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## Table Captions

Table S1 Calculated molecular orbital energy levels (HOMO and LUMO, eV) for sensitizer T-D-1S-A-1S with different functionals.

Table S2 Calculated wavelength of maximum absorption $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ and corresponding energy $\mathrm{E}_{\lambda_{\max }}(\mathrm{eV})$ of T-D-1S-A-1S in acetonitrile solution with PBE0/6-31G(d) geometries.

Table S3 Electronic transition data obtained at BMK/6-31G(d) level for Q band and $B$ band of sensitizers, respectively.

Table S4 Electron density difference plots of electronic transition in Q band for all the dyes binding with NiO or $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$, which are performed in acetonitrile solvent using BMK functional together with $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set.

## Figure Captions

Fig. S1 Geometries of all the dyes binding with NiO or $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$, which are optimized at $\mathrm{PBE} 0 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level.

Fig. S2 The diagram of several important performance parameters for designed sensitizers. (a) The light-harvesting efficiency at maximum wavelength. (b) The fraction of electron exchange in the process of electron transfer. (c) The driving force of hole injection. (d) The driving force of regeneration. (e) The driving force of recombination.

Table S1 Calculated molecular orbital energy levels (HOMO and LUMO, eV) for sensitizer T-D-

1S-A-1S with different functionals.

| Scheme | HOMO | LUMO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{eV})$ | $(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |
| Exp $^{\text {a }}$ | -5.53 | -3.35 |
| M062X/6-31G(d) | -6.38 | -2.14 |
| LC-WB97XD/6-31G(d) | -7.01 | -1.28 |
| PBE0/6-31G(d) | -5.53 | -3.17 |
| B3LYP/6-311G(d, p) | -5.51 | -3.15 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Experimental value from Ref 25.

Table S2 Calculated wavelength of maximum absorption $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ and corresponding energy
$\mathrm{E}_{\lambda \max }(\mathrm{eV})$ of T-D-1S-A-1S in acetonitrile solution with PBE0/6-31G(d) geometries.

| Scheme | $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\lambda \max }(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp $^{\text {a }}$ | $488 / 369$ | $2.54 / 3.36$ |
| PBE0/6-31G(d) | $573 / 381$ | $2.16 / 3.25$ |
| CAM-B3LYP/6-31G(d) | $454 / 335$ | $2.73 / 3.70$ |
| BMK/6-31G(d) | $498 / 344$ | $2.49 / 3.60$ |
| Experimental | value | from |

Table S3 Electronic transition data obtained at BMK/6-31G(d) level for $Q$ band and B band of sensitizers, respectively.

| Molecules |  | Q band |  | B band |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{1} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Major configuration | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{2} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Major configuration |
| T-D-1S-A-1S | Dye | 498 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 344 | $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (53\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(33 \%), \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(6 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(6 \%)$ |
|  | NiO-Dye | 497 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(91 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 344 | $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (55\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}$ (31\%), $\mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}$ (6\%), $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(6 \%)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 501 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(91 \%), \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 346 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(50 \%), \mathrm{H}-4 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(35 \%), \mathrm{H}-11 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(6 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \\ & (6 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| T-D-1S-A-2S | Dye | 527 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(80 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (12\%) | 372 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (84\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (6\%) |
|  | NiO-Dye | 525 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(80 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (13\%) | 386 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (84\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (7\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 530 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(81 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (12\%) | 365 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (84\%), $\mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (6\%) |
| T-D-1S-A-3S | Dye | 543 | $\underset{(6 \%)}{\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(65 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(22 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}}$ | 387 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (80\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (6\%) |
|  | NiO-Dye | 543 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(64 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(23 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \\ (6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 401 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (80\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (8\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 547 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(66 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(21 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \\ (6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 383 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (79\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (5\%) |
| T-D-2S-A-1S | Dye | 504 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(88 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 385 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (74\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (15\%), $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+1$ (6\%) |
|  | NiO-Dye | 504 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(89 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 395 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (72\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (15\%), $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+1$ (8\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 508 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(88 \%), \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(5 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(5 \%)$ | 378 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (73\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2$ (15\%), $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+1$ (6\%) |


|  | Dye | 508 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(81 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(12 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T-D-3S-A-1S | NiO-Dye | 509 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(82 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(11 \%)$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 511 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(79 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(13 \%)$ |
| T-D-1S-A- | Dye | 497 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(92 \%)$ |
|  | NiO-Dye | 496 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (93\%) |
| 1N | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 501 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (92\%) |
|  | Dye | 497 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (89\%), $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+3$ (5\%) |
| T-D-1S-A- | NiO-Dye | 496 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(89 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+3$ (5\%) |
| 2 N | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 502 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(89 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (5\%) |
|  | Dye | 482 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(86 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (8\%) |
| T-D-1S-A- |  |  |  |
| 3 N | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 487 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(86 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2$ (8\%) |
| T-D-1N-A- | Dye | 490 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (6\%) |
| 1S | NiO-Dye | 487 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (6\%) |


|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 493 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (90\%), $\mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 341 | $\mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (62\%), $\mathrm{H}-8 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(25 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(6 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T-D-2N-A- | Dye | 493 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(91 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 342 | $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (60\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(28 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(6 \%)$ |
|  | NiO-Dye | 493 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(91 \%), \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 342 | $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (59\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(28 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(6 \%)$ |
| 1S | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 494 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 342 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(61 \%), \mathrm{H}-6 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(18 \%), \mathrm{H}-7 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(10 \%), \\ & \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}(6 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Dye | 494 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 313 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+3(49 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(11 \%), \\ & \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2(10 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2(10 \%), \mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2(5 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1S | NiO-Dye | 494 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%) | 320 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+3(54 \%), \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(11 \%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(7 \%), \\ & \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+2 \text { (6\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye | 494 | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ (91\%), $\mathrm{H}-3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1$ (5\%) | 308 | $\begin{aligned} & H \rightarrow L+3(27 \%), H-6 \rightarrow L+1(14 \%), \\ & H-6 \rightarrow L+2(15 \%), H-1 \rightarrow L+5(13 \%), H-11 \rightarrow L+2(7 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

Table S4 Electron density difference plots of electronic transition in Q band for all the dyes binding with NiO or $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$, which are performed in acetonitrile solvent using BMK functional together with $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set. L is the electron transfer distance $(\AA), \Delta \mathrm{e}$ is the fraction of electron exchange $(|\mathrm{e}-|), \Omega$ is overlaps between the regions of density depletion and increment (Isovalue: $4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{au}^{-3}$ ), E is the energy of molecule (a.u.).

| NiO-Dye | $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$-Dye |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=3.238 \\ & \Delta \mathrm{e}=1.0467 \\ & \Omega=0.6404 \\ & \mathrm{E}=-3359.66 \\ & \mathbf{N i O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=4.365 \\ & \Delta \mathrm{e}=1.1076 \\ & \Omega=0.5812 \\ & \\ & E=-5310.39 \end{aligned}$ $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{D}-1 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{A}-1 \mathrm{~S}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=6.210 \\ & \Delta \mathrm{e}=1.0445 \\ & \Omega=0.3433 \\ & \mathrm{E}=-6413.54 \\ & (\mathbf{N i O})_{9}-\mathbf{T}-\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{1 S}-\mathbf{A - 2 S} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=3.816 \\ & \mathrm{~L}=1.0484 \\ & \Omega=0.3686 \\ & \mathrm{E}=-5565.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=4.203 \\ & \mathrm{e}=1.0596 \\ & \Omega=0.6012 \\ & \mathrm{E}=-7516.70 \\ & \\ & (\mathbf{N i O})_{9} \text {-T-D-1S-A-3S } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=4.351 \\ & \Delta \mathrm{e}=1.0621 \\ & \Omega=0.4377 \\ & \mathrm{E}=-3911.24 \\ & \text { NiO-T-D-2S-A-1S } \end{aligned}$ |  |


| $\mathrm{L}=6.942$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}=1.1427$ |
| $\Omega=0.3000$ |



Electron densities move from the green area to the purple area.
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Fig. S1 Geometries of all the dyes binding with NiO or $(\mathrm{NiO})_{9}$, which are optimized at PBE0/6$31 G(d)$ level.
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Fig. S2 The diagram of several important performance parameters for designed sensitizers. (a) The light-harvesting efficiency at maximum wavelength. (b) The fraction of electron exchange in the process of electron transfer. (c) The driving force of hole injection. (d) The driving force of regeneration. (e) The driving force of recombination.
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