10 (IV) min.

7 Part S4. Calculation of the enhancement factor (EF) for detecting PATP.



Fig. S4. Absorption spectra of analytes. (a) The UV-vis absorption spectrum of R6G
aqueous solution, (b) the UV-vis absorption spectrum of PATP ethanol solution.





2 Fig. S5. (I) SERS spectrum of 50 μL 10<sup>-10</sup> M PATP ethanol solution dispersed on 40
3 mm<sup>2</sup> Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays. (II) Raman spectrum of 100 μL
4 10<sup>-3</sup> M PATP ethanol solution dispersed on 70 mm<sup>2</sup> glass substrate.

6 The absorption peak of R6G is at ~525 nm, which is near the laser line 532 nm in 7 the Raman measurements (Fig. S4a). To avoid the overestimation of the enhancement 8 factor caused by the resonance enhancement, 4-aminothiophnol (PATP) which has no 9 absorption peak near the 532 nm, was used as analytes to estimate the enhancement 10 factor (Fig. S4b).

11 The EF of the Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays can be calculated 12 by:

13 
$$EF = \frac{I_{SERS} / N_{SERS}}{I_{Nor} / N_{Nor}} = \frac{I_{SERS}}{I_{Nor}} \times \frac{N_{Nor}}{N_{SERS}}$$

Where I<sub>SERS</sub> and I<sub>Nor</sub> correspond to the intensity of the 1080 cm<sup>-1</sup> band of PATP of 14 15 SERS spectrum and normal Raman spectrum, respectively. N<sub>SERS</sub> and N<sub>Nor</sub> represent the corresponding number of molecules in the focused incident laser spot. Herein, 50 16  $\mu$ L 10<sup>-10</sup> M PATP were dispersed on 5×8 mm<sup>2</sup> Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-17 micropillar arrays for SERS experiment, and 100 µL 10<sup>-3</sup> M PATP were dispersed on 18  $7 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$  glass film for nomal Raman spectra. (In terms of Raman spectrum, PATP 19 powder and dried PATP on the glass substrate are the same. We dispersed PATP 20 ethanol solution onto the glass substrate in order to estimate the number of PATP 21 molecules in the focused incident laser spot.) Thus, the value of Nnor/NSERS was about 22

- 1  $1.1 \times 10^7$ . Fig. S4 shows the SERS spectrum and the Raman spectrum of PATP from
- 2 the above-mentioned substrates. For the band at 1080 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $I_{SERS}/I_{Nor}$  was about 1.5.
- 3 Hence,  $EF=1.5 \times 1.1 \times 10^{7}=1.65 \times 10^{7}$ .
- 4 Part S5. Finite element modeling of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotaper.



5

6 Fig S6. Finite element modeling of the Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotaper. (a) The simulation
7 model. The calculated electric field distribution of the Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotaper with a
8 532 nm linear polarized plane wave illuminated along the z-axis (b) x-axis (c) and y9 axis (d), respectively.

10

13

## 11 Part S6. Uniformity of SERS sensitivity of the optimal Ag-NPs@Ge-



## 12 nanotapers/Si-micropillar hierarchical arrays.



- 15 NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar SERS substrate (a) and points from five Ag-
- 16 NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar SERS substrates of different batches (b).
- 17 Part S7. SERS mapping.

a b 4.5 K counts 4.5 K counts 1 K counts

1

2 Fig. S8. (a) Optical image of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays with

3 shorter Ge-nanotapers (CVD duration of 10 min). (b) SERS mapping of the substrate

4 indicated by the optical image shown in (a) dispersed with 10<sup>-6</sup> M R6G (614 cm<sup>-1</sup>

5 band).

6



7

8 Fig. S9. (a) Optical image of Ag-NPs@Ge-nanotapers/Si-micropillar arrays with
9 longer Ge-nanotapers (CVD duration of 30 min). (b) SERS mapping of the substrate
10 indicated by the optical image shown in (a) dispersed with 10<sup>-6</sup> M R6G (614 cm<sup>-1</sup>
11 band).

12

13