Table of content entry

A newly developed theranostic agent, nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG-RB was fabricated by nanosize reduced graphene oxide/porous silica nanosheets capped with RB-conjugated iron oxide nanoparticles. The nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG-RB demonstrates combination effect of sonodynamic therapy/sonication-induced hyperthermia and magnetic targeting for treating cancer cells.



Supporting information

A theranostic nrGO@MSN-ION nanocarrier with combination effect of sonodynamic therapy and ultrasound hyperthermia for treating tumor

Note-1. Acronyms list:

The acronyms list was added in the revised manuscript. low-power FUS (LFUS) Photodynamic therapy (PDT) sonodynamic therapy (SDT) photothermal (PTT) reactive oxygen species (ROS) photosensitizer (PS) sonication-induced hyperthermia (SHT) magnetic field (MF) mesoporous silica (MSN) reduced graphene oxide nanosheet (nrGO) nanosize reduced graphene oxide/mesoporous silica nanocomposites (nrGO@MSN) iron-oxide nanoparticles (IONs) Fe₃O₄ /nrGO/mesoporous silica nanosheets(nrGO@MSN-ION) Rose Bengal (RB) *Polyethylene glycol (PEG)* mesoporous silica grown on reduced graphene oxide nanosheet capped with Rose Bengal-PEG-conjugated iron-oxide nanoparticles (nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG-RB)

Note-2. Character of graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (nrGO) Atomic force microscope (AFM) image:



Figure S1. Atomic force microscope (AFM) image of (a) rGO and (b) nrGO (c) size measurement of nrGO.

Raman spectrum of graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (nrGO):

Figure S2 showed the Raman spectrum of graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (nrGO) obtained at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. Generally, the intensity of D peak (1350 cm⁻¹) in the Raman spectra of GO is comparable to the G peak (1600 cm⁻¹). In contrast, D/G ratio would increase when GO was transferred to nrGO by thermal reduction. [Ref. 1] Thus, we can confirm that in this experiment, the nrGO used to synthesize nrGO@MSN is reduced graphene oxide but not graphene oxide.



Figure S2. Raman spectrum of graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (nrGO)

FTIR spectra of nrGO@MSN, DMSA-Fe₃O₄ and nrGO@MSN-ION (Figure S3):

For the DMSA-Fe₃O₄, the typical Fe–O stretch is at 601 cm⁻¹, and the peak at 2374 cm⁻¹ is assigned to S–H stretching. In addition, The C=O stretches at 1624 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the stretching mode of carboxylate due to the interaction of the carboxylate anion with the Fe₃O₄ surface. For the nrGO@MSN-ION, Si–OH (1085 cm⁻¹) in the IR spectrum was identified as MSN due to the same as nrGO@MSN. The C=O stretching mode from the carboxylic acid was also detected, but its intensity was reduced because of amide bond formation. The finding indicates that both the N–H (1538 cm⁻¹) and C=O (1636 cm⁻¹) bonds were present because of the chemical reaction between the carboxylic acid group of DMSA-Fe₃O₄ and the amino group of MSN to form the amide linkage.



Figure S3. FTIR spectra of the nrGO@MSN, DMSA-Fe₃O₄, nrGO@MSN-ION and nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG.

Small angle X-ray diffraction pattern:



Figure S4. Small angle X-ray diffraction pattern of nrGO@MSN and nrGO@MSN-ION.

Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms:



Figure S5. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of nrGO@MSN and nrGO@MSN-ION

90 $\mathbf{F}_{3}\mathbf{O}_{4}$ 8 nrGO@MSN-ION 60 4 30 emu/g emu/g 0 0 -30 -4 -60 -8 -90 -10000 10000 Ò -1000 -500) 0 5 Field (Oe) 5<u>0</u>0 1000 Field (Oe)

Field-dependent magnetization curves:

Figure S6. Field-dependent magnetization curves of as-synthesized Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles and nrGO@MSN-ION nanocomposite at room temperature.

Calibration curve of RB concentration:



Figure S7. (a) Calibration curve of RB concentration with the inset showing UV-vis spectra of free RB solution with various concentrations. (b) UV-vis spectrum of nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG and nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG-RB solution.

Ultrasonic images:



Figure S8. Typical US images with SonoVue bubble as compared with nrGO@MSN and nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG nanocomposites.

Structure Type	Particle Size (nm) ^[a]	SBJH(m ² /g) ^[b]	VBJH(cm ³ /g) ^[b]	Pore Size (nm) ^[b]	Fe Conc. ^[c]			
nrGO@MSN	50-100nm	819.14	0.84	1.8	X			
nrGO@MSN-ION	50-100nm	105.47	0.50	2.4	7.4%			
^[a] From transmission electron microscopy (TEM); ^[b] Mesopore surface area (S _{BJH}) and								
meronore	volume (Var)	calculated by	the BIH mo	del from the	BET			

Table S1. Characteristics of nrGO@MSN and nrGO@MSN-ION nanocomposites

mesopore volume (V_{BJH}) calculated by the BJH model from the BE absorption/desorption data. ^[c] Fe concentration of nanocomposites from ICP-Mass.

Note-3. Histological analysis



Figure S9. Histological analysis of liver, kidney, spleen, and lung collected from the nrGO@MSN-ION-PEG-RB-injected mice with and without application of magnetic field (MF) and control untreated mice.

Table S2.	The accumulation ratio of various organs to tumor at 24 h post-treatment
	with and without magnetic field

	Lung/Tumor	Liver/Tumor	Spleen/Tumor	Kidney/Tumor
-MF	1.68	1.56	2.84	1.12
+MF	0.66	0.52	1.11	0.41