Supporting Information

Facile synthesis of Cu₂O microstructures and their morphology

dependent electrochemical supercapacitor properties

Rudra Kumar, Prabhakar Rai,* Ashutosh Sharma*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,

Kanpur 208016, India

*Corresponding authors; Email: prkrai@iitk.ac.in, ashutos@iitk.ac.in

Calculation of the Specific Capacitance;

(a) By using galvanostatic charge discharge method

 $C = (I \times \Delta t) / (m \times \Delta v) = (1 \times 10-3 \times 264) / (1 \times 10-3 \times 0.4) = 660 \text{ F/g}$

(b) By cyclic voltammetry

 $Cm = (1/m \times R \times \Delta V) \times \int I(v) dV = (1/1 \times 10-3 \times 2 \times 10-3 \times 0.7) \times 0.000903 = 645 \text{ F/g}$

Figure S1 EDS spectra of the Cu_2O (a) microcubes and (b) microspheres.



Figure S2 Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms and corresponding pore size distribution curves (insets) of Cu_2O (a) microcubes and (b) microspheres.



Figure S3 Specific capacitance of Cu₂O microcubes and microspheres at different scan rate.







Figure S5 (a) CV curve at different electrolyte concentration at 50 mV/s scan rate, (b) cycling stability up to 500 cycle at 50 mV/s scan rate in 6M KOH, (c) Charge-discharge behaviour at different electrolyte concentration at 1A/g current density and (d) specific capacitance at different KOH concentration at 1A/g current density for Cu₂O microcubes.



Figure S6 Coulombic efficiency of Cu₂O microcubes.



Figure S7 Nyquist plots of Cu₂O microcubes and microspheres.



Figure S8 I-V curve measurement of Cu₂O microcubes and microspheres.



 Table 1 Comparison of supercapacitor properties of Cu₂O microcubes with similar system

 reported in literature.

S. N.	Materials	Synthesis route	Specific Capacitance (F/g)	Capacitance Retention	Refe renc
- 10					e
1.	RGO–Cu ₂ O–TiO ₂ ternary Nanocomposite,	Hydrothermal	80 F/g@ 0.2 A/g in 6 M KOH electrolyte, 41.4 F/g 32.7 F/g	increases from 80 to 91.5 F/g after 1000 cycles	1
2.	RGO/Cu ₂ O composite films on Cu foil	Hydrothermal	98.5 F/g @1 A/g	50% after 1000 cycles	2
3	Cu@Cu ₂ O/graphe ne nanocomposites	Solvothermal	100.9 F/g at 0.1 A/g, 33.4 F/g	Capacitance increases from 100 F/g to 257 F/g after 1000 cycle	3
4	Cu ₂ O/RGO/Ni(O H) ₂ Nanocomposit e	Hydrothermal	923.1 F/g @7.0 A/g	92.4% retention even after 4,000 cycles	4
5	Cu ₂ O@Reduced graphene oxide composite	Hydrothermal	31.0, 26.0, and 24.0 F/g @ 100, 200, and 400 mA/g	100 % retention even after 5,000 cycles	5
6	Three- dimensionally ordered macroporous Cu ₂ O/Ni inverse opal electrodes	Electrodepositi on and Template method combined	502 F/g for 3DOM Cu ₂ O/Ni and 191 F/g Cu ₂ O/ flat Ni electrode	85% capacitance retention after 500 cycle at 10 mV/s	6
7	Cu ₂ O/CuO/RGO nanocomposite	Hydrothermal	173.4 F/g @ 1 A/g to 136.3 F/g @ 10 A/g	98.2% retention after 100,000 cycles at 10 A/g	7
8	3D binder-free Cu ₂ O@Cu nanoneedle arrays	Anodization of Cu foam and subsequent electro- oxidation	862.4 F/g @ 1 A/g	92% after retention after 10 000 cycles	8

9	mesoporous carbon electrodes with copper oxide nanoparticles	Silica template based	380F/g@1mA/cm2with20wt%copperloading	96% retention after 5000 cycles @ 3mA/cm ²	9
10	CuO nanowires	Electrospinnin g	620 F/g @ 2 A/g,710 F/g @ 2mV/s	100 % retention after 2000 cycles	10
11	CuO nanosheet arrays grown on nickel foam	Template-free growth method	569 F/g @ a current density of 5mA/cm ²	82.5% retention after 500 cycles	11
12	Graphene-Like Copper Oxide Nanofilms	Anodisation process	919 F/g @ 1 A/g and 748 F/g @ 30 A/g	93% retention after 5000 cycles	12
13	CuO nanosheet clusters	Hydrothermal	535 F/g @5 mV/s	90% retention after 1000 cycles	13
14	Cu ₂ O Microcubes	Hydrothermal	660 F/g @ 1 A/g	80% retention after 1000 cycle @ 5 A/g	This wor k

References;

 D. Luo, Y. Li, J. Liu, H. Feng, D. Qian, S. Peng, J. Jiang and Y. Liu, *J. Alloys Comp.*, 2013, 581, 303–307.

2. X. Dong, K. Wang, C. Zhao, X. Qian, S. Chen, Z. Li, H. Liu and S. Dou, *J. Alloys Comp.*, 2014, **586**, 745–753.

Y. Li, Q. Wang, P. Liu, X. Yang, G. Dun and Y. Liu, *Ceramics International*, 2015,
 41, 4248–4253.

4. K. Wang, C. Zhao, S. Min and X. Qian, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2015, 165, 314–322.

5. B. Li, H. Cao, G. Yin, Y. Lu and J. Yin, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 10645–10648.

 M.-J. Deng, C.-Z. Song, P.-J. Ho, C.-C. Wang, J.-M. Chen and K.-T. Lu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 7479—7483.

K. Wang, X. Dong, C. Zhao, X. Qian and Y. Xu, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2015, 152, 433–442.

C. Dong, Y. Wang, J. Xu, G. Cheng, W. Yang, T. Kou, Z. Zhang and Y. Ding, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 18229–18235.

9. K. P. S. Prasad, D. S. Dhawale, S. Joseph, C. Anand, M. A. Wahab, A. Mano, C.I. Sathish, V. V. Balasubramanian, T. Sivakumar and A. Vinu, *Microporous Mesoporous Materials*, 2013, **172**, 77–86.

B. Vidyadharan, I. I. Misnon, J. Ismail, M. M. Yusoff and R. Jose, J. Alloys Comp.,
 2015, 633, 22–30.

 G. Wang, J. Huang, S. Chen, Y. Gao and D. Cao, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 5756– 5760.

12. Y. Lu, X. Liu, K. Qiu, J. Cheng, W. Wang, H. Yan, C. Tang, J.-K. Kim and Y. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 9682–9690.

13. G. S. Gund, D. P. Dubal, D. S. Dhawale, S. S. Shindea and C. D. Lokhande, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 24099–24107.