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## Typical procedures for RAFT polymerization of AN

The monomer (AN, $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), solvent ( $\mathrm{DMF}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), initiator $\left(\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}, 27.06 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0760 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$, and chain transfer agent (CPDN, 1.0 mg , 0.0036 mmol ) were put into a 5 mL ampule in the following order: $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}, \mathrm{CPDN}$, AN and DMF. The solution was deoxygenated with three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The ampule was flame sealed and placed in DF-101S oil bath equipped with magnetic stirrer thermostat at $90 \pm 0.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the ampule was cooled in ice water after a certain time. Then it was opened and content in ampule was adequately dissolved in 5-8 mL DMF. Afterwards, the solution was precipitated into 150 mL methanol with stirring. The polymer was isolated via filtration and dried under vacuum until the constant weight was recorded at ambient temperature. The monomer conversion was determined by gravimetric calculation. The obtained polymers were used for GPC characterization and electrostatic spinning.

## Chain extension using PAN as the macroinitiator

The PAN sample $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{GPC}}=74500, M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}=1.31\right)$ was selected to be the macroinitiator, obtained under the condition of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=$ 200:1:0.05, and AN:DMF $=1: 1(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ after 12 h . The polymerization was proceeded with molar ratios of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:[\mathrm{PAN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}=500: 0.1: 1$, and $\mathrm{AN}: \mathrm{DMF}=1: 1$ (V/V) at $90 \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The rest procedures were identical to those mentioned above.

## Polymerization of AN mediated by $\mathbf{C o ( a c a c})_{3}$-CPDN

As mentioned in the introduction, transition metals-mediated RDRP of AN are always a challenging topic. PAN was first successfully synthesized with $\operatorname{Co(acac})_{2}$ as the radical capping agent, rather than as the catalyst system. Here, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ was neither catalyst nor end-capped agent. Polymerization of AN was investigated in the presence of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ and CPDN at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The behavior of polymerization, including polymerization rates and the "living" polymerization characteristics, was the main focus. Fig. S1 summaries the polymerization of AN with different concentrations of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$. The molar ratios of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}$ were $200: 0.5: 0.025$, 200:1.0:0.025, and 200:1.5:0.025, respectively, keeping the usage of CPDN constant. As can be seen from Fig. S1 that the polymerization proceeded smoothly and the kinetics plots were pseudo first-order linear ones $\left(\ln \left([M]_{0} /[M]\right)=k_{p}[R \cdot] t\right)$, indicating that the number of radicals was constant and occurrence of irreversible transfer reactions or termination could be neglected. It is a typical manifestation of
"living"/controlled radical polymerization. The polymerization rate was slightly lowered with the declining concentration of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$. The AN monomer conversion was obviously increasing with an increase amount of $\operatorname{Co(acac})_{3}$. To further investigate the initiator role of $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$, the polymerization experiments were conducted in the absence and trace amounts of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$, respectively, as shown in Table TS1 (entries 1, 2, 3). There was no monomer conversion even the reaction lasted long enough due to the lack of initiating radicals and insufficiently initiated ability, respectively. Compared with entries 4, 5, 6 , and 7 in Table TS1, relatively higher monomer conversion was obtained with the increasing concentration of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ for more initiating radicals generation into the reaction system. The phenomena further conformed the role of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ as the initiator. Fig. S2 describes the number-average molecular weights $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and molecular weight distributions $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ versus AN monomer conversion at different feed ratios of Co(acac) $)_{3}$. The molecular weights of PAN increased linearly with monomer conversion and the molecular weight distributions ( $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}<1.46$ ) kept narrow in all cases. All the evidence shows that the polymerization proceeded in a controlled manner mediated by $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ and CPDN.

The effect of CPDN concentrations on the polymerization was investigated as well. The molar ratio of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}$ was kept $200: 1$. It was found from Fig. S3 that the polymerization proceeded smoothly in all cases (here, the polymerization results of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.05$ and $\mathrm{AN}: \mathrm{DMF}=1: 1(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ were repeatedly illustrated for a better comparison). The polymerization rate was slightly
lowered as the concentration of CPDN increased from $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=$ 200:1:0.05 through 200:1:0.10 to 200:1:0.15. To further demonstrate the inhibition of CPDN, the polymerization was conducted in the absence of CPDN (Table TS2: entries 1-3). The monomer conversion increased rapidly compared with those in entries 4-6 (Table 2). When the molar ratio of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}$ reached 200:0.2 (Table TS2: entry 7), the conversion was obviously lowered. The phenomena were in accordance with the RAFT polymerization mechanism that retard would occur when the chain transfer agent was used in a high degree. Fig.S4 shows that the $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ increased linearly with AN monomer conversion and the $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ were below 1.50 for all the cases. However, in the absence of CPDN (entries 1-3), the $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ was out of control and $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ was as high as 1.61 . The higher concentration of CPDN was (entries 4-7), the better control of polymerization over $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ would exhibit. The above results demonstrate that the polymerization in the presence of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ - CPDN was most probably via a RAFT process with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ as the initiator and CPDN as the RAFT agent. The polymerization mechanism was illustrated in Scheme 1.

## Chain extension of PAN with PAN as the macroinitiator

The living nature of the polymer was further conformed by chain extension upon the addition of fresh AN monomer. The PAN sample ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}=74500, M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}=1.31$ ) was used as the macroinitiator. The chain extension was successful with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ as the initiator, and PAN with much higher molecular weight $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}=133200\right)$ and narrow molecular weight distribution $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}=1.39\right)$ was obtained. It was clear from the
result that most of the end groups of the polymer were active for participation in chain extension reaction.

| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | conditions |  | t/h | Conv.(\%) | $\begin{gathered} M_{\mathrm{n}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $M_{w} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left(\mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | No radical |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -- | 24 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | source |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | No radical |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -- | 120 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | source |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.90 | 72 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | 0.05 equiv. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=0.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.80 | 48 | 16.13 | 143700 | 1.28 |
|  | equiv. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 37.98 | 8 | 20.40 | 69000 | 1.46 |
|  | equiv. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 37.98 | 12 | 33.65 | 74500 | 1.31 |
|  | equiv. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 37.98 | 24 | 79.34 | 81600 | 1.34 |
|  | equiv. |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {appolymerization conditions: }} \mathrm{AN}=1 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{AN}=200$ equiv., $\mathrm{CPDN}=0.05$ equiv.,
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {(CPDN) }}=1.90 \mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, temperature $=90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Table TS1. The control ability of initiators under various conditions

| Entry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Concentrations } \\ \left(\mathrm{mmol} . \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | t/h | Conv. <br> (\%) | $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> (g. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | $M_{\text {w }} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Free of CPDN | -- | 4 | 20.36 | 80500 | 1.56 |
| 2 | Free of CPDN | -- | 12 | 70.67 | 89600 | 1.61 |
| 3 | Free of CPDN | -- | 24 | 90.78 | 123500 | 1.57 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CPDN}=0.05$ <br> equiv. | 1.90 | 8 | 20.40 | 69000 | 1.46 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CPDN}=0.10$ <br> equiv. | 3.80 | 8 | 15.01 | 55500 | 1.44 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CPDN}=0.15$ <br> equiv. | 5.70 | 12 | 13.42 | 38100 | 1.45 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CPDN}=0.20$ <br> equiv. | 7.60 | 36 | 7.57 | 37600 | 1.42 |

${ }^{\text {b }}$ polymerization conditions: $\mathrm{AN}=1 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{AN}=200$ equiv., $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}=1.0$ equiv.,
$\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{Co(acac)3)}}=37.98 \mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, temperature $=90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Table TS2. The control ability of CPDN under various conditions

Initiation
$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}+\mathrm{acac} \cdot$
acac. $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{AN}} \mathrm{Pn}$.
Reversible chain transfer


Re-initiation
$\mathrm{R} \cdot \xrightarrow{\mathrm{AN}} \mathrm{Pm}$.

Chain equilibration


Termination

$$
\mathrm{Pn} \bullet+\mathrm{Pm} \bullet \longrightarrow \text { Dead polymer }
$$

Scheme 1: Proposed mechanism of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$-mediated polymerization of AN controlled by CPDN.


Fig. S1: Kinetics investigation $\left(\ln \left([\mathrm{M}]_{0} /[\mathrm{M}]\right)\right.$ and conversion versus time $)$ with different concentrations of $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$, wherein $[\mathrm{M}]_{0}$ and $[\mathrm{M}]$ were the initial and final monomer concentration, respectively. All the experiments were conducted with $\mathrm{AN}: \mathrm{DMF}=1: 1(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$.
$\left(■[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 0.5: 0.05\right.$,

- $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1.0: 0.05$,
$\left.\boldsymbol{\Delta}[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1.5: 0.05\right)$


Fig. S2: The number-average molecular weights $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and molecular weight distributions $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of obtained PAN from RAFT polymerization as a function AN monomer conversion under various molar ratios of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0} /\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}$. All the experiments were conducted with $\mathrm{AN}: \mathrm{DMF}=1: 1 \quad(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$. (■ $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=$ $200: 0.5: 0.025, \bullet[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1.0: 0.025$, $\left.[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1.5: 0.025\right)$


Fig. S3: Kinetics investigation $\left(\ln \left([\mathrm{M}]_{0} /[\mathrm{M}]\right)\right.$ and conversion versus time (t)) with different concentration of CPDN, wherein [M] represent the concentration of monomer. All the experiments were conducted with AN:DMF = 1:1 (V/V).
$\left(■[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.05\right.$,

- $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.1$,
$\left.\Delta[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.15\right)$


Fig. S4: The number-average molecular weights $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and molecular weight distributions $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of obtained PAN from RAFT polymerization versus conversion under various molar ratios of $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0} /[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}$. All the experiments were conducted with $\mathrm{AN}: \mathrm{DMF}=$ 1:1 (V/V).
$\left(■[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.05\right.$,

- $[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.1$,
$\left.\boldsymbol{\Delta}[\mathrm{AN}]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]_{0}:[\mathrm{CPDN}]_{0}=200: 1: 0.15\right)$

