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Fig. S1

## The ELF topological study of [3+2] cycloaddition reaction of AZB2 toward ethylene.

At point $\mathbf{P} 1$ the two interacting fragments are very far apart, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)$ are $3.250 \AA$, and the ELF valence basin shapes are very similar to those in the isolated AZB1 and ethylene. Indeed, the ELF picture associated with P1 shows four monosynaptic basins, a pair on each terminal carbon atoms of AZB1 specified as $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 1)$; and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 3)$. The population of the electronic density for $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1), \mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 1), \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 3)$, and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ is $0.59,0.40,0.59$, and 0.40 e , respectively, providing a bonding structure picture where 0.99 e is located on each terminal carbon. This picture is in complete agreement with the electronic density displayed by the HOMO shape of AZB1 presented in Fig. 4 of main text, which is responsible for the pseudo-diradical character of AZB1 in its ground electronic state. It is interesting to note that there is not monosynaptic basin associated with the N 2 nitrogen lone pair in AZB1 fragment. This behavior implies that the delocalization of the nitrogen lone pair into $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}$ atomic orbitals of two neighboring unsaturated carbon atoms (see the shape of HOMO-n of AZB1 in Fig. 4 in the main text). On the other hand, the ELF picture for ethylene fragment reveals two disynaptic basins, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$, corresponded to C-C double bond in ethylene. The sum of population of these two disynaptic basins is 3.47 e . At $\mathbf{P 2}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=3.018 \AA$, no significant changes happen in the ELF valence basin shapes except some minor changes in their populations. At P3, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)$ $=2.696 \AA$, the two monosynaptic basins on the AZB1 terminal carbon atoms merge into only one, whereas a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ monosynaptic basin emerges over the N 2 nitrogen atom of AZB1, integrating 0.38 e . In fact, two monosynaptic basins on terminal carbons are sacrificed to form a monosynaptic basin on central nitrogen. Interestingly, the shape of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ remains unchanged along the IRC profile indicating that the lone pair of N 2 nitrogen atom does not involve in the cycloaddition reaction. Passing through points $\mathbf{P 4}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=$ $2.534 \AA$, which is corresponded with the TS of reaction, and P5, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=$ $2.439 \AA$, any noticeable changes do not take place in the ELF valence basin shapes except a slight change in the corresponding populations. At $\mathbf{P 6}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.309 \AA$, two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ monosynaptic basins each one with an initial population of 0.33 e appear over C4 and C5 carbon atoms of ethylene. The electronic density of these basins mainly comes from the disappeared $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basin. Note that the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ is 2.78 e . Therefore, at P 6 , the four pseudoradical centers required for the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ and C3-C5 single bonds formation are present. At $\mathbf{p} 7, \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.052 \AA$, two C1-C4 and C3-C5 single bonds start to form by merging of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$; and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ and
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ monosynaptic basins into two new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basins, respectively. Initial population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basins is 1.51 e . From P7 to the end of IRC profile where the final cycloadduct is reached, while the shapes of attractor remains unchanged, their populations slightly change.


Fig. S2

## The ELF topological study of [3+2] cycloaddition reaction of AZB2 toward ethylene.

At P1, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=3.213 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=3.039 \AA$, where the interacting fragments due to the large separation have no noticeable interaction, the ELF valence basin shapes show a very similar pattern to those in the separate AZB2 and ethylene; i.e., there are two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$, integrating 0.41 e , and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 1)$, integrating 0.37 e , monosynaptic basins over C 1 carbon atom and a $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ monosynaptic basin with an initial integration of 3.53 e over N 3 nitrogen atom of AZB2. However, while a $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2)$ disynaptic basin can be observed in the AZB2 fragment, the $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ disynaptic basin with an initial integration of 1.89 e is more important to be followed along the IRC profile. Indeed, the formation of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ monosynaptic basin over the N3 nitrogen atom at P8 is a direct consequence of successive depopulation of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ disynaptic basin along cycloaddition reaction. On the other hand, the C-C double bond of ethylene fragment, as can be seen, is characterized by the presence of the two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$, integrating 1.73e, and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$, integrating 1.72 e , disynaptic basins. At P2, d(C1-C4) $=$ $2.473 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.496 \AA$, the ELF valence basin shapes are very similar to those found at $\mathbf{P} 1$ except some slight changes in their populations. At $\mathbf{P 3}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=2.372 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.420 \AA$, one of monosynaptic basins of C1 carbon atom disappears and, instead, a $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2$ ) monosynaptic basin integrating 0.9 e emerges over N 2 nitrogen atom. At this point, the $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ disynaptic basin depopulates to 1.75 e . At $\mathbf{P} 4, \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=2.282 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-$ $\mathrm{C} 5)=2.352 \AA$, which is also corresponded to the TS of reaction, while some minor changes is found in the population of ELF valence basins, corresponding ELF valence shapes remain unchanged when they are compared with those at the previous point $\mathbf{P 3}$. At $\mathbf{P 5}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=$ $2.172 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.268 \AA$, a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ monosynaptic basin integrating 0.35 e appears over C 4 carbon atom. The electronic density of this basin mainly comes from the $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basin which disappears at P5. In fact, some of electron density of C-C double bond of ethylene fragment which is breaking at P5 concentrates over C 4 carbon atom to form $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ monosynaptic basin. At P5, the two pseudoradical centers required for the subsequent $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ single bond formation are present. At P6, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=2.074 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=$ $2.190 \AA$, a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ monosynaptic basin emerges over C5 atom with an initial population of 0.32 e . At P7, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.977 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.111 \AA$, the first $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ single bond begins to form which is characterized by the presence of a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ disynaptic basin, integrating 1.41e, as a result of merging the two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ monosynaptic basins. At P8, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.752 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=1.896 \AA$, a new $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ monosynaptic basin with an
initial integration of 0.58 e , appears over N 3 atom. The electron density of this basin, as mentioned earlier, comes mainly from the depopulation of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ disynaptic basin. At P8, the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ is 1.42 e . When this value is compared with corresponding initial population at $\mathbf{P} 1,1.89$ e, it is clear that the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 3)$ disynaptic basin reduces by about $25 \%$ to form a $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ monosynaptic basin over N3 atom. It is also much clear that two pseudoradical centers required for the subsequent N3-C5 single bond formation are present at P8. Finally, at P9, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.713 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=1.848 \AA$, the two $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ monosynaptic basins merge into a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basin integrating 1.23 e allowing the second N3-C5 single bond starts to form. It is interesting to note that the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ disynaptic basin is 1.69 e at $\mathbf{P 9}$. If this value is compared with corresponding value in the cycloadduct, 1.86e, one can easily be concluded that the formation of C1-C4 single bond, started at P7, has completed by more than of $89 \%$ when the formation of second N3-C5 single bond starts.


Fig. S3

## The ELF topological study of [3+2] cycloaddition reaction of AZB3 toward ethylene.

Starting from P1, d(C1-C4) $=3.134 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.824 \AA$, the ELF valence basins shape in two interacting fragment is very similar to those found in isolated reagents. As shown, while there are two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{O} 3)$ disynaptic basins corresponded to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ and $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ single bonds, respectively, two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{O} 3)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{O} 3)$ monosynaptic basins associated with the O3 lone pairs look like over the oxygen atom. Population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2), \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{O} 3), \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{O} 3)$, and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{O} 3)$ basins is $3.86,1.38,2.87$, and 3.02 e , respectively. On the other hand, two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basins with population of 1.72 and 1.73 e , respectively, distinguish the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ double bond in ethylene fragment. As two fragments approach, at $\mathbf{P 2}$ where $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=2.860 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.640 \AA$, a very similar ELF pattern to that presented at $\mathbf{P 1}$ is observed; the unique difference corresponds to the slight change in populations. At P3, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=2.368 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.299 \AA$, two new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ monosynaptic basin integrating 0.37 e and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ monosynaptic basin integrating 0.87 e emerge over C1 and N2 atoms of AZB3 fragment, respectively. At P4, the TS position, values of $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)$ are 2.200 and $2.185 \AA$, respectively. The TS position does not include a distinctive feature in its ELF basins shape. At P5, d(C1-C4) $=2.093 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.111 \AA$, the $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}(\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basin disappears and some of corresponding electron density accumulates over C 4 to form a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ monosynaptic basin with an initial integration of 0.44 e . Therefore, At P5, two pseudoradical centers required for the subsequent C1-C4 single bond formation are present. At P6, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.977 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-$ $\mathrm{C} 5)=2.028 \AA$, a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 5)$ monosynaptic basin integrating 0.17 e appears over C 5 atom. At $\mathrm{P} 7, \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.949 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=2.007 \AA$, the first $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ single bond starts to form by the merging of two $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 4)$ monosynaptic basins into a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ disynaptic basin with an initial population of 1.32e. At P8 where $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4)=1.659 \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5)=$ $1.718 \AA$, the second O3-C5 single bond starts to form. This single bond formation is characterized by the presence of a new $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{C} 5)$ disynaptic basin integrating 0.70 e . When O3-C5 single bond formation begins, the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ disynaptic basin 1.71e. In other word, formation of O3-C5 single bond is accompanied with the completion of C1-C4 single bond by more than of $92 \%$. Note that the population of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4)$ disynaptic basin is 1.85 e in the corresponding cycloadduct. It is worth noting that the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{X} 3$ carbon-heteroatom single bond formation in the reaction of AZB3 toward ethylene provides a quite different pattern in comparison with what provides in the reaction of AZB2 toward ethylene. Indeed, in
the case of AZB2 and ethylene, formation of C5-N3 single bond takes place via coupling of two C5 and N3 pseudoradical centers exhibiting a direct participation of both carbon and nitrogen heteroatom in the formation of corresponding single bond. Besides, in the case of AZB3 and ethylene, formation of C5-O3 single bond carries out through the nucleophilic attack of C5 carbon atom of ethylene on the O3 oxygen atom of AZB3; that is, oxygen heteroatom does not directly participate in the formation of corresponding single bond via forming of a monosynaptic basin. Such behavior may be explained considering the very higher electronegativity of oxygen than carbon.

## Cartesian Coordinates of B3LYP/6-31G(d) optimized structures of species involved in the studied [3+2] cycloaddition reactions.

AZB1

01

| C | -1.22900900 | 0.18374500 | -0.00037500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -1.34303500 | 1.25808600 | 0.00142400 |
| H | -2.07227400 | -0.48793500 | 0.00058700 |
| N | -0.00000600 | -0.34101300 | -0.00010200 |
| H | 0.00010000 | -1.35811900 | 0.00032100 |
| C | 1.22897200 | 0.18371300 | 0.00035000 |
| H | 2.07237300 | -0.48774700 | -0.00032500 |
| H | 1.34310100 | 1.25806100 | -0.00114700 |

AZB2

01
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -1.17696500 & 1.12701000 & 0.00027000\end{array}$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-0.03389500 \quad-0.35605000 \quad-0.00002600$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & -0.03096300 & -1.37638300 & 0.00015800\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 1.16524000 & 0.20032500 & 0.00004200\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 2.03100400 & -0.44478100 & -0.00006600\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 1.24335600 & 1.27938200 & -0.00015800\end{array}$
$\mathrm{N} \quad-1.26008700 \quad 0.10073900 \quad-0.00004000$

## AZB3

01
$\mathrm{N} \quad-0.06032400 \quad 0.34379300 \quad-0.00009000$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -0.07974600 & 1.37843500 & -0.00010400\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.13062500 & -0.19199300 & 0.00002200\end{array}$

| H | 1.98913900 | 0.46515000 | 0.00002200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.20641200 | -1.27056500 | 0.00016400 |
| O | -1.18466100 | -0.22845200 | 0.00005200 |

## Ethylene

01

| C | 0.00000000 | 0.66542900 | 0.00000000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| H | 0.92369100 | 1.23953300 | 0.00000000 |
| H | -0.92365300 | 1.23957600 | 0.00000000 |
| C | 0.00000000 | -0.66542900 | 0.00000000 |
| H | -0.92369100 | -1.23953300 | 0.00000000 |
| H | 0.92365300 | -1.23957600 | 0.00000000 |

TS1

01

| C | 0.90843100 | 1.20612800 | 0.19814400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 0.73962300 | 1.29033200 | 1.26271500 |
| H | 1.26359900 | 2.07223200 | -0.34501600 |
| N | 1.26711900 | 0.00000100 | -0.27759400 |
| H | 1.56905300 | 0.00000200 | -1.24674500 |
| C | 0.90843600 | -1.20612700 | 0.19814300 |
| H | 1.26360500 | -2.07222900 | -0.34501900 |
| H | 0.73962800 | -1.29033300 | 1.26271400 |
| C | -1.55708300 | -0.67992800 | -0.06112800 |
| H | -1.76448500 | -1.24763300 | 0.84113700 |
| H | -1.56629000 | -1.24827500 | -0.98596900 |
| C | -1.55708300 | 0.67992500 | -0.06112900 |
| H | -1.56629100 | 1.24827100 | -0.98597200 |
| H | -1.76448700 | 1.24763200 | 0.84113400 |


| H | -0.60550500 | -1.14519500 | 1.12981400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| N | -1.23681700 | -0.06523800 | -0.27472000 |
| H | -1.51684200 | -0.07911200 | -1.25179100 |
| C | -0.83532400 | 1.12231400 | 0.21061200 |
| H | -1.20525800 | 2.00355800 | -0.30532600 |
| H | -0.72195500 | 1.19123700 | 1.28629400 |
| C | 1.39319800 | 0.71887300 | -0.07123000 |
| H | 1.68012800 | 1.29172000 | 0.80672300 |
| H | 1.44541300 | 1.26380300 | -1.00968000 |
| C | 1.45316300 | -0.65547800 | -0.05955600 |
| H | 1.48963400 | -1.22947000 | -0.97794900 |
| H | 1.72944200 | -1.19824100 | 0.84014500 |
| N | -0.81479500 | -1.25084000 | 0.13226400 |


| H | 1.52201900 | -0.09191500 | 1.23296000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | 0.79216900 | 1.08906900 | -0.23171100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 1.13836500 | 1.98676400 | 0.27341700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | 0.63597600 | 1.13088400 | -1.30129900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -1.34760200 | 0.71278300 | 0.11828900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

