1

### **Supplementary Information**

# 2 **Buffers and Solutions**

The monoclonal antibodies (McAbs)<sup>1</sup> and hapten of triazophos (THHe and 3 THBu) were made from Zhejiang University. Briefly, BALB/c female mice (8-10 4 weeks of age) were immunized subcutaneously with BSA-THHe conjugate (100 µg) 5 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and complete Fround's adjuvant 1:1 (v/v) initially 6 and subsequently using incomplete Fround's adjuvant at 2 week intervals three times. 7 One week after the last injection, mice were tail bled to check for antibody activity. 8 Once this was found to be positive, the mouse was given one more booster injection 9 with 100 µg of conjugate in PBS (without adjuvant), it was killed 3 days later, its 10 spleen was removed, and the spleen cells were fused with SP2/0 murine myeloma 11 cells. Cell fusion procedures were carried out essentially. Culture supernatants were 12 screened for the presence of antibodies that recognized triazophos 12-14 days after 13 cell fusion. The screening consisted of the simultaneous performance of 14 noncompetitive and competitive indirect ELISAs to test the ability of antibodies to 15 bind the hapten-OVA conjugate and to recognize triazophos. Selected hybridomas 16 were cloned by limiting dilution using HT media on a feeder layer of BALB/c 17 thymocytes (~106 cells/well) and peritoneal macrophages (~5000 cells/well). Stable 18 antibody-producing clones were expanded and cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. After 19 titer testing with indirect ELISA of the mice ascites, the McAbs were separated by the 20 method of salting out (with caprylic acid-ammonium sulfate) and then were purified 21 by an Immuno-Pure (A) IgG purification kit (Pierce, USA). Indirect ELISA format 22 was used to characterize for reactivity of the McAbs to hapten conjugates. Results 23

showed that mice ascites displayed a high level for each relative homologous and 24 heterologous hapten (THHe and THBu) conjugates with favorable titers (1.28×106-25 1.02×107). Several other organophosphorus insecticides or analogues (chlorpyrifos, 26 diazinon, malathion, parathion, methyl parathion and fenitrothion) were tested for 27 cross-reactivities (CRs) to evaluate the specificity of the McAb. The CR for diazinon 28 was 0.03%, and the CRs for the other organophosphorus pesticide were all below 29 0.01%. The McAb stock solution was prepared as follows: 3.9 mg/mL McAb was 30 separated into 250 µL of solution, which was placed in 0.5 mL centrifugal tubes and 31 stored at -18°C for future use. 32

Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4, 0.01 mol/L) was prepared as follows:
0.27 g of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.86 g of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>•12H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 g of KCl, and 8.8 g of NaCl were
weighed and dissolved into water and the pH value was adjusted to 7.4. Then,
distilled water was added to a constant volume of 1000 mL, and the buffer was stored
at 4°C.

MES buffer (pH 6.0, 15 mmol/L) was produced as follows: 0.32 g of MES (molecular mass 213.25) was dissolved into 90 mL of deionized water, and then the pH value was adjusted to 6.0. Then, distilled water was added to a constant volume of 100 mL, and the buffer was stored at 4°C.

42 10 mmol/L EDC buffer was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of EDC (molecular
43 mass 191.7) into 1 mL of deionized water prior to use.

10 mmol/L NHS buffer was made by dissolving a certain amount of NHS into
deionized water before use.

1% PBST solution was obtained by adding 1% Tween to phosphate buffer (pH 46 7.4, 0.01 mol/L). Blocking solution was prepared by dissolving 2% BSA in phosphate 47 buffer (pH 7.4, 0.01 mol/L). 48

#### Synthesis of Carboxyl-functioned Fe3O4 Magnetic Nanoparticles(CMNPs) 49

The carboxyl-functionalized magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (CMNPs) were 50 prepared according to the method described in the literature with moderate 51 modification<sup>2</sup>. 52

Hydrophobic magnetic nanoparticles coated with oleic acid were prepared from 53 ferric chloride and ferrous chloride by co-precipitation, with oleic acid used as a 54 surfactant. 8.1 g of FeCl<sub>3</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O and 3.3 g of FeCl<sub>2</sub>•4H<sub>2</sub>O were obtained and made 55 into a 150 mL of solution before being moved to a triple-neck flask and heated to 56 70°C under the protection of N<sub>2</sub> with vigorous stirring. 18 mL of ammonia solution 57 (25%, w/v) was quickly added under intense stirring. Under vigorous stirring, 4.66 g 58 of oleic acid ( $C_{18}H_{34}O_2$ ), the structure of which is shown in Fig. 1-A, was added to the 59 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> solution. Under the protection of N<sub>2</sub>, the solution was constantly stirred at 70°C 60 for one hour so that the oleic acid wrapped the nanoparticles. After the reaction, a 61 black precipitate were obtained. The precipitates were separated from the reaction 62 system under external magnetic fields. Excess oleic acid was washed by ethyl alcohol 63 two times and by water three times to remove NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and extra oleic acid. Then, 160 64 mL of a 10 mg/mL KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution was used to synthesize azelaic acid 65  $(HOOC(CH_2)_7COOH)$  (Fig. 1-A) to obtain carboxylated magnetic nanoparticles. The 66 -C=C- bond of oleic acid coated on the surface of particles was oxidized into -COOH 67

by KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution. The precipitates (Fig. 1-B) were separated by a magnet after 8 h
sonication and washed three times with water to remove extra KMnO<sub>4</sub>. The ferrofluid
was obtained by adding water to the precipitates. The ionic equation for the reaction is
as follows:

72 
$$Fe^{2+} + 2Fe^{3+} + 8OH^{-} = Fe_{3}O_{4}\downarrow + 4H_{2}O$$
 (1)

#### 73 Preparation of Immunomagnetic beads(IMBs)

The CMNPs were functionalized with antibodies by cross-linking carboxyl 74 groups on the surface of the CMNPs with amine groups in the antibodies. 1 mL of 75 CMNPs were first obtained and placed into a centrifuge tube, and then 1 mL of MES 76 solution was added. The mixture was rotated for 10 seconds. Then, 1 mL of activation 77 buffer was used to rewash the magnetic beads twice, and 500 µL of MES solution was 78 added. After that, 500 µL of carbodiimide and 500 µL of N-hydroxysuccinimide were 79 separately added and mixed for 30 min with slow rotation at room temperature. 80 Subsequently, the CMNPs were washed three times with PBST, and then 800 µg of 81 McAb was added and incubated for 16-18 h at 37°C with slight stirring. Afterwards, 82 the IMBs were washed twice with PBST to remove excess McAbs by a magnetic 83 separation process. The non-specific sites on the IMBs were blocked by incubating 84 with PBS buffer (containing 2% BSA) at room temperature for 30 min with slight 85 stirring. Finally, the IMB probes were obtained and stored at 4°C for further use 86

# 87 Preparation of Hapten-HRP(Horseradish peroxidase) conjugates

The hapten-HRP conjugates were synthesized as described earlier<sup>3</sup>. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was conjugated with hapten at a ratio of 1:20. HRP solution (10 90 mg/mL) was prepared in carbonate buffer solution for hapten conjugates. 11.1 of mg 91 Hapten was dissolved into 1 mL of DMF solution, and then 0.6 mmol of NHS was added and stirred for 15 min for reaction. After that, 0.3 mmol of DCC was added to 92 the solution, which was stirred at room temperature and kept overnight. Then, the 93 mixture was centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 rpm to remove the urea precipitate. 300 94  $\mu$ L supernatant solution was used to prepare the conjugates with HRP. After the 95 96 reaction, the solution was placed into a dialysis bag and dialyzed against distilled water three times. In the following 3 days, PBS (0.01 mol/L) was used to dialyze the 97 solution with the refreshed dialysate 3-4 times per day. Finally, glycerin of the same 98 volume was added, evenly mixed, and separately stored at 20°C. 99

# 100 **References**

- 101 1. J. G. Wen, R. Y. Jin, Z. L. Chen, J. L. Cheng, G. N. Zhu, Anal. Biochem., 2006,
  102 357, 9-14.
- 103 2. G. Chen, Y. H. Ma, P. F. Su and B. S. Fang, Biochem. Eng. J., 2012, 67, 120-125.
- 104 3. C. Z. Liang, W. J. Gui and G. N. Zhu, J. Chin. Inst. Food Sci. Technol., 2008, 8(6),
  105 102-108.

