Supporting information for "Dopant-configuration controlled carrier scattering in graphene"

Benoy Anand, ^a Mehmet Karakaya, ^b Gyan Prakash,^c S. Siva Sankara Sai, ^a Reji Philip, ^d

Paola Ayala, ^e Anurag Srivastava, ^f Ajay K. Sood, ^e and Apparao M. Rao^b, Ramakrishna Podila, ^{*b, g}

^a Department of Physics, Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning,

Puttaparthi, Andhra Pradesh, 515134, India

^b Department of Physics and Astronomy, and Clemson Nanomaterials Center,

Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634, USA

^c Department of Physics and Center for Ultrafast Laser Applications, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, 560012, India

^d Light and Matter Physics Group, Raman Research Institute,

Bangalore, Karnataka, 560080, India

^e Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna,

Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

^{*f*}Advanced Materials Research Group and Computational Nanoscience & Technology Lab, ABV-Indian Institute of Information Technology, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, 474010, India

^g Laboratory of Nano-biophysics, and COMSET, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

E-mail: rpodila@clemson.edu



Figure S1. Raman spectra for pristine and N doped graphene (S1 – S3) obtained using 514.5 nm excitation. The *D* and *D'* bands in panel a) are intense for S1 and S3, and are attributed to N dopants present in the non-graphitic doping configuration (see text). Notably, the *D* and *G* band intensities for S2 (graphitic doping configuration) are similar to those exhibited by pristine graphene, except for the defect-induced broadening. The electron-phonon energy renormalization upon doping results in a net downshift of the peak position of the *G'* band for S1 and S3 relative to that for pristine and S2.

Figure S2: Density functional theory calculations showing the electron density of porphyrin-type defects. The formation energy was found to be 9.75 eV with a bandgap of 0.1 eV at the K-point indicating it is a energetically favorable. Nonetheless, our detailed X-ray photoemission spectroscopy studies (Ref. 9) did not show any evidence for prophyrin-like dopants in our samples. Accordingly, only graphitic, pyridinic, and pyrrolic dopants were considered based on micro-Raman and XPS studies discussed in Ref. 9.



 $0 \, \mathrm{eV}$

4.5 eV