# Kumada Catalyst Transfer Polycondensation for 

 Controlled Synthesis of Polyfluorenes Using 1,3-Bis(diarylphosphino)propanes as Ligands${ }^{a}$ State Key Laboratory of Polymer Physics and Chemistry, Changchun Institute ofApplied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130022, P. R. China.${ }^{b}$ Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Aiguo Sui and Xincui Shi contributed equally to this work and should be consideredas the co-first author.

E-mail: yhgeng@ciac.ac.cn

Experimental section ..... 2-6
Fig. S1-6 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of L1-L3 ..... 7-8
Table S1-S4.The polymerization results with different amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ ..... as
$\qquad$catalysts.9-11
Table S5. Synthesis of PF8-b-P3HTs with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ complexes as the catalysts. 11Fig. S7 The GPC curves of PF8-b-P3HTs with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ complexes ascatalyst11
Fig. S8 Optimized structures of fluorene- LNiBr ..... 11

## Experimental section

## Measurements

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a BrukerAV400 MHz spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR ( 162 MHz ) spectra were measured using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ or THF as solvent and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as an outer standard. GC-MS measurements were performed on an Agilent 5975/6890N, which was equipped with an Agilent HP-5 column ( 30 m ). The internal reference was 1,4-dihexyloxybenzene or 1,4-dioctyloxybenzeneused. The $M_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{S}$ and PDIs of polymers were measured with a Waters 2414 system equipped with Waters HT4 and HT3 column-assembly and a Waters 2414 refractive index detector using THF as eluent (flow rate: $1.00 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) and polystyrene as standard at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were recorded on a Kompact MALDI Mass Spectrometer in a linear mode with anthracene-1,8,9-triol as matrix.

## Materials

THF was dried over sodium and distilled from sodium-benzophenone before used. Isopropylmagnesium chloride ( ${ }^{i} \mathrm{PrMgCl}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ) and LiCl were purchased from Acros. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ (95\%) was purchased from Aladdin and used directly without further purification. The ligand dppp was bought from Pacific Chem Source, Inc. and recrystallized from alcohol. 2-Bromo-7-iodo-9,9-dioctylfluorene, 2-bromo-5-iodo-3-hexylthiophene, 1,4-dihexyloxybenzene and 1,4-dioctyloxybenzene were synthesized according to literature. ${ }^{1-3}$

## Synthesis of ligands L1, L2 and L3

Bis(4-methylphenyl)-phosphine oxide (1a). Into a dried 250 mL Schlenk flask containing $\mathrm{Mg}(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 42.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) solution of $p$-bromotoluene $(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 35.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at ambient temperature. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 hours with stirring. Then, diethylphosphite ( $1.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via a syringe to the solution, and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Aqueous ammonium chloride solution was introduced to the solution. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic phase was washed
with sodium thiosulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and sodium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ aqueous solutions and then brine. The organic extracts were dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $(1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as eluent to afford 1a as a white powder in a yield of $91 \%(2.30 \mathrm{~g})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=636 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 21.52(\mathrm{~s})$.

Bis(3-methylphenyl)-phosphine oxide (1b). The procedure identical to the preparation of 1a was employed for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 b}$ in a yield of $87 \%(2.00 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=636 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.00-6.98 (m, 4H), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 20.55(\mathrm{~s})$.

Bis-(2-methylphenyl)-phosphine oxide (1c). The procedure identical to the preparation of 1a was employed for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 c}$ in a yield of $88 \%(2.03 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=381 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.2$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.38(s, 6H). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 17.82(\mathrm{~s})$.

1,3-Bis(di(4-methylphenyl)phosphinyl)propane (2a). Into a solution of 1a (1.83 $\mathrm{g}, 7.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 7.95 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirred for 30 minutes, 1,3-dibromopropane was introduced and the mixture was stirred for 4 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with water for extraction with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $(10 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as eluent to afford 2a as a white powder in a yield of $95 \%(1.89 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.59-7.50 (m, 8H), 7.25-7.18 (m, 8H), 2.47-2.36 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 33.32(\mathrm{~s})$.

1,3-Bis(di(3-methylphenyl)phosphinyl)propane (2b). The procedure identical to the preparation of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was employed for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 b}$ in a yield of $92 \%(1.84 \mathrm{~g})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 32.34(s).

1,3-Bis(di(2-methylphenyl)phosphinyl)propane (2c). The procedure identical to the preparation of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was employed for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 c}$ in a yield of $91 \%(1.82 \mathrm{~g})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.63-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.47-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \operatorname{NMR}(162$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \quad \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 32.25(\mathrm{~s})$.

1,3-Bis(di(4-methylphenyl)phosphino)propane (L1). Into a refluxed solution of 2a $(1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and di(p-nitrophenyl) phosphoric acid ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 24.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 40 mL ) was added diethoxymethylsilane ( $3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for additional 36 hours, and then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, KOH in methanol ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly. After stirred for 3 hours, the mixture was poured into water for extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate as eluent to afford L1 as a white powder in a yield of $81 \%(1.16 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\left.162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-6.26(\mathrm{~s})$.

1,3-Bis(di(3-methylphenyl)phosphino)propane (L2). The procedure identical to the preparation of L1 was employed for the synthesis of L2 in a yield of $68 \%(0.82 \mathrm{~g})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.38-7.28 (m, 8H), 7.03-6.88 (m, 8H), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\left.162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ -4.70 (s).

1,3-Bis(di(3-methylphenyl)phosphino)propane (L3). The procedure identical to the preparation of L1 was employed for the synthesis of L3 in a yield of $78 \%(0.94 \mathrm{~g})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.25-7.20 (m, 4H), 7.08-6.93 (m, 12H), $2.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\left.162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$
-26.06 (s).
Preparation of the catalyst $\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ with $\mathbf{N i}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathbf{d p p p}$ as an example. A solution of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}(20.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,3-bis(diaryllphosphino)propane ( $33.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0816 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes before use.

Preparation of M1. Into a mixture of 2-bromo-7-iodo-9,9-dioctylfluorene ( 594.4 mg , 1.0 mmol ), 1,4 -dihexyloxybenzene ( 0.139 g 0.5 mmol ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(42.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 20 mL ) was added ${ }^{i} \mathrm{PrMgCl}(2.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in THF, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour and ready for use. The conversion of 2-bromo-7-iodo-9,9-dioctylfluorene was $94.0 \%$ as determined by GC-MS.

Preparation of M2. Into a mixture of 2-bromo-5-iodo-3-hexylthiophene ( 186.5 mg , 0.5 mmol ), 1,4-dioctyloxybenzene ( 83.6 mg 0.25 mmol ), LiCl ( $21.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and THF ( 16 mL ) was added ${ }^{i} \mathrm{PrMgCl}(2.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in THF, $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The conversion of 2-bromo-5-iodo-3-hexylthiophene was $96.5 \%$ as determined by GC-MS.

General polymerization procedure with $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{dppp}$ as an example. Into a THF solution of M1 as prepared above was added $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{dppp}(0.125 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.005 mmol ) in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours, and then quenched by adding $5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ aqueous HCl . The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and the organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solution was concentrated to about 5 mL , and then precipitated into methanol. The precipitation was collected by filtration and then dried in vacuum to afford the polymer in a yield of $70 \%(272 \mathrm{mg}) . M_{\mathrm{n}}=80.0 \mathrm{kDa}, \mathrm{PDI}=1.56 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.86-7.83 (br, 2 H ), 7.72-7.68 (br, 4 H ), 2.24-1.90 (br, 4 H ), 1.29-0.99 (m, 20 H ), $0.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.

Polymerization kinetics with $1 \mathbf{m o l} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ as an example. Into a THF solution of M1 as prepared above was added $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2(0.125 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$ in

THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The polymerization solution ( 2 mL ) was taken out at $0.5,2,5.5,10,15$ and 20 min , respectively. The solutions were quenched and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ for measuring conversion and molecular weight at different polymerization time.

Synthesis of block polymers with P7 as an example. Into a solution of M1 ( 10 mL , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{dppp}(0.125 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 minutes, the solution of M2 was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 1 hour and then quenched by adding $5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ aqueous HCl for extraction with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic extracts were washed with brine and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solution was concentrated to about 5 mL , and then precipitated in methanol. The precipitation was collected by filtration and dried in vacuum to afford P7 in a yield of 69\% (191 mg).
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Fig. S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L1.


Fig. S2 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of L1.


Fig. S3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L2.


Fig. S4 ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum of L2.


Fig. S5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L3.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 6}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of L3.

Table S1. The polymerization results with different amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{dppp}$ as catalyst. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $[\mathrm{Ni}] /[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | PDI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Yield $^{\mathrm{c}}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 2.8 | 1.24 | 55 |
| 2 | 6 | 8.9 | 1.17 | 79 |
| 3 | 4 | 14.1 | 1.22 | 74 |
| 4 | 2 | 29.5 | 1.22 | 76 |
| 5 | 1 | 62.2 | 1.23 | 80 |
| $6^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.5 | 80.0 | 1.56 | 70 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All polymerizations were carried out at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the presence of 1 equiv. LiCl with $[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}=0.05 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated by GPC with polystyrene as the standard and THF as eluent. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Yield after precipitation. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Polymerization for 2 h .

Table S2. The polymerization results with different amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 1$ as catalyst. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $[\mathrm{Ni}] /[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | PDI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Yield $^{\mathrm{c}}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 2.8 | 1.25 | 76 |
| 2 | 6 | 6.5 | 1.21 | 82 |
| 3 | 4 | 11.2 | 1.22 | 82 |
| 4 | 2 | 27.5 | 1.25 | 79 |
| 5 | 1 | 58.7 | 1.28 | 81 |
| $6^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.5 | 78.4 | 1.38 | 74 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All polymerizations were carried out at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the presence of 1 equiv LiCl with $[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}=0.05 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated by GPC with polystyrene as the standard and THF as eluent. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Yield after precipitation. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Polymerization for 2 h .

Table S3. The polymerization results with different amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 3$ as catalyst. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $[\mathrm{Ni}] /[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | PDI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Yield $^{\mathrm{c}}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 3.7 | 1.26 | 71 |
| 2 | 6 | 8.7 | 1.34 | 72 |
| 3 | 4 | 15.8 | 1.70 | 78 |
| 4 | 2 | 22.8 | 1.73 | 73 |
| 5 | 1 | 25.3 | 1.85 | 76 |
| $6^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.5 | 27.3 | 1.87 | 77 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All polymerizations were carried out at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the presence of 1 equiv LiCl with $[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}=0.05 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated by GPC with polystyrene as the standard and THF as eluent. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Yield after precipitation. ${ }^{\text {d Polymerization for } 2 \mathrm{~h} .}$

Table S4. The polymerization results with different amount of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ as catalyst. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $[\mathrm{Ni}] /[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | PDI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Yield $^{\mathrm{c}}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 4.0 | 1.33 | 74 |
| 2 | 6 | 7.4 | 1.30 | 73 |
| 3 | 4 | 14.1 | 1.25 | 77 |
| 4 | 2 | 29.1 | 1.31 | 81 |
| 5 | 1 | 56.4 | 1.32 | 81 |
| $6^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.67 | 76.1 | 1.37 | 79 |
| $7^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.5 | 91.1 | 1.44 | 82 |
| $8^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.33 | 101.0 | 1.70 | 76 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{All}$ polymerizations were carried out at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the presence of 1 equiv LiCl with $[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}=0.05 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated by GPC with polystyrene as the standard and THF as eluent. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Yield after precipitation. ${ }^{\text {d Polymerization for } 2 \mathrm{~h} \text {. }}$

Table S5. Synthesis of PF8-b-P3HTs with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ as the catalyst. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{Ni}] /[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0}} \\ (\mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ (\min ) \end{gathered}$ | $[\mathrm{M} 1]_{0} /[\mathrm{M} 2]_{0}$ | PF8 |  | PF8-b-P3HT |  |  | Yield$(\%)^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{\text {c }}$ | PDI ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Polymer | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{\text {c }}$ | PDI ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 1 | 4 | 5 | 1:4 | 13.4 | 1.17 | P13 | 36.4 | 1.21 | 73 |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 1:2 | 28.8 | 1.22 | P14 | 51.7 | 1.25 | 70 |
| 3 | 1 | 10 | 1:1 | 55.1 | 1.29 | P15 | 78.4 | 1.36 | 75 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All polymerizations were carried out in the presence of 1 equiv LiCl at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for M1 and room temperature for M2 and was polymerized for 1 h except $\mathbf{P} 15$ for 2 h . ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The intial polymerization time for M1. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Estimated by GPC with polystyrene as the standard and THF as eluent. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Yield after precipitation.


Fig. S7. The GPC curves of PF8-b-P3HTs with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2} / \mathrm{L} 2$ as the catalyst (a) P13;
(b) P14; (c) P15.
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Fig. S8 Optimized structures of fluorine- LNiBr

