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## Inhibition Assays

Cathepsin L inhibition assay. ${ }^{1}$ Human isolated cathepsin L (Enzo Life Sciences, Lörrach, Germany) was assayed spectrophotometrically at 405 nm and at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reactions were followed over 20 min . Assay buffer was 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, and $0.01 \%$ Brij 35 . An enzyme stock solution of $135 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 20 mM malonate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 5.5,400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 1 mM EDTA was diluted 1:100 with assay buffer containing 5 mM DTT and incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 10 mM stock solution of the chromogenic substrate Z-Phe-Arg-pNA was prepared in DMSO. The final concentration of DMSO was $2 \%$, and the final concentration of the substrate was $100 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(=5.88 K_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The assay was performed with a final concentration of $54 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of cathepsin L. Into a cuvette containing 940 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ assay buffer, inhibitor solution and DMSO in a total volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the substrate solution were added and thoroughly mixed. The reaction was initiated by adding $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the cathepsin L solution.

Cathepsin S inhibition assay. ${ }^{2}$ Human recombinant cathepsin S (Enzo Life Sciences, Lörrach, Germany) was assayed fluorometrically. The wavelength for excitation was 360 nm and for emission 440 nm . The reactions were followed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 20 min . Assay buffer was 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 , $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, and $0.01 \%$ Brij 35 . An enzyme stock solution of $70 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 100 mM MES buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 6.5,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 50 mM L-cysteine, 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100 and $30 \%$ glycerol was diluted $1: 100$ with a 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 6.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.01 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ and 5 mM DTT and incubated for 60 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 10 mM stock solution of the fluorogenic substrate Z-Phe-Arg-AMC was prepared in DMSO. The assay was performed with a final substrate concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(=0.74 K_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, a final concentration of $42 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of cathepsin S , and a final DMSO concentration of $2 \%$. Into a cuvette containing $920 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay buffer, inhibitor solution and DMSO in a total volume of $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the substrate solution were added and thoroughly mixed. The reaction was initiated by adding $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the cathepsin S solution.

Cathepsin K inhibition assay. ${ }^{1}$ Human recombinant cathepsin K (Enzo Life Sciences, Lörrach, Germany) was assayed fluorometrically. The wavelength for excitation was 360 nm and for emission 440 nm . The reactions were followed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 20 min . An enzyme stock solution of $23 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 50 mM sodium acetate $\mathrm{pH} 5.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 5 mM DTT was diluted $1: 100$ with assay buffer ( 100 mM sodium citrate $\mathrm{pH} 5.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.01 \%$ CHAPS) containing 5 mM DTT and incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 10 mM stock solution of the fluorogenic substrate Z-Leu-Arg-AMC was prepared in DMSO. The final concentration of DMSO was $2 \%$, and the final concentration of the substrate was 40 $\mu \mathrm{M}\left(=13.3 K_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The assay was performed with a final concentration of $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of cathepsin K . Into a cuvette containing $960 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay buffer, inhibitor solution and DMSO in a total volume of $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the substrate solution were added and thoroughly mixed. The reaction was initiated by adding $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the cathepsin K solution.

Cathepsin B inhibition assay. ${ }^{1}$ Human isolated cathepsin B (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) was assayed spectrophotometrically at 405 nm and at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reactions were followed over 20 min . Assay buffer was 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 6.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.01 \%$ Brij 35 . An enzyme stock solution of $1.81 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 5.0,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA was diluted 1:500 with assay buffer containing 5 mM DTT and incubated for 30 min at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 100 mM stock solution of the chromogenic substrate Z-Arg-Arg-pNA was prepared in DMSO. The final concentration of DMSO was $2 \%$ and the final concentration of the substrate was $500 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(=0.45 K_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The assay was performed with a final concentration of $72 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of cathepsin B. Into a cuvette containing $960 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ assay buffer, inhibitor solution and DMSO in a total volume of $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the substrate solution were added and thoroughly mixed. The reaction was initiated by adding $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the cathepsin B solution.

## Molecular Docking



Figure S1. Overlay cathepsin S. Shown is an overlay of the predicted binding mode of probe 6 (cyan) and the co-crystallized nitrile inhibitor (orange) within the active site of cathepsin S (PDB ID 2FQ9) ${ }^{3}$ in grey surface representation.


Figure S2. Overlay cathepsin K. An overlay of the predicted binding mode of probe $\mathbf{6}$ (cyan) and the crystallographic cyanamide inhibitor (green) within the active site of cathepsin K (PDB ID 1YK7) ${ }^{4}$ in grey surface representation is depicted.


Figure S3. Active site comparison. An overlay of the active site residues of cathepsin $S$ (cyan) and cathepsin K (orange) is depicted. Residues responsible for the different size of the S 3 pockets are circled in red.


Figure S4. Active site comparison with inhibitor. An overlay of the active site residues of cathepsin S (cyan) and cathepsin K (orange) is depicted. Putative binding modes of probe $\mathbf{6}$ within the active sites of cathepsin $S$ and $K$ are shown in magenta and green, respectively.

## UV and Fluorescence Spectra

The UV- and fluorescence spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ( $1 \%$ DMSO) and PBS (5\% DMSO). A 10 mM stock solution of compound $\mathbf{6}$ in DMSO was diluted accordingly. The UV spectra were recorded at a final compound concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on a Varian Cary 50 Bio apparatus. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a flx-Xenius, Safas Monaco, spectrofluorometer at a final compound concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure S5. UV spectra of compound $6(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$.


Figure S6. Fluorescence spectra of compound $6\left(1 \mu \mathrm{M}, \lambda_{\text {ex }} 450 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. A PMT value of 343 V was adjusted.


Figure S7. UV spectra of compound $7(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$.


Figure S8. Fluorescence spectra of compound $7\left(1 \mu \mathrm{M}, \lambda_{\text {ex }} 450 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. A PMT value of 343 V was adjusted.

## Cell Viability after Treatment with Probe 7



Figure S9. Results of the MTT assay for probe 7. HEK 293 cells were incubated for 3 h with compound 7 at different concentrations. Data of five independent experiments are presented as means $\pm$ SD. ANOVA revealed significant differences of the means between untreated cells and cells treated with 4, 8, 10 and 15 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. The p values were calculated by using post-hoc analysis (Tukey's test; ' $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{s}$.' means 'not significant'). Only statistically significant differences are indicated except for compound concentrations of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, which have been used in cell-based uptake experiments.

## Experimental HPLC Procedure for $\log D_{7.4}$ Estimation



Figure S10. HPLC chromatogram of a mixture of five calibration compounds (atenolol $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, metoprolol tartrate $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, labetalol hydrochloride $0.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, diltiazem hydrochloride 0.075 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and triphenylene $0.01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

Table S1. Obtained $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ values of the calibration compounds and $\log D_{7.4}$ values

| compound | $\begin{gathered} \text { run a } \\ t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { run b } \\ t_{\mathrm{R}}(\min ) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { run } \mathrm{c} \\ t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mean } \\ t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | lit. ${ }^{5} \log D_{7.4}$ | $\log D_{7.4}\left(\right.$ from $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| atenolol | 1.858 | 1.842 | 1.850 | 1.850 | -1.38 | -1.03 |
| metoprolol | 2.183 | 2.175 | 2.175 | 2.178 | -0.06 | 0.11 |
| labetalol | 2.342 | 2.333 | 2.333 | 2.336 | 1.07 | 0.66 |
| diltiazem | 2.817 | 2.808 | 2.808 | 2.811 | 2.70 | 2.32 |
| triphenylene | 3.800 | 3.792 | 3.792 | 3.795 | 5.49 | 5.75 |



Figure S11. Calibration line using the standards listed in Table S 1 for calculating $\log D_{7 \text {.4. }}$. Linear regression gave the equation $\log D_{7.4}=3.485 t_{\mathrm{R}}-7.476$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.981$.


Figure S12. HPLC chromatogram of a mixture of five calibration compounds spiked with probe $\mathbf{6}$ (top: UV detection, bottom: fluorescence detection). Concentrations of the calibration compounds were as in Figure S10. The concentration of $\mathbf{6}$ was $0.014 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Probe $\mathbf{6}$ was detected by means of fluorescence detection (bottom). The mean retention time $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ was 2.906 min (three separate runs). According to the equation $\log D_{7.4}=3.485 t_{\mathrm{R}}-7.476$, a $\log D_{7.4}$ value of 2.65 was calculated.


Figure S13. HPLC chromatogram of a mixture of five calibration compounds spiked with probe 7 (top: UV detection, bottom: fluorescence detection). Concentrations of the calibration compounds were as in Figure S10. The concentration of 7 was $0.0017 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}(2.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Probe 7 was detected by means of fluorescence detection (bottom). The mean retention time $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ was 2.805 min (three separate runs). According to the equation $\log D_{7.4}=3.485 t_{\mathrm{R}}-7.476$, a $\log D_{7.4}$ value of 2.30 was calculated.
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## ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectra

(R)- $N$-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-S-(isobutyl)cysteinylsulfone-1-aminocyclopropanecarbonitrile (11)



## (R)-S-(Isobutyl)cysteinylsulfone-1-aminocyclopropanecarbonitrile methanesulfonate (12)



2,3,6,7-Tetrahydro-11-oxo-1H,5H,11H-[1]benzopyrano-[6,7,8-ij]quinolizine-10-carboxylic acid (14)



## (R)- $N$-[(2,3,6,7-Tetrahydro-11-oxo-1H,5H,11H-[1]benzopyrano-[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-10-yl)carbonyl]-

 $S$-(isobutyl)cysteinylsulfone-1-aminocyclopropanecarbonitrile (6)


Benzyl 2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethylcarbamate (16)


$N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)acetate tert-butyl ester (17)

tert-Butyl 2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)acetate (18)

tert-Butyl
$N-[(2,3,6,7$-tetrahydro-11-oxo- $1 H, 5 H, 11 H-[1] b e n z o p y r a n o-[6,7,8-i j] q u i n o l i z i n-10-$ yl)carbonyl]-2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)acetate tert-butyl ester (19)


(R)- $N$-[ $N$-[(2,3,6,7-Tetrahydro-11-oxo-1H,5H,11H-[1]benzopyrano-[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-10-yl)carbonyl]-2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)acetyl]-S-(isobutyl)cysteinylsulfone-1aminocyclopropanecarbonitrile (7)



