1	Supporting information
2	
3	Microorganisms-based monodisperse microcapsules: encapsulation of
4	the fungicide tebuconazole and its controlled release properties
5	Bo Zhang, ^a Teng Zhang, ^b Quanxi Wang ^b and Tianrui Ren ^{*b}
6	
7	^a State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green Pesticide and Agricultural
8	Bioengineering/Key Laboratory of Green Pesticide and Agricultural Bioengineering,
9	Ministry of Education, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550025, P. R. China
10	^b The Key Laboratory of Resource Chemistry of Ministry of Education, The development
11	centre of plant germplasm resources, College of Life and Environmental science,
12	Shanghai Normal University, 100 Guilin Road, Shanghai,200234, P. R. China
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	



41 Figure S1. Zeta potential of the TEB-PCC spheres (a), UF prepolymers (b), and TEB42 PCC@UF (c).



67 Adsorption isotherm of tebu on Micy powder

As in previous reports,^{1,2} the sorption isotherms were determined by batch equilibration 68 of 0.05 g of PCC 6803 cells with 50 mL of aqueous solutions of tebu of varied initial 69 concentrations (50.0-800.0 mg/L) in absolute ethanol. Experiments were carried out in a 70 thermostatic shaker bath at 30 ± 0.1 °C for 24 h. Preliminary experiments were conducted 71 for various time intervals to determine when sorption equilibrium was reached. The 72 sorption equilibrium time required for tebu was less than 24 h. After equilibration, the 73 equilibrium concentration and the adsorption amount of tebu was determined by UV-vis 74 spectrophotometer as described in the kinetic studies above. Blanks without tebu and 75 triplicates of each sorption point were used for each series of experiments 76



77

78 Figure S3. Absorption isotherms of TEB on PCC 6803 in isopropanol solvent

79

80

81 S4. The number density of active sites for TEB onto one PCC 6803 cell sphere

Density of active sites on PCC 6803 cells has a great influence on the adsorption 82 capacity. In order to explore the density of active sites in PCC 6803 cell, the number of 83 TEB molecules adsorbed onto each PCC 6803 cell ball surface was calculated by 84 considering the PCC 6803 cell ball as a rigid sphere of equal size in three directions. 85 Although the spherical particles of equal size have different packing arrangements,³ we 86 selected simple cubic packing model in this study for simplicity. In this model one sphere 87 touches four other spheres, 52.4% of the volume is occupied and the remaining 47.6% of 88 the volume is empty. According to the literature,⁴ the bulk density (ρ) of PCC 6803 after 89 vacuum pumping is 0.63 g/cm³. Within 1 cm³ of cube, the volume occupied (V_{total}) of 90 PCC 6803 cell spheres is 0.52 cm^3 . The volume V_{sphere} of a PCC 6803 cell sphere is as 91 92 follows:

93
$$V_{sphere} = 4\pi r^3/3 = 1.68 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$$
 (3)

94 Where r is the radius of the sphere, the average size of PCC 6803 cell spheres is $1.474 \,\mu m$.

95 The number N_1 of PCC 6803 cell spheres within 1 cm³ of cube can be calculated as:

96
$$N_1 = V_{total} / V_{sphere} = 3.10 \times 10^{11}$$
 (4)

97 The number N_2 of 1 g of PCC 6803 cell spheres is obtained as:

98
$$N_2 = N_1 / \rho = 4.92 \times 10^{11}$$
 (5)

99 The number N_3 of TEB molecules adsorbed onto 1 g of PCC 6803 cell spheres is given by:

100 N₃ = N_A×Qe / M_{TEB} =
$$3.93 \times 10^{19}$$
 (6)

101 Where N_A is Avogadro constant (6.02×10^{23}); Qe is the adsorption amount of TEB on PCC

102 6803 cell in isopropanol (20.10 mg/g); M_{TEB} is molecular weight of TEB (307.8).

103 The number N₄ of TEB molecules adsorbed onto one PCC 6803 cell sphere can be 104 calculated from: $N_4 = N_3 / N_2 = 7.99 \times 10^7$ (7) 106 Thus, the number density of active sites for TEB onto one PCC 6803 cell sphere is 107 7.99×10⁷. Table S1. Control efficacy of (a) TEB-PCC@UF microcapsules and (b) commercial formulation on wheat powdery mildew after 4, 8 and 12 day sprayed wheat at 24 h before

130 inoculation.

Concentration	Formulation	Control Efficacy ^a (%)			
(ppm)		4d	8d	12d	
2.5	a	56.50 ± 1.22	53.75 ± 1.40	46.25±1.12	
	b	47.75 ± 1.73	39.00 ± 1.66	22.75 ± 0.98	
5	a	67.00 ± 0.79	55.75 ± 1.27	52.00 ± 0.71	
	b	62.00 ± 1.32	45.75 ± 1.08	27.50 ± 0.89	
10	a	77.50 ± 2.10	77.50 ± 1.31	77.75 ± 1.92	
	b	68.50 ± 1.40	62.00 ± 1.57	43.50 ± 1.20	
20	a	84.25 ± 0.90	83.75 ± 0.71	83.00 ± 1.80	
	b	75.25 ± 1.37	71.25 ± 1.60	52.00 ± 1.90	
30	a	91.75 ± 1.80	80.75 ± 1.37	81.75 ± 2.10	
	b	82.75 ± 1.21	69.00 ± 0.78	50.50 ± 1.36	
40	a	95.75 ± 2.31	88.25 ± 1.10	83.75 ± 1.75	
	b	91.00 ± 2.10	75.25 ± 1.53	51.00 ± 2.14	

a Values are the mean \pm SD of three replicates.

133	Table S2.	Control	efficacy	of (a)	TEB-PCC	C@UF	microcapsules	and (b)	commercial
-----	-----------	---------	----------	--------	---------	------	---------------	---------	------------

134 formulation on wheat powdery mildew after 4, 8 and 12 day sprayed wheat at 48 h before

135 inoculation.

Concentration	Formulation	Control Efficacy ^a (%)			
(ppm)		4d	8d	12d	
2.5	a	68.00 ± 1.78	60.00 ± 0.97	52.50 ± 1.22	
	b	49.25 ± 2.10	39.50 ± 0.66	20.50 ± 1.54	
5	a	69.25 ± 1.50	70.00 ± 2.21	69.25 ± 1.78	
	b	64.25 ± 1.47	59.00 ± 2.18	34.25 ± 1.40	
10	a	81.00 ± 2.20	81.00 ± 1.85	78.50 ± 1.60	
	b	69.00 ± 1.36	63.45 ± 0.78	44.50 ± 0.90	
20	а	85.25 ± 1.80	86.25 ± 1.13	80.75 ± 1.73	
	b	78.50 ± 2.61	72.25 ± 1.62	52.50 ± 2.30	
30	a	92.25 ± 1.80	84.50 ± 2.31	81.75 ± 1.85	
	b	82.00 ± 2.12	72.00 ± 1.75	50.75 ± 1.52	
40	a	95.95 ± 2.30	89.75 ± 2.90	82.75 ± 1.95	
	b	92.75 ± 1.17	76.00 ± 2.45	51.25 ± 2.30	

a Values are the mean \pm SD of three replicates.

Table S3. Control efficacy of (a) TEB-PCC@UF microcapsules and (b) commercial
formulation on wheat powdery mildew after 4, 8 and 12 day sprayed wheat at 72 h before
inoculation.

Concentration	Formulation	Control Efficacy (%)			
(ppm)		4d	8d	12d	
2.5	a	63.00±1.52	56.25 ± 0.95	43.50 ± 1.70	
	b	49.25 ± 1.45	40.00 ± 1.15	20.00 ± 1.54	
5	а	70.25 ± 1.78	69.00 ± 1.60	60.25 ± 1.48	
	b	65.25 ± 1.90	61.00 ± 2.21	36.25 ± 2.32	
10	а	81.50 ± 1.82	81.25 ± 2.15	69.50 ± 1.35	
	b	73.00 ± 2.15	64.00 ± 2.07	41.50 ± 1.75	
20	а	86.25 ± 1.32	86.45 ± 2.25	76.75 ± 1.78	
	b	79.50 ± 0.95	73.00 ± 1.20	53.50 ± 2.01	
30	а	93.00 ± 1.82	85.50 ± 1.57	76.75 ± 2.20	
	b	84.00 ± 2.65	71.25 ± 1.47	51.25 ± 1.89	
40	а	96.25 ± 2.30	90.75 ± 1.25	80.75 ± 1.72	
	b	92.45±2.12	77.00 ± 1.20	52.25 ± 1.68	

141 *a* Values are the mean \pm SD of three replicates.

142

143 **References**

- 144 1 R. Celis, M. C. Hermosín, M. J. Carrizosa and J. Cornejo, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002,
- 145 **50**, 2324-2330.
- 146 2 M. Fernández-Pérez, F. Flores-Céspedes, E. González-Pradas, M. Villafranca-Sánchez,
- 147 S. Pérez-García and F. J. Garrido-Herrera, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 3888-3893.
- 148 3 S. M. Zhou, N. Wei and Y. H. Chen, Petrol. Drill. Tech. 2007, 10, 46-49.
- 4 S. H. Ling, Solid Formulation, 3rd ed.; Chemical Industry Press: Beijing, China, 2003,pp 405.