# Electronic Supplementary Information

Double bond isomerization of ethyl linoleate and vegetable oils to conjugated derivatives over an LDH supported ruthenium catalyst

### Sivashunmugam Sankaranarayanan,<sup>ab</sup> Gobi Selvam<sup>a</sup> and Kannan Srinivasan\*<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Inorganic Materials and Catalysis Division, CSIR-Central Salt and Marine Chemicals

Research Institute (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research), G.B. Marg, Bhavnagar -

364002, India.

<sup>b</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, G.B. Marg, Bhavnagar–364002, India.

### Manuscript Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Kannan Srinivasan
Inorganic Materials and Catalysis Division
CSIR-Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute
Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
Bhavnagar - 364 002
India
Tel: +91-278-2567760
FAX: +91-278-2567562
E-mail: skannan@csmcri.org ; kanhem1@yahoo.com (Kannan Srinivasan)

Structure of ethyl linoleate and conjugated ethyl linoleate along with the proton peak positions in <sup>1</sup>H NMR are given in Fig. S1 and S2 respectively. Protons considered for the calculation of conversion of ethyl linoleate and yield of products are also indicated.



Fig. S1 Tentative proton peak positions in ethyl linoleate

Conversion of ethyl linoleate is calculated based on the decrease in the peak area of the bisallylic protons at 2.8 ppm in product relative to the reactant. The terminal methyl proton appears at 0.9 ppm was fixed as an internal standard.



Fig. S2 Tentative proton peak positions in conjugated ethyl linoleate

Yield of the conjugated derivative is calculated based on the formation of new peaks in the region around 6 ppm in product. In this case also, the terminal methyl proton (0.9 ppm) was considered as internal standard. The isomerization product could be conjugated ethyl linoleate (CLA ester) (or) conjugated linoleic acid (CLA).

Yield of isomerized products (%) = 100 \* Peak area around 6 ppm

Apart from double bond migration, there may be possibility of hydrolysis reaction owing to the presence of ionic liquid in the reaction medium. The hydrolysis product could be linoleic acid (LA) (or) conjugated linoleic acids (CLA). In this case, we are considering only the decrease in the peak area of  $-OCH_2$  protons before and after the reaction.

Yield of hydrolyzed products (%) = 
$$100 * \begin{pmatrix} Area at 4.1 ppm before reaction - Area at 4.1 ppm after reaction \\ Area at 4.1 ppm before reaction \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Preparation of reconstructed MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH

1 M NaOH solution (20 ml) was prepared using decarbonated water and to this 250 mg of freshly prepared mixed oxides from MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH (calcined at 500 °C for 5 h) was added under  $N_2$  atmosphere. The solution was stirred at room temperature (27 °C) for 12 h and the obtained mixture was washed well till neutral pH. The material was dried under vacuum desiccator for 24 h (to prevent atmosphere contact) and stored in a tightly packed container in desiccator.



Fig. S3 FT-IR spectra of (a) MgAl<sub>2</sub>-LDH, (b) MgAl<sub>3</sub>-LDH, (c) MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH



**Fig. S4** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of spectra of (a) 0.5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH, (b) 1% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH, (c) 5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH catalysed product mixture; Inset: Expanded region of (b) and (c) from 6.6-5.2 ppm



Fig. S5 TG-DTG profile of (a) MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH, (b) 5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH



**Fig. S6** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (a) EL , (b) EL and BMImCl mixture, (c) EL and OMImCl mixture (arrow indicates methyl protons of ionic liquid)



Fig. S7 FT-IR spectra of (a) EL, (b) EL and BMImCl mixture, (c) EL and OMImCl mixture



**Fig. S8** TG-DTG profile for fresh and recovered catalysts (a) 5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH, (b) 'a' after first cycle in BMImCl, (c) 'a' after first cycle in OMImCl



**Fig. S9** FT-IR spectra of fresh and recovered catalysts (a) 5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH, (b) 'a' after first cycle in BMImCl, (c) 'a' after first cycle in OMImCl

Name of the vegetable oil	Fatty acids (%)			
	Saturated		Unsaturated	
	Palmitic	Stearic	Oleic	Linoleic
	(16:0)*	(18:0)	(18:1)	(18:2)
Sunflower oil	15	11	13	55
Once cooked (sunflower) oil	10	5	11	71
Doubly cooked (sunflower) oil	12	10	17	58
Corn oil	23	6	10	58
Soyabean oil	18	8	11	61
Gingelly oil	16	13	16	53

# **Table S1.** Fatty acid compositions of vegetable oils

\*(x:y - No. of carbon atoms:unsaturated centers)

Material	Mg/Al <sup>a</sup>		Empirical formulab
	Solution	Solid	
MgAl <sub>2</sub> -LDH	2.0	2.0	$[Mg_{0.64}Al_{0.36}(OH)_2](CO_3)_{0.18} .0.74H_2O$
MgAl <sub>3</sub> -LDH	3.0	2.9	$[Mg_{0.73}Al_{0.27}(OH)_2](CO_3)_{0.14} .0.66H_2O$
MgAl <sub>4</sub> -LDH	4.0	3.7	$[Mg_{0.77}Al_{0.23}(OH)_2](CO_3)_{0.11} .0.80H_2O$

**Table S2.** Elemental chemical analysis and empirical formula of the MgAl-LDHs

<sup>a</sup>Atomic ratio; <sup>b</sup>Values rounded to significant figure

Amount of	Conversion	Yield (%)	
ionic liquid	(%)	Isomerized Hydrolyze	
(wt .%)		products	products
20	10	8	10
40	15	15	10
60	12	6	2
80	17	3	7
100	17	1	8
$40^*$	63	1	63
40\$	25	1	23

Table S3. Effect of amount of ionic liquid on the isomerization of ethyl linoleate<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>EL = 250 mg, Toluene = 5 ml, Catalyst (MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH) = 20 wt.% w.r.t. EL, Temp. = 90 °C, Time = 12 h; \* Tetra butyl amine (TBA); <sup>\$</sup> Tetra propyl ammonium hydroxide (TPAH)

 Table S4. Time variation studies

Time	Conversio n (%)	Yield (%) Isomerized products	Hydrolyze d products
1	2	1	0
4	6	4	0
8	15	10	0
12	42	29	0

<sup>a</sup>EL = 250 mg, Toluene = 5 ml, BMImCl = 40 wt.% w.r.t. EL, Catalyst (5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH) = 20 wt.% w.r.t. EL, Temp. = 90 °C

Cycle	Conversion	Yiel	d (%)
(Number)	(%)	(%) Isomerized	
		products	products
1	42	29	0
2	38	18	23
3	17	4	7

Table S5. Recycle studies of 5% Ru/MgAl<sub>4</sub>-LDH<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>EL = 250 mg, Toluene = 5 ml, BMImCl = 40 wt.% w.r.t. EL, Catalyst = 20 wt.% w.r.t. EL, Temp. = 90 °C, Time = 12 h