Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI†)

Water-dispersible Polyphosphate Grafted Fe₃O₄ Nanomagnets for Cancer Therapy

Jerina Majeed^a, K. C. Barick^{a,*}, Neena G. Shetake^b, B. N. Pandey^b, P. A. Hassan^{a,*}, A. K. Tyagi^{a,*}

^aChemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai – 400085, India ^bRadiation Biology and Health Sciences Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai – 400085, India

*Corresponding authors: kcbarick@barc.gov.in, hassan@barc.gov.in, aktyagi@barc.gov.in Fax: + 91 22 2550 5151; Tel: + 91 22 2559 0284



Fig. S1. TEM image of bare MNP.



Fig. S2. A typical selected area electron diffraction pattern of PPNM.



Fig. S3. FTIR spectrum of bare Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles. The –OH bending and stretching modes are observed in FTIR spectrum of bare Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles is due to the adsorption of water molecules on their surface.



Fig. S4. TGA plot of bare Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles (TGA plot shows a total weight loss of about 2.5% over the temperature range from 40 to 400 °C. This might be due to the loss of residual water and absorbed hydroxyl groups on the surface of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles due to aqueous media synthesis).



Fig. S5. DLS plot of aqueous suspension of PPNM showing mean hydrodynamic diameter of 43 nm).



Fig. S6. Normalized UV absorbance (A_t/A_0) vs. time plot of PPNM (0.05 mg/ml) at wavelength of 350 nm in aqueous (A_t = absorbance at time 't' and A₀ = Absorbance at t=0).



Fig. S7. pH dependent zeta-potential plot of bare Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.



Fig. S8. Field dependent magnetization plot of bare MNP at 300 K.



Fig. S9. Zeta-potential of 1 ml of PPNM (100 μ g) and DOX-PPNM (100 μ g PPNM interacting with 10 μ g of DOX) suspensions.



Fig. S10. TEM image of DOX loaded PPNM.

Table S1. Zeta-potential of PPNM incubated with BSA for different time.

Sample	Zeta-potential of sample in 0.01M PBS (pH 7.4)	Zeta-potential of sample incubated with BSA(0.025 mg/ml) in 1 ml of 0.01 PBS (pH 7.4)			
PPMN	-30.0	-30.2	-30.0	-29.9	-29.4