Electronic Supplementary Information for:

Perylene and naphthalene diimide polymers for all-polymer solar cells: A comparative study of chemical copolymerization and physical blend

Shuixing Dai,^{*ab*} Pei Cheng,^{*cd*} Yuze Lin,^{*c*} Yifan Wang,^{*cd*} Lanchao Ma,^{*cd*} Qidan Ling, **^a* and Xiaowei Zhan*^{*b*}

^a Fujian Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, China.

^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Key Laboratory of Polymer Chemistry and Physics of Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China. E-mail: <u>xwzhan@pku.edu.cn</u>

^c Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences and Key Laboratory of Organic Solids, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

^d University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

$D/A (w/w)^a$	$V_{\rm OC}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b			
3.5:1	0.618	2.81	62.8	1.09 (1.07)			
3:1	0.621	3.40	65.4	1.38 (1.31)			
2.5:1	0.611	2.99	61.3	1.12 (1.11)			
1.5:1	0.606	1.90	60.8	0.70 (0.68)			
1:1	0.620	0.78	55.5	0.27 (0.26)			
1:1.5	0.592	0.18	33.9	0.04 (0.03)			
^a Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N ₂ atmosphere. ^b Average PCE in							
brackets.							

Table S1 Photovoltaic performance of P3HT: PPDI100 blend with different weight ratio

Table S2 Photovoltaic performance of P3HT: PPDI75-co-NDI25 blend with different weight ratio

D/A (w/w) ^a	$V_{\rm OC}$ (V)	$J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b		
3:1	0.639	2.84	55.3	1.00 (0.95)		
2.5:1	0.632	2.90	60.3	1.11 (1.05)		
2:1	0.638	2.55	56.4	0.92 (0.87)		
1:1	0.629	2.45	57.6	0.89 (0.84)		
1:2	0.620	2.37	36.6	0.54 (0.52)		
^a Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N ₂ atmosphere. ^b Average PCE in						

brackets.

Table S3 Photovoltaic performance of P3HT: PPDI50-co-NDI50 blend with different weight ratio

D/A (w/w) ^a	$V_{\rm OC}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b
3:1	0.649	3.22	61.3	1.28 (1.21)
2.5:1	0.652	3.29	61.4	1.32 (1.29)
2:1	0.650	2.35	55.3	0.84 (0.75)
1:1	0.610	1.59	56.1	0.54 (0.49)
1:2	0.595	1.04	58.7	0.36 (0.33)

^{<i>a</i>} Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N ₂ atmosphere. ^{<i>b</i>} Average PCE i	in
brackets.	

	D/A (w/w) ^a	$V_{\rm OC}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b			
-	3.5:1	0.682	2.96	54.2	1.09 (1.07)			
	3:1	0.682	3.62	62.4	1.54 (1.45)			
	2.5:1	0.681	3.24	65.1	1.44 (1.36)			
	1.5:1	0.680	2.97	63.5	1.28 (1.22)			
	1:1	0.677	2.82	57.8	1.10 (1.04)			
	1:1.5	0.663	2.63	48.3	0.84 (0.77)			
	^a Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N ₂ atmosphere. ^b Average PCE in							
	brackets.							

Table S4 Photovoltaic performance of P3HT: PPDI25-co-NDI75 blend with different weight ratio

Table S5 Photovoltaic performance of P3HT: PNDI100 blend with different weight ratio

D/A (w/w) ^a	$V_{\rm OC}$ (V)	$J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻²)	FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b			
2.5:1	0.707	2.18	51.6	0.80 (0.71)			
2:1	0.706	2.87	55.3	1.12 (1.06)			
1.5:1	0.716	1.95	59.7	0.84 (0.80)			
1:1	0.720	1.00	40.6	0.29 (0.28)			
1:1.5	0.678	0.69	34.5	0.16 (0.15)			
^a Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N ₂ atmosphere. ^b Average PCE in							
brackets.							

Table	S6	Photovoltaic	performance	of P3HT:	PPDI-co-NDI	binary	blend	and	P3HT:	PPDI1	00:
PNDI1	00 t	ternary blend	with different	weight rati	.0						

blend	D/A (w/w) ^a	$V_{\rm OC}$ (V) $J_{\rm SC}$ (mA cm ⁻		FF (%)	PCE (%) ^b
			²)		
P3HT: PPDI75-co-NDI25	2.5:1	0.632	2.90	60.3	1.11 (1.05)

P3HT: PPDI100:	2 5.0 75.0 25	0 609	1 10	40.0	0.74(0.72)	
PNDI100	2.5:0.75:0.25	0.008	2.48	49.0	0.74 (0.72)	
P3HT: PPDI50-co-NDI50	2.5:1	0.652	3.29	61.4	1.32 (1.29)	
P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100	2.5:0.5:0.5	0.632	2.25	55.4	0.79 (0.74)	
P3HT: PPDI75-co-NDI25	3:1	0.682	3.62	62.4	1.54 (1.45)	
P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100	3:0.25:0.75	0.656	2.47	50.9	0.83 (0.80)	
a. 1: (150.00 C 10	• • • • •	1 64		1 .		

^{*a*}Annealing at 150 °C for 10 min in N₂ atmosphere. ^{*b*}Average PCE in brackets.



Fig. S1 TGA curves of PPDI-co-NDI copolymers.



Fig. S2 DSC curves of PPDI-co-NDI copolymers.



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of films of PPDI-co-NDI copolymers.



Fig. S4 Photoluminescence spectra of P3HT neat film, P3HT: PPDI-*co*-NDI binary blended films and P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100 ternary blended films (excitation at 520 nm).



Fig. S5 *J*–*V* characteristics of device based on P3HT: PPDI-*co*-NDI binary blend and P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100 ternary blend.



Fig. S6 *V*_{*OC*} of P3HT: PPDI-*co*-NDI binary blend (black line) and P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100 ternary blend (red line) vs. NDI feed ratio.



Fig. S7 *J*_{SC} of P3HT: PPDI-*co*-NDI binary blend (black line) and P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100 ternary blend (red line) vs. NDI feed ratio.



Fig. S8 FF of P3HT: PPDI-*co*-NDI binary blend (black line) and P3HT: PPDI100: PNDI100 ternary

blend (red line) vs. NDI feed ratio.





Fig. S9 J-V characteristics measured under dark for (a) hole-only and (b) electron-only device based on P3HT: polymer acceptor blended film.



Fig. S10 The contact angles (θ) of PPDI-*co*-NDI neat films spin-coated on a quartz substrate.