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## 1. Synthesis of dipolarophiles with terminal alkynes

Dipolarophile $\mathbf{1 ~ 2}^{\sim} \mathbf{2}$ and 3, $\mathbf{5}$ were synthesized and used, as described previously. ${ }^{1}$

## 1,1,1-Tris[(propioloyloxy)methyl]propane 4

To a solution of 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)propane ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.149 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and propiolic acid ( $41.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.597 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in benzene ( 800 mL ) was added $p$-Toluenesulfonic acid ( $p$ - $\mathrm{TsOH}, 3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was heated up to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days using a Dean-Stark trap to eliminate $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was subjected to column chromatography with EtOAc-hexane (1/1) as the eluent to obtain the desired product in a $35 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.2,22.7,40.6,65.1,74.0,75.6,152.1$.

## 1,3,5-Tripropargyloxybenzene 6

Propargyl bromide ( $53.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.450 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of phloroglucinol ( $14.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.111 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(62.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.450 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 days and then concentrated in a vacuum. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was separated, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in a vacuum. The residue was subjected to column chromatography with EtOAc-hexanes (1/8) as an eluent to obtain the desired product in $65 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 55.9,75.7,78.2,95.4,159.3$.

## 2,4,6-Tripropargyloxy-1,3,5-triazine 7

Propargyl alcohol ( $18.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.334 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly to a solution of cyanuric chloride ( $15.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.085 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(36.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.263$ $\mathrm{mol})$ in DMF ( 100 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 days and then concentrated in a vacuum. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was separated, washed with dilute $10 \%$ citric acid, and washed with
saturated brine. Then, it was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in a vacuum. To the residue was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solid which was not dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated in a vacuum to obtain the desired product in $71 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 55.9,56.9,75.9,172.4$.

## 1,1,1,-Tris[(propargyloxy)methyl]propane 8

Propargyl bromide ( $59.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)propane ( $13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{KOH}(57.0 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMSO $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 days. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was separated, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in a vacuum. The residue was subjected to column chromatography with EtOAc-hexanes ( $1 / 30$ ) as an eluent to obtain the desired product in $58 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.5$, $22.7,42.8,58.6,70.3,74.0,80.1$.
2. Stress-strain curves of the azide-bearing networkscrosslinked via triazole moieties or both triazole and urethane moieties.


Fig. S1 Stress-strain curves of azide-bearing networks crosslinked via triazole moieties depending on the kind of dipolarophiles.


Fig. S2 Stress-strain curves of azide-bearing networks crosslinked via both triazole moieties and urethane moieties depending on the kind of dipolarophiles.
3. Gaussian calculations
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Table S1. Calculated Orbital Energies (B3LYP/6-31G*)(kcal/mol)

| Dipole | HOMO | LUMO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azide | -159.48 | -17.28 |
| Dipolarophile | HOMO | LUMO |
| 1 | -172.3 | -1.36 |
| 2 | -149.45 | -9.19 |
| 3 | -180.68 | -33.23 |
| 4 | -178.65 | -36.53 |
| 6 | -137.1 | 8.1 |
| 7 | -171.54 | -12.84 |
| 8 | -157 | -16.37 |

Azide model compound


Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.25414
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & -0.02754 & 0.01176 & 0.08526 & 0.09895 & 0.12564\end{array}$

Dipolarophile 1


Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.27457
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & \text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & -0.00217 & 0.00828 & 0.01910 & 0.02259 & 0.04577\end{array}$

Dipolarophile 2

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- } & -0.26868 & -0.26791 & -0.24776 & -0.23816 & \\ \text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & -0.01465 & -0.00169 & 0.03006 & 0.04290 & 0.04750\end{array}$

Dipolarophile 3


Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.30564-0.28846-0.28793
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & -0.05295 & -0.05097 & 0.01899 & 0.02633 & 0.08864\end{array}$

## Dipolarophile 4



Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.28470
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & \text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & -0.05821 & -0.05776 & -0.05428 & 0.01362 & 0.01499\end{array}$

Dipolarophile 6


Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.26917-0.21851-0.21849
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- } & 0.01291 & 0.01293 & 0.02409 & 0.02650 & 0.02651\end{array}$

Dipolarophile 7


Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.27566 -0.27339 -0.27337
Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- -0.02046 -0.02045 0.019720 .021290 .02132

## Journal Name
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## Dipolarophile 8



Alpha occ. eigenvalues -- -0.25728-0.25019

Alpha virt. eigenvalues -- $0.02609 \quad 0.03430 \quad 0.03508 \quad 0.05468 \quad 0.05578$
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