## **Supporting Information**

# Investigation of Inclusion Complex formed by Ionic Liquid and β-Cyclodextrin through Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic Interactions

Mahendra Nath Roy\*, Milan Chandra Roy and Kanak Roy Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, Darjeeling-73401**3** 

E-mail: mahendraroy2002@yahoo.co.in

The physical properties of binary mixtures in different mass fractions (w=0.001, 0.003, 0.005) of aqueous  $\beta$ -CD solutions at 298.15K have been reported in table S1. The values of density, viscosity, and refractive index of chosen ionic liquid in different mass fractions of aqueous  $\beta$ -CD mixture, as a function of concentration (molality) have been listed in tables given below.

## Surface tension

The concentrations at which the inclusion occurred (the break point of the surface tension) have been calculated by solving the equation of two straight lines, and represented in table 1. For instance, in case of IL in  $w_2$ =0.001 mass fraction of  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin

 $\gamma = 1180.50 \ c + 51.66$  $\gamma = 545.24 \ c + 54.77$ 

 $\gamma$  = 56.60 mN·m<sup>-1</sup> and *c* = 3.00 millimolal

## Conductance

The specific conductance ( $\kappa$ ) of IL+aq. $\beta$ -CD solutions under investigation in different mass fractions (w = 0.001, 0.003, 0.005) aq. $\beta$ -CD were measured. The molar conductance ( $\Lambda$ ) for the studied solutions has been calculated using following equation,<sup>1</sup> and given in Fig. 2.

$$\Lambda = 1000 \,\mathbf{\kappa} \,/ c \tag{1}$$

where c is the molar concentration of the studied solution.

Similarly the concentrations at which the inclusion occurred (the break point of the Conductance) have been calculated by solving the equation of two straight lines, and represented in table 2. For instance, in case of IL in  $w_2$ =0.001 mass fraction of  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin

$$\kappa = -21.971 c + 1.9742$$
  
 $\kappa = -7.265 c + 1.3462$ 

 $\kappa$  = 1.21 S·m<sup>-1</sup> and *c* = 3.00 millimolal

#### Apparent molar volume

The apparent molar volumes  $\phi_V$  were determined from the solutions densities (Table S2) using the equation

$$\phi_V = M / \rho - 1000 (\rho - \rho_o) / m \rho \rho_o$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where *M* is the molar mass of the IL, *m* is the molality of the solution,  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  are the density of the solution and aq.  $\beta$ -CD mixture respectively. The limiting apparent molar volumes  $\phi_V^0$  were obtained by a least-square treatment to the plots of  $\phi_V$  versus  $\sqrt{m}$  using the Masson equation,<sup>2</sup> and shown in table S3.

$$\phi_V = \phi_V^0 + S_V^* \cdot \sqrt{m} \tag{3}$$

#### Viscosity

The experimental viscosity data for the studied systems are listed in table S2. The relative viscosity ( $\eta_r$ ) has been analyzed using the Jones-Dole equation, <sup>3</sup>

$$(\eta/\eta_o - 1)/\sqrt{m} = (\eta_r - 1)/\sqrt{m} = A + B\sqrt{m}$$
 (4)

where  $\eta_r = \eta/\eta_o$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\eta_o$  are the relative viscosities, the viscosities of the ternary solutions (IL+aq. CD) and binary aqueous mixture (aq. CD) and *m* is the molality of the IL in ternary solutions. *A* and *B* are empirical constants known as viscosity *A* and *B*-coefficients, which are specific to solute-solute and solute-solvent interactions, respectively, are estimated by least-square method by plotting  $(\eta_r - 1)/\sqrt{m}$  against  $\sqrt{m}$ , and reported in table S3.

#### **Refractive index**

The molar refraction,  $R_M$  can be evaluated from the Lorentz-Lorenz relation,<sup>4</sup>

$$R_{M} = \left\{ (n_{D}^{2} - 1)/(n_{D}^{2} + 2) \right\} (M/\rho)$$
(10)

where  $R_M$ ,  $n_D$ , M and  $\rho$  are the molar refraction, the refractive index, the molar mass and the density of solution respectively. The Limiting molar refraction ( $R_M^0$ ) estimated from the following euation, <sup>5</sup>

$$R_M = R_M^0 + R_S \sqrt{m} \tag{11}$$

#### **References:**

- (1) D. Ekka and M. N. Roy, *Amino Acids*, 2013, **45**, 755-777.
- (2) D.O. Masson, *Phil Mag.*, 1929, **8**, 218-223.
- (3) G. Jones and D. Dole, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1929, **51**, 2950-2964.
- (4) V.Minkin, O. Osipov and Y. Zhdanov, *Dipole Moments in Organic Chemistry*. New York, Plenum Press, **1970**.
- (5) M. N. Roy, P. Chakraborti and D. Ekka, Mol. Phys., 2014, 112 (17), 2215-2226.

#### Tables

Table S1. Experimental values of density ( $\rho$ ), viscosity ( $\eta$ ), and refractive index ( $n_D$ ) in different mass fraction of aqueous  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin mixtures

aq. solvent	Temp	ρ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	η	n
mixture	/K <sup>a</sup>	/kg·m <sup>-3</sup>	/mP∙s	п <sub>D</sub>
w <sub>1</sub> =0.001 <sup>b</sup>	298.15	0.99856	1.18	1.2940
	303.15	0.99601	0.92	-
	308.15	0.99256	0.89	-
w 1=0.003 <sup>b</sup>	298.15	0.99904	1.22	1.3005
	303.15	0.99677	0.98	-
	308.15	0.99332	0.96	-
w 1=0.005 <sup>b</sup>	298.15	0.99993	1.29	1.3110
	303.15	0.99737	1.04	-
	308.15	0.99423	1.01	-

<sup>*a*</sup> Standard uncertainties in temperature **u** (*T*) = 0.01 K, viscosity **u** (η) = 0.01 mPa·s. refractive index **u**( $n_D$ ) =0.0002 <sup>*b*</sup>  $w_1$  is the mass fractions of β-CD in aqueous mixture.

Conc	ρ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	η	Conc	ρ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	η	Conc	ρ·10 <sup>-3</sup>	η
( <i>m</i> )	/kg·m <sup>-3</sup>	/mP∙s	( <i>m</i> )	/kg·m <sup>-3</sup>	/mP∙s	( <i>m</i> )	/kg·m⁻³	/mP∙s
	298.15 K	а		303.15K	а		308.15K	а
				IL				
				w <sub>1</sub> =0.001	b			
0.01	0.9987	1.20	0.01	0.9961	0.94	0.01	0.9926	0.91
	3			6			3	
0.02	0.9987	1.24	0.02	0.9964	0.96	0.02	0.9929	0.93
5	9		5	5		5	4	
0.04	0.9993	1.27	0.04	0.9967	0.98	0.04	0.9932	0.94
0	4		0	2		0	1	
0.05	0.9994	1.30	0.05	0.9969	1.01	0.05	0.9934	0.97
5	5		5	4		5	9	
0.07	1.0001	1.35	0.07	0.9974	1.03	0.07	0.9939	0.99
0	5		0	9		0	1	
0.08	1.0006	1.41	0.08	0.9979	1.06	0.08	0.9943	1.02
5	4		5	2		5	1	
				<i>w</i> <sub>1</sub> =0.003	b			
0.01	0.9992	1.22	0.01	0.9968	0.98	0.01	0.9933	0.96
	3			2			3	
0.02	0.9999	1.25	0.02	0.9971	1.01	0.02	0.9939	0.99
5	1		5	4		5	1	
0.04	1.0003	1.33	0.04	0.9977	1.04	0.04	0.9942	1.03
0	4		0	0		0	3	
0.05	1.0007	1.38	0.05	0.9980	1.07	0.05	0.9945	1.07
5	4		5	8		5	4	
0.07	1.0011	1.45	0.07	0.9983	1.11	0.07	0.9947	1.09
0	0		0	8		0	9	
0.08	1.0014	1.49	0.08	0.9987	1.15	0.08	0.9951	1.12
5	3		5	1		5	3	
w <sub>1</sub> =0.005 <sup>b</sup>								
0.01	0 0000	1 29	0.01	0 9977	1.04	0.01	0 9942	1.01
0.01	8	1.27	0.01	8	1.04	0.01	6	1.01
0.02	1.0005	1.34	0.02	0.9984	1.07	0.02	0.9948	1.05
5	6		5	3		5	9	
0 04	1 0011	1 39	0.04	0 9988	1 11	0.04	0 9951	1.08
0	3	1.07	0	1		0	4	1.00
0.05	1.0014	1.46	0.05	0.9991	1.15	0.05	0.9957	1.12

Table S2. Experimental values of densities ( $\rho$ ) and viscosities ( $\eta$ ) corresponding to concentration in different mass fractions of aq.  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin at different temperature

5	5		5	0		5	6	
0.07	1.0019	1.51	0.07	0.9994	1.17	0.07	0.9960	1.15
0	3		0	7		0	6	
0.08	1.0025	1.59	0.08	0.9998	1.20	0.08	0.9967	1.17
5	6		5	5		5	9	

Standard uncertainties in temperature (T) = 0.01 K. viscosity  $\boldsymbol{u}(\eta) = 0.01$  mPa·s.  ${}^{b}w_{1}$  is the mass fractions of  $\beta$ -CD in aqueous mixture.

Table S3. Limiting apparent molar volume ( $\phi_v^{\circ}$ ), experimental slope ( $S_v^{*}$ ), viscosity *B* and *A*-coefficient in different mass fractions of aqueous  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin mixtures

Temp /K <sup>a</sup>	$\phi_{ m v}{}^{ m o}$ ×10 <sup>6</sup>	$S_{\mathrm{v}}^{*}$	В	Α	_		
	[moim]BF <sub>4</sub>						
	1	w <sub>1</sub> =0.001 <sup>b</sup>	I		_		
298.15	223.35	-287.83	1.62	-0.084			
303.15	225.30	-320.43	1.65	-0.106			
308.15	235.56	-358.36	2.84	-0.218			
w <sub>1</sub> =0.003 <sup>b</sup>							
298.15	227.01	-290.19	1.86	-0.103			
303.15	230.50	-345.52	2.62	-0.132			
308.15	242.97	-395.24	3.11	-0.219			
w <sub>1</sub> =0.005 <sup>b</sup>							
298.15	232.75	-326.70	2.32	-0.142			
303.15	241.37	-351.01	3.54	-0.227			
308.15	247.69	-432.90	3.98	-0.301			

<sup>*a*</sup> Standard uncertainties in temperature (T) = 0.01 K. <sup>*b*</sup>  $w_1$  is the mass fractions of  $\beta$ -CD in aqueous mixture.

Table S4. Measured parameters for IL ([moim]BF4) in 0.001(M), 0.003(M)and0.005(M) aquous beta CD solutions at 298.15 K temperature.

c /mol·dm <sup>-3</sup>	n <sub>D</sub>	R <sub>m</sub>	R <sub>m0</sub>
	[mo	im]BF <sub>4</sub>	
	W 1=	:0.001 <sup>b</sup>	
0.010	1.3232	70.0945	69.14
0.025	1.3237	70.1963	

0.040	1.3242	70.2623	
0.055	1.3249	70.3111	
0.070	1.3254	70.3667	
0.085	1.3258	70.4094	
	<i>w</i> <sub>1</sub> =	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	
0.010	1.3241	70.1823	
0.025	1.3246	70.2854	
0.040	1.3252	70.3389	70.23
0.055	1.3257	70.3934	
0.070	1.3261	70.4412	
0.085	1.3265	70.4766	
	<i>w</i> <sub>1</sub> =	$0.005^{b}$	
0.010	1.3249	70.2826	
0.025	1.3253	70.3659	
0.040	1.3257	70.4116	70.94
0.055	1.3262	70.4658	
0.070	1.3267	70.5079	
0.085	1.3274	70.5498	

 $b \overline{w_1}$  is the mass fractions of  $\beta$ -CD in aqueous mixture.

Figures:



Fig. 3 Variation of apparent molar volume  $(\phi_v^{\circ})$  with temp (T/K) of IL solution in 0.001( $\bullet$ ), 0.003( $\blacksquare$ ), and 0.005( $\blacktriangle$ ) of aq.  $\beta$ -CD respectively



Fig. 4 Plot of viscosity *B*-coefficient with temp (*T/K*) of ILsolution in 0.001(♦),
0.003(■), and 0.005(▲) of aq. β-CD respectively



Fig. 5 Plot of molar refraction with concentration of IL solution in  $0.001(\bullet)$ ,  $0.003(\blacksquare)$ , and  $0.005(\blacktriangle)$  of aq.  $\beta$ -CD respectively



Fig. 6: Plot of  $\Delta \mu^{0\#}$  versus Temperature of IL in 0.001 m conc. Of  $\beta$ -CD



Fig. 7: Plot of  $\Delta\mu^{0\#}$  versus Temperature of of IL in 0.003 m conc. Of  $\beta\text{-}CD$ 



Fig. 8: Plot of  $\Delta\mu^{0\#}$  versus Temperature of IL in 0.005 m conc. Of  $\beta\text{-}CD$