High-performance flexible dye-sensitized solar cells by using

hierarchical anatase TiO₂ nanowire arrays

Zhisheng Chai^a, Jiuwang Gu^a, Javid Khan^b, Yufei Yuan^a, Lianhuan Du^a, Xiang Yu^c, Mingmei Wu^b and Wenjie Mai^a,*

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Photograph of a piece of Ti mesh in bended status.

Figure S2 SEM image of Ti mesh.

Figure S3 Experiment setup for electrochemical anodization of Ti mesh.

Figure S4 XRD patterns of Na₂Ti₂O₅•H₂O, H₂Ti₂O₅•H₂O and TiO₂ nanowires on Ti mesh.

Figure S5 UV-Vis absorption spectra of desorption dye from different photoanode (NT, NW and HNW).

Figure S6 (a) Schematic of the bending angle. (b) J-V curves of the TiO₂ HNW arrays mesh based DSSCs under different bending conditions.

Table S1 Detailed comparison of the photoanode, counter electrode, PCE (η), bending test, effective area and whether or not sealed between different mesh based flexible DSSCs.

Figure S7 SEM image of the commercial ink counter electrode. Inset is the low-magnification image of Ink/Ti mesh electrode.



Figure S1 Photograph of a piece of Ti mesh in bended status.



Figure S2 SEM image of Ti mesh.



Figure S3 Experiment setup for electrochemical anodization of Ti mesh.



Figure S4 XRD patterns of $Na_2Ti_2O_5 \bullet H_2O$, $H_2Ti_2O_5 \bullet H_2O$ and TiO_2 nanowires on Ti mesh.



Figure S5 UV-Vis absorption spectra of desorption dye from different photoanode (NT, NW and HNW).



Figure S6 (a) Schematic of the bending angle. (b) J-V curves of the TiO₂ HNW arrays mesh based DSSCs under different bending conditions.

| photoanode | counter | PCE (%) | bending test | effective | sealed |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | electrode | | | area | |
| NP- stainless | | | | | |
| steel mesh | Pt foil | 1.49 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| (120 meshes) | | | | | |
| NT Timosh (50 | | 1.23 (calculated | Different angle | Photo mask | |
| meshes) | Pt/ITO/PET | from the geometric | (no mention of | (0.283 cm^2) | N |
| | | area of Ti mesh) | times) | | |
| | | 3.62 (CNT fiber | Maintain ~90% of | | |
| NT-Ti mesh (80 meshes) | CNT fiber | textile | PCE after 100th | Full area | Y |
| | textile | sandwiched between | bending (no mention | | |
| | | two Ti wire textiles) | of angle) | | |
| NP- stainless | Ink, Pt on | 0.83 for Ink, 0.93 for Pt | almost invariably | Full area | Y |
| steel mesh | stainless steel | | of PCE (times: 500, | | |
| (635 meshes) | mesh | | radius: 1 cm) | | |
| Our work | | | Maintain ~90% of | | |
| (HNW-Ti mesh, | Pt on Ti mesh | 1.75 | PCE (times: 300, | Full area | Y |
| 100 meshes) | | | angle: 90°) | | |

Table S1 Detailed comparison of the photoanode, counter electrode, PCE (η) , bending test, effective area and whether or not sealed between different mesh based flexible DSSCs.



Figure S7 SEM image of the commercial ink counter electrode. Inset is the lowmagnification image of Ink/Ti mesh electrode.