## Electrocatalytic Activity of Nitrogen-Enriched Mesoporous Carbon Framework and its Hybrids with Metal Nanoparticles Fabricated through Pyrolysis of Block Copolymers

## Yen-Hsing Lu, Jiun-You Liou, Chien-Fu Lin, and Ya-Sen Sun\*

## **Koutecky-Levich plots**

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_L} + \frac{1}{j_k}$$
(1)

in which j (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) is the measured current density,  $j_k$  denotes the kinetic current density and  $j_L$  is the limiting diffusion current density given by

$$j_L = Bw^{1/2}$$
(2)

here  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of the rotating disk ( $\omega = 2\pi R$  where *R* is the linear speed/rpm of rotation) and *B* is the Levich parameter, which can be used to calculate the number (*n*) of electrons transferred for each oxygen molecule as follows,

$$B = 0.62nFD^{2/3}v^{-1/6}C_0 \tag{3}$$

in which *F* is the faradaic constant (86486 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), *D* is the diffusion coefficient of  $O_2$  in the electrolyte (1.73×10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in KOH (0.1 M), v is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte (0.01 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $C_0$  is the concentration of oxygen (1.21×10<sup>-6</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>). From equations (1 and 2), *n* and *j*<sub>k</sub> were obtained from the slope and the ordinate intercept of the K–L plots of *j*<sup>-1</sup> versus *w*<sup>-1/2</sup> at a given potential.



Figure S1 (a) XPS survey spectrum, (b) C1s spectrum and (c) N1s spectrum of  $NEMCF_{430}$ 



Figure S2 (a) RDE voltammograms recorded for NEMCF<sub>400</sub> supported on a GC electrode in an O<sub>2</sub>-satutated 0.1 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and different rotation rates; (b) Kouteckt-Levich plots of  $j^{-1}$  vs w<sup>-1/2</sup> at -0.4, -0.6 and -0.8V obtained from (a).



Figure S3 AFM topographic images of Ag NPs after PS-*b*-P2VP BCPs are loaded with (a) 0.1 M AgNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol and (b) 0.1 M Ag(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>+ ions in an aqueous solution for 30 min and then subjected to 30-min Ar plasma for reduction of Ag ions and removal of the BCP templates.



Figure S4 AFM topographic image of Ag@NEMCF<sub>430</sub>.



Figure S5 thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) and differential thermogravimetry (DTG) curves of the P2VP homopolymer and its hybrid loaded with Ag NPs (Ag@P2VP).

Figure S5 indicates TGA and DTG curves of the neat P2VP homopolymer and its hybrid loaded with Ag NPs. The decomposition temperature ( $T_d$ ) of P2VP occurred at 427.6 °C while Ag@P2VP reveals two  $T_ds$ . One  $T_d$  is at 276.3 °C and the other  $T_d$  is at 407.6 °C.



Figure S6 UV-vis absorption of Ag@NEMCF<sub>400</sub>, Ag-Au<sub>1</sub>@NEMCF<sub>400</sub> and Ag-Au<sub>12</sub>@NEMCF<sub>400</sub>.



Figure S7 (a) UV-vis absorption of Ag and Ag-Au NPs generated via GRR of varied time periods, (b) time-dependent position of the absorption peak extracted from (a) and SEM of Ag-Au NPs generated by 30min-GRR.



Figure S8 SEM images of (a) Ag@NEMCF400, (b) Ag-Au<sub>1</sub>@NEMCF<sub>400</sub> and (c) Ag-Au<sub>12</sub>@NEMCF<sub>400</sub>.



Figure S9 (a) cyclic voltammgram of Ag@NEMCF<sub>430</sub> at a scan rate of 100 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> in N<sub>2</sub>- or O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions (b) RDE voltammograms recorded for Ag@NEMCF<sub>430</sub> supported on a GC electrode in an O<sub>2</sub>-satutated 0.1 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and different rotation rates; (c) K-L plots of j<sup>-1</sup> vs w<sup>-1/2</sup> at -0.4, -0.6 and -0.8V obtained from (b).



Figure S10 (a) cyclic voltammgram of (A) Ag-Au<sub>0.5</sub>@NEMCF<sub>430</sub> (B) Ag-Au<sub>1</sub>@NEMCF<sub>430</sub>, (C)Ag-Au<sub>3</sub>@NEMCF<sub>430</sub> and (D) Ag-Au<sub>1</sub>@NEMCF<sub>430</sub> at a scan rate of 100 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> in N<sub>2</sub>- or O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions (b) RDE voltammograms recorded for Ag-Au/NEMCF hybrids supported on a GC electrode in an O<sub>2</sub>-satutated 0.1 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and different rotation rates; (c) K-L plots of j<sup>-1</sup> vs w<sup>-1/2</sup> at -0.4, -0.6 and -0.8V obtained from (b).