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Fig. S1 Schematic illustration of the PVD process for fabricating $\mathrm{PDi} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ junctions with different deposition distances.


Fig. S2 SEM images of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ nanotube arrays: (A) top view; (B) $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ nanotube arrays were scraped off to measure the length; (C) cross-sectional view.


Fig. S3 EDX results of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ nanotube arrays (A), $\mathrm{PDi} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ junction I (B), II (C), III (D), IV (E).


Fig. S4 The magnified XRD spectra of PDi and $\mathrm{PDi} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ junction IV.


Fig. S5 FT-IR spectra of the synthesized samples.


Fig. S6 The fluorescence emission spectra of PDi based samples excited at 450 nm .


Fig. S7 The magnified photocurrent density of PDi in NaOH solution ( 8.1 pH ) under chopped illumination ( $100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ).


Fig. S8 FT-IR spectra of junction III after long-time illumination as a photoanode.
Table S1. Parameters obtained by fitting the impedance spectra using Z-View software.

| Sample | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$, Dark | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | Junction I | Junction II | Junction III | Junction IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{R s}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | 257.1 | 249 | 204.2 | 169.7 | 117.1 | 146.1 |
| $\boldsymbol{R c t}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ | 2831 | 2738 | 2156 | 1905 | 1290 | 1564 |

Table S2. Summary of bi-exponential kinetic fits of the FL decay profile.

|  | $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $B_{1}$ | $\tau_{2}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $B_{2}$ | $\langle\tau\rangle a(\mathrm{~ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDi | 3.811 | 0.0023 | 0.531 | 0.1311 | 0.9 |
| Junction I | 3.421 | 0.006719 | 0.344 | 0.15 | 1.29 |
| Junction II | 5.622 | 0.01055 | 0.682 | 0.08519 | 3.18 |
| Junction III | 6.015 | 0.02144 | 0.952 | 0.05276 | 4.6 |
| Junction IV | 5.551 | 0.015 | 1.552 | 0.0529 | 3.57 |

${ }^{a}\langle\tau\rangle=\left(B_{1} \tau_{1}^{2}+B_{2} \tau_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(B_{1} \tau_{1}+B_{2} \tau_{2}\right)$

