Supporting information

Figure S1 The PCA score plot of the rat urine data on days 0 (prior to the electrically induced stress), 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. The toleration ellipse curve in the PCA score plot was drawn using Hotelling's T2 with a confidence value of 95 %.

Figure S2 PLS-DA scores plots of normal rat urine data on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Figure S2 The PLS-DA score plots of the rat urine data on days 0 (prior to the electrically induced stress), 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. (A) The dynamic mean-centred PLS-DA score plot of the rat urine data from the model group and the control group $(Q^2Y_{(cum)}=0.912, R^2X_{(cum)}=0.427, R^2Y_{(cum)}=0.952)$. (B) The dynamic mean-centred PLS-DA score plot of the rat urine data from the control group $(Q^2Y_{(cum)}=0.912, R^2X_{(cum)}=0.427, R^2Y_{(cum)}=0.952)$. (B) The dynamic mean-centred PLS-DA score plot of the rat urine data from the *Baixiangdan*-dosed group and the control group $(Q^2Y_{(cum)}=0.423, R^2Y_{(cum)}=0.973)$.

Figure S3 PLS-DA scores plots of normal rat urine data on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.



Figure S1. The PCA score plot of the rat urine data on days 0 (prior to the electrically induced stress), 1,
2, 3, 4 and 5. The toleration ellipse curve in the PCA score plot was drawn using Hotelling's T2 with a confidence value of 95 %. Figure S1 PLS-DA scores plots of normal rat urine data on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.



Figure S2 The PLS-DA score plots of the rat urine data on days 0 (prior to the electrically induced stress), 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. (A) The dynamic mean-centred PLS-DA score plot of the rat urine data from the model group and the control group ($Q^2Y_{(cum)}=0.912$, $R^2X_{(cum)}=0.427$, $R^2Y_{(cum)}=0.952$). (B) The dynamic mean-centred PLS-DA score plot of the rat urine data from the *Baixiangdan*-dosed group and the control group ($Q^2Y_{(cum)}=0.423$, $R^2Y_{(cum)}=0.973$).



Figure S3 PLS-DA scores plots of normal rat urine data on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.