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Table S.I. 1 Different isotherm models used in this study and their linear forms

| Isotherm | Nonlinear form | Linear form | Plot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Langmuir-I | $q_{e}=\frac{K_{L} C_{e}}{1+K_{L} C_{e}}$ | $\frac{C_{e}}{q_{e}}=\frac{1}{q_{L} \cdot K_{L}}+\left(\frac{1}{q_{L}}\right) \cdot C_{e}$ | $\frac{C_{e}}{q_{e}}$ versus $C_{e}$ |
| Freundlich | $q_{e}=K_{f} C_{e}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ | $\ln q_{e}=\ln K_{f}+\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \ln C_{e}$ | $\ln q_{e}$ versus $\ln C_{e}$ |
| Temkin | $q_{e}=\left((R T / b) \ln \left(A \cdot C_{e}\right)\right)$ | $q_{e}=\beta \ln K_{T}+\beta \ln C_{e}$ | $q_{e}$ versus $\ln C_{e}$ |
| D-R | $q_{e}=q_{s} e^{\left(-K_{D} \varepsilon^{2}\right)}$ | $\ln q_{e}=\ln q_{s}-K_{D} \varepsilon^{2}$ | $\ln q_{e}$ versus $\varepsilon^{2}$ |

Where $q_{m}$ is the maximum capacity of adsorption in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} ; K_{L}$ is a constant related to the affinity of the binding sites in $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mg}$; ' $K_{f}$ ' and ' $n$ ' are the measures of adsorption capacity and intensity of adsorption; $\beta=(R T) / b_{T}$, is the Temkin constant; $T$ is the absolute temperature in $K ; R$ is the universal gas constant; $b_{T}$ is related to the heat of adsorption in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.; $K_{T}$ is the equilibrium binding constant in $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mol}$.; $q_{s}$ is the D-R isotherm constant in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$; $\varepsilon$ represents the Polanyi potential constant in $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \varepsilon=R T \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{C_{e}}\right)$

Table S.I. 2 Parameters of the isotherm models for the adsorption processes

| Isotherm |  | SDS |  |  |  | BDS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | M |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model | Parameter | Value | $R^{2}$ | Value | $R^{2}$ | Value | $R^{2}$ | Value | $R^{2}$ |
| Langmuir | $q_{L}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | 1501 | 0.9996 | 870 | 0.9999 | 962 | 0.9998 | 448 | 0.9999 |
|  | $K_{L}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{g})$ | 0.25 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.49 |  |
| Freundlich | $k_{f}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{g})$ | 990 | 0.9855 | 647 | 0.8789 | 496 | 0.9417 | 369 | 0.9178 |
|  | $n$ | 13.84 |  | 19.12 |  | 8.15 |  | 29.47 |  |
| Temkin | $b_{T}$ <br> (kJ/mol) | 28.02 | 0.9892 | 60.51 | 0.8857 | 30.88 | 0.9425 | 180 | 0.9293 |
|  | $K_{T}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{g})$ | $6.6 \times 10^{4}$ |  | $5.7 \times 10^{6}$ |  | 582 |  | $4.4 \times 10^{11}$ |  |
| D-R | $q_{s}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | 1393 | 0.7117 | 861 | 0.9609 | 827 | 0.5366 | 441 | 0.9232 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} K_{D} \\ \left(\mathrm{~mol}^{2} / \mathrm{kJ}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |  | $1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ |  | $2.4 \times 10^{-8}$ |  | $8.7 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |

Table S.I. 3 Isotherm parameters of OII and MO adsorption from binary solutions

| Sample | Dye | Competitive-Langmuir isotherm |  |  |  |  | Langmuir |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} q_{\max 1} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{L} 1} \\ (\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q_{\max 2} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{L} 2} \\ (\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mg}) \end{gathered}$ | $R^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} q_{L} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline K_{\mathrm{L}} \\ (\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mg}) \end{gathered}$ | $R^{2}$ |
| MPC-1 | OII | 1000 | 56.34 | - | - | 0.815 | 962 | 0.29 | 0.9998 |
|  | MO | - | - | 500 | 2.00 | 0.982 | 448 | 0.49 | 0.9999 |

Table S.I. 4 Comparative assessment of uptake capacity of OII and MO onto some adsorbents from partial previously literatures

| Dyestuff | Adsorbent | Maximum monolayer uptake capacities $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | Reference | System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OII | MCP-1 | 1488 | this work | SDS |
|  | MCP-1 | 951 | this work | BDS |
|  | ML | 128 | this work | SDS |
|  | ML | 79 | this work | BDS |
|  | CS-A.C. | 322 | this work | SDS |
|  | CS-A.C. | 286 | this work | BDS |
|  | NCTW | 312 | 21 | SDS |
|  | ACX | 499 | 40 | SDS |
|  | ACF | 438 | 4 | SDS |
|  | MCP-1 | 869 | this work | SDS |
|  | MCP-1 | 447 | this work | BDS |
|  | ML | 115 | this work | SDS |
|  | ML | 315 | this work | BDS |
| MO | CS-A.C. | 280 | this work | SDS |
|  | CS-A.C. | 935 | this work | BDS |
|  | FAC | 161 | 19 | SDS |
|  | NPAC | 286 | 41 | SDS |
|  | MPW |  | 42 | BDS |

Table S.I. 5 Kinetic parameters for OII and MO adsorption from SDS and BDS

| System | Pseudo-first-order rate equation |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pseudo-second-order rate equation |  |  |  |  | Intra-particle diffusion model |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dye | $\begin{gathered} q_{e, \exp } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q_{e, c a l} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{l} \\ (1 / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | $R^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \triangle q \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \triangle q \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} q_{e, c a l} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{2} \\ (\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | $R^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \triangle q \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \triangle q \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \min ^{1 / 2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $R^{2}$ |
| SDS | OII | 1450 | 1082 | 0.2739 | 0.9536 | 368 | 25.38 | 1512 | 0.8412 | 0.9991 | -61.8 | -4.26 | 704 | 159 | 0.8206 |
|  | MO | 827 | 227 | 0.1449 | 0.9386 | 600 | 72.55 | 840 | 1.7838 | 0.9998 | -12.9 | -1.56 | 546 | 61 | 0.6667 |
| TDS | OII | 757 | 475 | 0.1869 | 0.9250 | 282 | 37.24 | 807 | 0.5487 | 0.9992 | -49.9 | -6.59 | 391 | 78 | 0.7410 |
|  | MO | 479 | 52 | 0.1805 | 0.7305 | 427 | 89.15 | 488 | 2.0677 | 0.9988 | -9.2 | -1.92 | 368 | 25 | 0.3118 |

